


## REFERENCES

- Adams, B.(2004).*Public meetings and the democratic process*. Public Administration Review, 64(1), 43 – 54.
- Alexander,E.R.(2006).*Evaluation in Planning: Evolution and Prospects*. Ashgate, Aldershot,Hants.
- Alexander,E.R.,Faludi,A.(1989).*Planning and plan implementation: notes on evaluation criteria*. Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design, 16 ,127-140
- Alterman,R.,& Hill,M.(1978).*Implementation of urban land use plans'*. Journal of the American Institute of Planners, 44, 274- 285
- Baehler,K.(2003).Evaluation and the policy cycle. In Lunt,N, Davidson,C &Kegg (Eds), *Evaluating Policy and Practice*, Section 1.4,A New Zealand Reader, Pearson Prentice Hall, Auckland.
- Baer,W.(1997).*General plan evaluation criteria: an approach to making better plans*. Journal of the American Planning Association, 63, 329 -344
- Bagwat,R.,& Sharma,M.K.(2007).*Performance measurement of supply chain management a balanced scorecard approach*. Computers and industrial Engineering (pp43-62)
- Barclay,C.,& Osei,K.M.(2010).*Project performance development framework an approach for developing performance criteria & measures for information system project*. International Journal of Production Economics 124,(pp272-292).
- Baum,H.(2001).*How should we evaluate community initiatives?.* Journal of the American Planning Association, 67, 147 -158
- Beattie,L.(2001).*The Reality of Land Use Planning*. School of Architecture and Planning, University of Auckland

- Berke, P., & Manta, M. (2001). *Are we planning for sustainable development? An evaluation of 30 comprehensive plans*. Journal of The American Planning Association, 66, 21-34
- Berke, P., Crawford, J., Dixon, J., & Ericksen, N. (1999). *Do cooperative environmental planning mandates produce good plans? Empirical results from the New Zealand experience*. Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design, 26, 643-664
- Berke, P., Backhurst, M., Laurian, L., Day, M., Crawford, J., Ericksen, N., & Dixon, J. (2006). *What makes plan implementation successful? An evaluation of local plans and implementation practices in New Zealand*. Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design, 33, 581 -600
- Berke, P. R., & Conroy, M. M. (2000). *Are we planning for sustainable development? An evaluation of 30 comprehensive plans*. Journal of the American Planning Association, 66, 21 – 31.
- Bickman, L. (1989). *Barriers to the use of program theory*. Evaluation Practice, 12, 387-390.
- Blalock, A. (1999). *Evaluation research and the performance management movement: from estrangement to useful integration?*. Evaluation, 5, 117-149.
- Brown, J. D. (2011). *Likert items and scales of measurement*, JALT Testing & Evaluation SIG Newsletter. 15, 1.
- Bruton, M., & Nicholson. (1987). *Local Planning in Practice*. London, University of Wales, Institute of Science and technology in Cardiff.
- Burby, R. J. (2003). *Making better plans that matter: citizen involvement and government action*. Journal of the American Planning Association, 69, 33 – 44.
- Calkins H W, 1979, *The planning monitor: an accountability theory of plan evaluation*, Environment and Planning A, 11, 745 – 758.

- Carmona, M.(2007).*Monitoring outcome quality in planning: challenges and possibilities*. Town and Country Planning Tomorrow Series number 8
- Carmona,M.,& Sieh,L.(2004).*Measuring Quality in Planning: Managing the Performance Process*. Spon, London.
- Carmona,M.,& Sieh,L.(2005).*Performance measurement innovation in English planning authorities*. Planning Theory and Practice, 6, 303-333.
- Carmona,M.,& Sieh,L.(2008).*Performance measurement in planning towards a holistic view*. Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy, 26 ,428 – 454.
- Carol,H.W. (1998). *Evaluation: methods for studying programs and policies*. Prentice Hall,Upper Saddle River.
- Chelimsky,E.,& Shadish,W.R.(Eds).(1997). *Evaluation for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century:A Handbook*. Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Chen, H.(1990). *Theory-driven Evaluations*. Sage, Newbury Park, CA.
- Chen,H.,& Rossi,P.(1989).*Issues of the theory-driven perspective*, Evaluation and Program Planning, 12, 299 -306.
- Coeterier, J. F.(2002),*Lay people's evaluation of historic sites*. Landscape and Urban Planning, 59 ,111 -123.
- Cooke-Davis,T.(2002).*The real success factors on projects*. International Journal of Project Management, 20(3), 185-190.
- Davidson, C.(2003).The mix of methods: common research techniques used in evaluation. In Lunt, C. Davidson, K. McKegg (Eds), *Evaluating Policy and Practice: A New Zealand Reader* (Section 3.2), Pearson, Prentice Hall, Auckland.

