EVALUATION OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND METHODOLOGIES ADOPTED IN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT PROCESS IN SRI LANKA

N. C. WEERAKKODY

M. Eng. in Water Resources Engineering and Management

504 (598·1)

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA

NOVEMBER 2000

18/20x/2

EVALUATION OF PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND METHODOLOGIES ADOPTED IN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT PROCESS IN SRI LANKA

by
NAYANA CHANDANIE WEERAKKODY
AMIE (SL)

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF ENGINEERING IN WATER RESOURCES ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT

November 2000

ු පුස්තකාලය රමාරවුව විශ්ව විදනලය. ශුි ලංකාව මොරවුව.

Supervised by Dr. N. T. S. Wijesekera

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING FACULTY OF ENGINEERING UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA

072700

AL TURE

DECLARATION

This dissertation has not been previously submitted in whole or part to any University or any Institution for a Higher Degree.

N. C.Weerakkody

M. C. Weerallogy

November - 2000

ABSTRACT

"Environment" and "Development" are interdependent and mutually reinforcing each other. The ultimate goal and purpose of both environment and development policies is betterment, improvement and the enhancement of the quality of life. Exploitation of natural and human resources should be planned carefully to ensure a sustainable development. In order to ensure a sustainable development, Western Countries have introduced a planning tool, i.e. Environmental Impact Assessment process to assess the impacts of any development project to its environment. Mitigatory measures also suggested in the EIA report to minimize the negative impacts.

Public involvement is one of the significant and important events in the Environmental Impact Assessment Process. This process expects to ensure that the environmental concerns are incorporated into the project. In order to fulfil this requirement, it is necessary to seek public participation throughout the project development process. The EIA process has built-in openings for public participation. Therefore, it needs to investigate whether such participation has been effective or needs improvement to ensure that the development works are not slowed down.

The main objective of the study is to identify the present level of public participation in IEE/EIA projects and the methodologies used for development projects. To achieve this objective, thirteen IEEs and fifteen EIAs were selected. The respective IEE/EIA reports and administrative files were analyzed. After analyzing the IEE/EIA reports and files, public participation was looked at in different aspects, i.e. the sectors participated, the stages in the EIA process, the issues raised by the public in their comments and the methodologies adopted in the EIA process.

These aspects were studies under different project types, i.e. Mainly IEE/EIA, Effluent generating/Effluent non-generating and localized/spatially distributed projects. Accordingly, general public participation on IEE project is very low compared that with the EIA projects. Study reveals general public participation on IEE projects is 2% and in EIA projects 98%. The overall public response on development projects are fairly low. Data reveals the maximum overall participation on development projects is only 42%. Also, the public is highly concern on social impacts specially in EIA projects, Effluent non-generating projects and spatially distributed projects.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It is indeed, a great pleasure to express my gratitude and acknowledgement to my research project supervisor, Dr. N.T.S.Wijesekera for his guidance and constant encouragement to make this project a success.

I am also pleased to thank Dr. S.S. Wickramasuriya, for the assistance extended throughout the study period.

I would like to express my highest appreciation for the assissance given to me by The Director General, Central Environmental Authority, Mr. Lionel Jayasinghe and Deputy Directors attached to Natural Resources Management Division of CEA, Mr. Jayavilal Fernando and Mrs. Kanthi De Silva in collecting documentary evidence.

Special thanks is also extended to Mrs. S. Yasaratna, Director - IUCN, Mr. Lalanathe De Silva, Director — Environmental Law Foundation Ltd., and Dr. S. Pilapitiya, Senior Environmental Engineer — World Bank, for giving me valuable information and guidance in completing my research.

I would in particular, like to thank Mr. V.C.B.Nanayakkara, Deputy Principal, Technician Training Institute, Mr. L.J.Chandrasekara, Head of Division of Industrial Training - Civil section, TTI, and my colleagues, for giving me all the encouragement and helping me in numerous ways to carryout my research work.

The assistance given by Mrs. Dilani Cooray, Mrs R.S.Kodikara and Miss. K.P.N.C.Fernando in secretarial work is highly appreciated.

I will be failing in my duty, if I do not thank my husband and the two sons for bearing with me for depriving them of motherly love and care, during late hours of so many days.

Table	e of Co	ntents	•	
Declaration				
Abstract				
Acknowledgement			iii iv	
Contents			vi	
List of Tables			x	
List of Figures			xi	
List of Annexes List of Abbreviations			xii	
LISI OI	MUDICAL	1110115		
1.0	INTR	ODUCTION	1-1	
1.1	Gener	al	1-1	
1.2	Object	tive of the study	1-4	
1.3	•	of the study	1-4	
2.0	LIT	ERATURE REVIE	W 2-1	
2.1	Gener	ra l	2-1	
2.2	-	Process	2-1	
	2.2.1	Global Developmen	t of EIA Process	
	2.2.2	-	A Process in Sri Lanka	
2.3 2.4	EIA process in Sri Lanka 2-3 Public participation in EIA process 2-4 University of Moraduwa, Sri Lanka.			
	2.4.1		Electronic Theses & Dissertations	
	2.4.2	Global Status: Bas	ed on Each Stage	
	2.4.3	Global Status: In		
	2.4.4	Sri Lankan Status:	-	
2.5	Legal	Framework for EL	A in Sri Lanka 2-22	
	2.5.1	Introduction		
	2.5.2	National Environ	mental Act	
	2.5.3			
	2.5.4		rovince Environmental Statute	
	2.5.5		k and Public Participation	
		· ·	•	
2.6	Environmental Impact Assessment Methodology			
	2.6.1	General		
	2.6.2			
	2.6.3	±		
	2.6.4			
	2.6.5	*	n, Evaluation & Prediction	
	2.6.6	Mitigatory measures		

3.0	METH	ODOLOGY	3-1
4.0	DATA		4-1
4.1	Report Review		
	4.1.1	Objective	
		Data extraction	
	4.1.5	Data extraction issues	
4.2	Documents of Central Environmental Authority		
4.3	Data V	Verification	4-5
	4.3.1	Direct Contact	
	4.3.2	Indirect Contact	
5.0	ANALY	YSIS and DISCUSS	SION 5-1
5.1	Analys	sis	
	5.1.1	Public Response on II	EE/EIAs
	5.1.2		the Stages of IEE/EIA Process
	5.1.3	Public Participation o	n Different Issues
	5.1.4	Usage of Methodologi	es-sity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
	5.1.5	Participation Based of	n the Project Type
5.2	Discussion		5-28
	5.2.1	Affiliation of the EL	A process
	5.2.2		
	5.2.3	Different Issues in P	ublic Comments
	5.2.4	Methodologies adopt	ed in IEE/EIA projects
6.0	CONCLUSIONS		6-1
7.0	RECOMMENDATIONS		7-1
8.0	REFERENCES		8-1

LIST OF TABLES

- 1. Table 1: Locations visited, Dates, Reports and Personnel Contacted (p4-1)
- 2. Table 2: Data extracted from IEE/EIA reports and CEA documents (p8)
- 3. Table 3: Public Sectors involved in EIA process (p9)
- 4. Table 4: Data on issues in Public Comments (p10)
- 5. Table 4.1: Sub categories in Socio-economic issues (p11)
- 6. Table 4.2 : Sub categories in Environment/Health issue (p12)
- 7. Table 4.3: Sub categories in IEE/EIAR inadequacy issue (p13)
- 8. Table 4.4 : Sub categories in other issues (p14)
- 9. Table 5 : Data on public involvement stages (p15)
- 10. Table 6: Data on methodologies used in different stages of EIA reports (p17)
- 11. Table 7: Contacted Personnel from Different Institutions
- 12. Table 8: Indirectly contacted personnel
- 13. Table 9 General public participation on IEE/EIA projects (p18)
- 14. Table 9a: Overall public participation (Project Wise) (p20)
- 15. Table 10: Participation of Directly Affected families (p22)
- 16. Table 11: Public participation in Socio-economic issue (p23)
- 17. Table 11a: Public response on Socio-economic issue (p25)
- 18. Table 11b: Public participation of sub categories in socio-economic issue (p27)
- 19. Table 12: public participation in Environment/health issue (p28)
- 20. Table 12a: Public response on Environment/health issue (p30)
- 21. Table 12b: Public participation on sub categories of Environment/health issue (p32)
- 22. Table 13: Public participation on participation on Public hearing request issue (p33)

- 23. Table 13a: Public response on public hearing issue (p35)
- 24. Table 14: Public participation on Cultural/Aesthetic issue (p37)
- 25. Table 14a: Public response on Cultural/Aesthetic issue (p39)
- 26. Table 15: Public participation in IEE/EIAR inadequacy (p41)
- 27. Table 15a: Public response on IEE/EIAR inadequacy (p43)
- 28. Table 15b: Public participation of sub categories of IEE/EIAR inadequacy (p45)
- 29. Table 16: Public participation on Other issue (p46)
- 30. Table 16a: Public response on Other issue (p48)
- 31. Table 16b: Public participation on sub categories of Other issue (p50)
- 32. Table 17: Usage of Methodologies in IEE/EIA reports (p51)
- 33. Table 5.1.5.2a: Public participation of different sectors (Effluent generating & Non generating) (p53)
- 34. Table 5.1.5.2b: Public participation of different sectors (Localized & Spatially distributed) (p54)
- 35. Table 5.1.5.3a: Public participation in different issues (Effluent generating & Non generating) (p55)
- 36. Table 5.1.5.3b: Public participation in different issues (Localized & Spatially distributed) (p 56)
- 37. Table 5.1.5.4a: Public participation in Socio economic issue (Effluent generating & Non generating) (p57)
- 38. Table 5.1.5.4b: Public participation in Socio economic issue (Localized & Spatially distributed) (p58)
- 39. Table 5.1.5.4c: Public participation in Socio economic sub issues (Effluent generating & Non generating) (p59)
- 40. Table 5.1.5.4d: Public participation in Socio economic sub issues (Localized & Spatially distributed) (p60)