- Davidson, J. E.(2000).Ascertaining causality in theory-based evaluation. In P. J. Rogers (Eds) *Program Theory Evaluation: Challenges and Opportunities New Directions in Evaluation series*.
- Dey, P.K.(2001).*Decision support system for risk management: a case study*. Management Decision, 39(8), pp. 634-649.
- Duignan,P.(2003).*Approaches and terminology in programme and policy evaluation*. In N. Lunt, C. Davidson, K. McK (Eds), *Evaluating Policy and Practice: A New Zealand Reader (Section 3.1)*,Pearson, Prentice Hall, Auckland.
- Ericksen,N.,Berke,P.,Crawford,J.,& Dixon,J.(2004). *Plan-making for Sustainability: The New Zealand Experience*, Ashgate: Aldershot Hants.
- European Regional & spatial planning Charter (2007). *Spatial Planning*. Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. Retrieved from [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Spatial planning](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Spatial_planning) (Accessed 8th March 2012)
- Glasson.J.(1982). *Regional Planning*. England.
- Government of Sri Lanka.(1978).*Urban Development Authority Law No 41*. Colombo,Parliament of Socialist Republic of Sri Lanka.
- Government of Sri Lanka.(1982).*Urban Development Authority Law No 4(Amendment)*. Colombo, Parliament of Socialist republic of Sri Lanka
- Hancock,J.(1980). *Urban Development and Planning*. Great Britain, Blackwell Ltd.
- Hendricks,M.(1996). *Performance monitoring: how to measure effectively the results of our efforts*. Retrieved from <http://www.eval.org>
- Hill, M. (1968).*A goals-achievement matrix for evaluating alternative plans*. Journal of the American Institute of Planners, 34(1),19-29.
- Hoch, C. J.(2002).*Evaluating plans pragmatically*. Planning Theory, 1, 53 -75.

- Hopkins, L.(1974).*Plan, projection, policy mathematical programming and planning theory*. Environment and Planning A, 6,419- 430.
- Houghton, M.(1997).*Performance indicators in town planning: much ado about nothing?*. Local Government Studies, 23(2) ,1 – 13.
- Hinnes ,J. E., & Booher, D. E.(1999).*Consensus building and complex adaptive systems: a framework for evaluating collaborative planning*. Journal of the American Planning Association ,65,412 -420.
- Intelligent measurement.(2007).*Likert Scale and Best Practices(2007)*. Retrieved from<http://www.intelligentmeasurement.wordpress.com>
- Jacot,J.H.(1990). *A proposal evaluation production system*. International journal of operations and Production Management, 20(6), pp.288-304
- Jamieson, S. (2004).*Likert scales: How to use them*. Medical Education, 38, 1212–1218.
- Jayasena, H.A.E.C.(2009). *Factors affecting construction procurement selection*. Unpublished dissertation (B.Sc) - University of Moratuwa.  

 University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka  
 Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)
- Joost, C. F. D., & Dodo,D.(2010). *Five-Point Likert Items: t test versus Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon*. Practical Assessment Research and Evaluation,15,11.
- Kaiser,E.J.,Godschalk,D.R.,&Chapin,F.S.(1995).*Urban Land Use Planning 4<sup>th</sup> edition*. University of Illinois Press, Urbana, IL.
- Karunaratne,G.N.(1996).*Urban Planning and development Control: The Sri Lankan Experience*. Journal of economic review,8-12.
- Knaap,G.,Hopkins,L.,& Donaghy,K.(1998).*Do plans matter? A framework for examining the logicand effects of land use planning*. Journal of Planning Education and Research,18, 25-34.
- Kraemer, L.K.(2002).*Survey research methodology in management information systems: an assessment*. Working paper on graduation of management of school, University of California, California.

- Laurian,L., Jan Crawford,J.,Day,M., Kouwenhoven,P., Mason,G., Ericksen,N.,& Beattie,L..(2010). *evaluating the outcomes of plans: theory, practice, and methodology*. Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design 2010, volume 37, 740 -757.
- Laurian,L.(2004).*What makes plan implementation successful? An evaluation of local plans and implementation practices in New Zealand*. University of Auckland, Newzealand
- Laurian,L., Day, M., Backhurst, M., Berke, P., Ericksen, N., Crawford, J., Dixon, J.,& Chapman, S.(2004a).*What drives plan implementation? Plans, planning agencies and developers*.Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 47, 555 – 577.
- Laurian,L.,Day,M.,Berke,P.,Ericksen,N.,Backhurs,M.,Crawford,J.,&Dixon,J.(2004b) *Evaluating plan implementation: a conformance-based methodology*. Journal of the American Planning Association, 70, 471- 480.
- Lichfield,N.(1996).*Community Impact Evaluation*. UCL Press, London
- Lichfield,N.(1977).*Methods of plan and project evaluation”, in Recent Developments in Planning Methodology*. Netherlands Colloquium, The Hague: Ministry of Housing and Physical Planning.
- Lunt, N., Davidson, C.,& McKegg, K.(2003).*Evaluating Policy and Practice: A New Zealand Reader*.Pearson Prentice Hall, Auckland.
- Mason,G.(2008).*Evaluating the Effectiveness of Conformance-based Plans: Attributing Built Heritage Outcomes to Plan Implementation Under New Zealand's Resource Management Act*. Retrieved from <http://adt.waikato.ac.nz/public/adt-uow20080313.124057/index.html>
- Masella ,C.,& Rangone, A (2000). *A contingent approach to the design of vendor selection systems for different types of cooperative customer / supplier relationships*. International journal of operations and Production management, 20(91), pp 70-84