- 41. Table 5.1.5.5a: Public participation in Environment/health issue (Effluent generating & Non generating) (p61)
- 42. Table 5.1.5.5b: Public participation in Environment / health issue (Localized & Spatially distributed) (p62)
- 43. Table 5.1.5.5c: Public participation in Environment / health sub issue (Effluent generating & Non generating) (p63)
- 44. Table 5.1.5.5d: Public participation in Environment / health sub issue (Localized & Spatially distributed) (p64)
- 45. Table 5.1.5.6a.: Public participation in IEE/EIAR inadequacy (Effluent generating & Non generating) (p65)
- 46. Table 5.1.5.6b : Public participation in IEE/EIAR inadequacy (Localized & Spatially distributed)(p66)
- 47. Table 5.1.5.6c: Public participation in IEE/EIAR inadequacy sub issue (Effluent generating & Non generating) (p67)
- 48. Table 5.1.5.6d: Public participation in IEE/EIAR inadequacy sub issue Localized & Spatially distributed) (P68)
- 49. Table 5.1.5.7a: Public participation in Public hearing requests (Effluent generating & Non generating) (p69)
- 50. Table 5.1.5.7b: Public participation in Public hearing requests (Localized & Spatially distributed) (p70)
- 51. Table 5.1.5.8a: Public participation in Cultural / Aesthetic issue (Effluent generating & Non generating) (p71)
- 52. Table 5.1.5.8b : Public participation in Cultural / Aesthetic issue (Localized & Spatially distributed) (p72)
- 53. Table 5.1.5.9a: Public participation in Other issue (Effluent generating & Nongenerating) (p73)
- 54. Table 5.1.5.9b: Public participation in Other issue (Localized & Spatially distributed) (p74)
- 55. Table: 5.1.5.9c: Public participation in sub issues of Other issue (Effluent generating & Non generating) (p75)

- 56. Table: 5.1.5.9d: Public participation in sub issues of Other issue (Localized & Spatially distributed) (p76)
- 57. Table: 5.1.5.10a: Public participation in different stages (Effluent generating & Non generating) (p77)
- 58. Table 5.1.5.10b : Public participation in different stages (Localized & Spatially distributed) (p78)
- 59. Table 5.1.5.11a: Methodologies adopted in IEE/EIA reports (Effluent generating & Non generating) (p 79)
- 60. Table 5.1.5.11b: Methodologies adopted in IEE/EIA reports (Localized & Spatially distributed) (p80)



LIST OF FIGURES

- 1. Figure 5: Public involvement stages in EIA process (p16)
- 2. Figure 9: General public participation on IEE/EIA projects (p19)
- 3. Figure 9a: Overall Public participation (Project wise) (p21)
- 4. Figure 11: Public participation in Socio economic issues (p24)
- 5. Figure 11a: Public response on Socio economic issues (p26)
- 6. Figure 12: Public participation in Environment / health issue (p29)
- 7. Figure 12a: Public response on Environment / health issue (p31)
- 8. Figure 13: Public participation in Public hearing issue (p34)
- 9. Figure 13a: Public response on Public hearing issue (p36)
- 10. Figure 14: Public participation in Cultural / Aesthetic issue (p38)
- 11. Figure 14a: Public response on Cultural/Aesthetic issue (p40)
- 12. Figure 15: Public participation in IEE/EIA report inadequacy (p42)

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka,

- 13. Figure 15a: Public response on IEE/EIA report inadequacy (p44)
- 14. Figure 16: Public participation in Other issue (p47)
- 15. Figure 16a: Public response on Other issue (p49)
- 16. Figure 17: Usage of Methodologies in IEE / EIA reports (p52)

LIST OF ANNEXES

- 1. Annex 1 : Sequential steps of IEE / EIA process (p1)
- 2. Annex 2: Different phases of Environmental Assessment Process (p2)
- 3. Annex 3: Checklist for EIS preparation (p3-5)
- 4. Annex 4: Flow diagram of the EIA process and parallel studies (p6)
- 5. Annex 5: Public participation stages in the EIA process (p7)



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- 1. CCD Coast Conservation Department
- 2. CEA Central Environmental Authority
- 3. EFL Environmental Foundation Ltd.,
- 4. EIA Environmental Impact Assessment
- 5. EIS Environmental Impact Statement
- 6. IEE Initial Environmental Examination
- 7. NGO Non Governmental Organization
- 8. PAA Project Approving Agency
- 9. TEC Technical Evaluation Committee
- 10. TOR Terms of Reference
- 11. UNEP United Nations Environmental Program