- Mastop, H., & Faludi, A. (1997). *Evaluation of strategic plans: the performance principle*. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 24, 815-832
- Mayne, J. (2001). *Addressing attribution through contribution analysis: using performance measures sensibly*. *Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation*, 16, 1-24
- Michael, Q.P. (2002). *Qualitative research and evaluation methods*. SAGE: Thousand Oaks.
- Morrison, N., & Pearce, B. (2000). *Developing indicators for evaluating the effectiveness of the UK land use planning system*. *Town Planning Review*, 71, 191-211.
- Norton, R. K. (2008). Using content analysis to evaluate local master plans and zoning codes. *Land Use Policy*, 25, 432-435.
- Nutt, P.C. (2007). *Examining the link between plan evaluation and implementation*. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 74, 1252-1258
- Owens, L.K. (2002). *What is research design*. Retrieved from: <http://www.srl.uic.edu> [Accessed 5th May 2012].
- Padhy, R.K. & Sahu, S. (2011). *A real option based six sigma project evaluation and selection model*. Department of industrial Engineering and management, Indian Institute of Technology: Kharagpur-721302, India.
- Patton, M.Q. (1989). *A context and boundaries for a theory-driven approach to validity*. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 12, 375-377
- Patton, M.Q. (1997). *Utilization-focused Evaluation 3rd edition*. Sage, Beverly Hills, CA.
- Patton, E. and Appelbaum, S. H. (2003). *The case for case studies in management research*. *Journal of management research news*, 26(5), 60-71.

- Pawson, Ray, Tilley, & Mick, (1997). *Realistic Evaluation*. SAGE, Thousand Oaks.
- Peled, E., & Spiro, S. (1998). *Goal-focused evaluation: lessons from a study of a shelter for homeless youth*. *Evaluation*, 4, 455-468
- Pennypacker, J. et al. (2002). *PM Solutions; Project Portfolio Management and the Strategic Project Office*. Project Manage
- Pinto, J., & Slevin, D. (1988). *Project success, definition and measurement techniques*. Project Manage.
- Pitkin, S. (1992). *Comprehensive plan format: a key to impacting decision making*. *Environmental and Urban Issues*, 19(4), 8-10.
- Preston, C. C., & Colman, A. M. (2000). *Optimal number of response categories in rating scales: Reliability, validity, discriminating power, and respondent preferences*. *Acta Psychological*, 104, 1-15.
- Rhoda, I. (1982). *Urban & regional Analysis for Development planning*. Colorado, Western press, Boulder.
- Sager, T. (2003). *Rationality Types in Evaluation Techniques -The Planning Balance Sheet and the Goals Achievement Matrix*. *European Journal of Spatial Development*. Retrieved from <http://www.nordregio.se>
- Schilder, D. (1997). *Strategic Planning Process; Steps in Developing Strategic Plans*. Harvard Family Research Project.
- Scriven, M. (1996). *Types of evaluation and types of evaluator*. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 17, 151-161.
- Seasons, M. (2003). *Monitoring and evaluation in municipal planning*. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 69, 431-440
- Seimon J.W.M.R.T. (2007). *Lunawa Environment Improvement and Community Development Project - Accomplishments and Challenges*. Ministry of Urban Development & Sacred Area Development, Sri Lanka.



- Shadish, W. R., Cook, T. D., & Levitzin, L. C. (1991). *Foundations of Program Evaluation: Theories and Practice*. Sage, Newbury Park, CA.
- Snyder, B. L., & Coglianese, C. (2005). *Measuring progress: program evaluation of environmental policies*. *Environment*, 47(2), 22-39
- Talen, E. (1996). *After the plans: methods to evaluate implementation success of plans*. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 16, 79 -91.
- Talen, E., & Anselin, L. (1998). *Assessing spatial equity: an evaluation of measures of accessibility to public playgrounds*. *Environment and Planning A*, 30, 595 – 613
- Talen, E. (1996). *Do plans get implemented? A review of evaluation in planning*. *Journal of Planning Literature*, 10, 249 -250.
- Talen, E. (1997). *Success, failure, and conformance: an alternative approach to planning evaluation*. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 24, 573- 587.
- Tyler, R. W. (1942). *General statement on evaluation*. *Journal of Educational Research*, 35, 492-501.
- Vedung, E. (1997). *Public Policy and Program Evaluation*. Transaction Publishers: New Brunswick, NJ.
- Verschuren, P., & Zsolnai, L. (1998). *Norms, goals, and stakeholders in program evaluation*. *Human Systems Management*, 17, 155 – 162.
- Weiss, C. (1997). *How can theory-based evaluation make greater headway?*. *Evaluation Review*, 21, 501- 524.