DEVELOPMENT OF NATIONAL GUIDELINES FOR SEISMIC ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF (ENGINEERED) BUILDINGS IN SRI LANKA

Hiththara Gedara Shyamini Rasangika Kularathna

(128031D)



Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

July 2013

DECLARATION

"I declare that this is my own work and this dissertationdoes not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature: Date:



The above candidate has carried out research for the MastersDissertation under my supervision.

Signature of the supervisor: Date

DEVELOPING NATIONAL GUIDELINES FOR SEISMIC ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF (ENGINEERED) BUILDINGS IN SRI LANKA

Abstract

Sri Lanka was believed to have no seismic threat compared to other natural disasters such as landslides, floods, droughts which often cause widespread devastations. However, it has now been realized that Sri Lanka can no longer be considered as isolated from seismic threat following recent past events occurred in and around island. Designers of structures in Sri Lanka often used to avoid seismic consideration in the design procedure essentially of buildings as Sri Lanka is located within the Indo-Australian plate and thus, the chances of inter-plate type earthquakes which take place at the plate boundaries causing significant damages are remote. However, it is possible to take place intra-plate type earthquakes at any place within the tectonic plate. A notable example of a damaging intra-plate earthquake is the devastating Gujarat Earthquake in 2001.

The only available document for the purpose of seismic design of buildings in Sri Lanka is "Earthquake resistant detailing for buildings in Sri Lanka" published by the Society of Structural Engineers, Sri Lanka. The present study is therefore aimed to provide advice on how all of these factors would affect the need for seismic design in Sri Lanka and provide guidance on suitable analysis and design procedures for buildings when the seismic consideration is explicitly warranted for a structure.

The proposed guidelines in this study are based on Euro Code 8 (EN 1998-1: 2004): "Design of Structures for Earthquake Resistance". Euro Code 8 was selected for this purpose because it allows national choices in defining seismic characteristics such as peak ground accelerations response spectra arc in the seasonic design procedure. It also allows national choices in selecting analysis and design procedures of buildings to resist seismic events. Therefore, this study mainly focuses on these national choices and suitable values are proposed and discussed depending on the available limited seismic data in Sri Lanka. Whenever there is no enough data, suitable approaches are given comparing similar seismic codes such as IS 1893-1: 2002 and AS 1170.4: 2007.

Finally, two case studies are carried out in order to present how the developed guidelines are used in the seismic design procedure of buildings specifically in Sri Lanka. The two buildings selected for this purpose represent buildings with high consequences of failure during an earthquake so that it clearly shows the significance of seismic consideration in the design procedure of buildings.

Key words: intra-plate earthquake, seismic design guidelines, Sri Lankan National Annex to EC 8

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Dr. C.S.Lewangamage and co-supervisor Prof. M.T.R.Jayasinghe the former head of the Civil Engineering Department for their support and encouragement during this study. Their research experience and the understanding of the subject were of great importance for results achieved through this study.

I also would like to thank Prof. Ranjith Dissanayake, University of Peradeniya for being the chairperson of the progress review committee. His guidance and the support made this work to be nourished by several experts in the field. I should also mention the support given by Dr.L.L.Ekanayake being as a progress review committee member.

The support given by Prof. H.S.Thilakasiri being as the research coordinator of Civil Engineering Department is also remarkable during this study.

This project would not be in this extent without the financial support given by the Disaster Wanagement Centre (DMC), Sri Lanka through United Nations WWW.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Development Programme (UNDP).

I would like to extend my thanks to Dr. K.K.Wijesundara, South Asia Institute of Technology and Medicine (SAITM) for providing valuable data that made this study to progress. I would also be thankful to Prof. Nimal Senevirathna from University of Peradeniya for his valuable comments on the work carried out in this study.

Last but not least, I present my deepest thanks to all other members of academic staff and non-academic staff of Civil Engineering Department, University of Moratuwa who gave me the support in various means to finalize this project successfully.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declarati	on	i
Acknowledgement		ii
Abstract		iii
Table of	contents	iv
List of Fi	gures	X
List of Ta	ables	xii
List of A	ppendices	XV
	RODUCTION	
1.1.	Background	1
1.1.	1. Seismic hazard in and around Sri Lanka	2
1.2.	Earthquake resistant design of buildings	5
1.2.	1. Building response to earthquakes	5
1.2.	2. Performance based methodologies of earthquake resistant design Electronic Theses & Dissertations	7
1.3.	Scope and Objectives mrt.ac.lk	8
1.4.	Overview of the report	9
	ERATURE REVIEW	
2.1.	General	11
2.2.	Performance based earthquake engineering	12
2.3.	Ground motion and Building response	17
2.3.	1.Ground motion	18
2.3.	2. Building reaction to ground motion	19
2.4.	Evolution of Earthquake Resistant Design of Structures	19
2.5.	Different Code Approaches to Earthquake Resistant Design of Building	ţs. 22
2.5.	1. Target performance level	23

2.5.2. Specification of hazard and defining seismic action	25
2.5.2.1.FEMA 450	25
2.5.2.2.Euro Code 8	27
2.5.2.3.AS 1170.4: 2007	29
2.5.2.4.IS 1893-1: 2002	30
2.5.3. Structural analysis and design criteria	31
2.5.3.1.Screening process	32
2.5.3.2.Seismic analysis methods	34
3. DEVELOPING NATIONAL GUIDELINES FOR SEISMIC A	NALYSIS
AND DESIGN OF BUILDINGS TO EURO CODE 8	36
3.1. General	36
3.2. Implementation of Euro Code 8	37
3.2.1. Background to Engin Code Moratuwa, Sri Lanka	
3.2.2 Derview and implementation of the Euro Code 8	38
3.3. Seismic Analysis and Design Procedure in Euro Code 8	40
3.3.1.Performance requirements (EN 1998-1: 2004/2.1 (1) P)	40
3.3.2. Compliance	42
3.3.3. Reliability Differentiation (EN 1998-1: 2004/2.1 (2) P, (3)	P) 43
3.3.4. Earthquake ground motion (EN 1998-1: 2004/3.2)	44
3.3.4.1.Peak ground acceleration	44
3.3.4.2.Elastic response spectrum (EN 1998-1: 2004/3.2.2)	45
3.3.4.2.1.Horizontal elastic response spectrum	45
3.3.4.2.2. Vertical elastic response spectrum	49
3.3.4.3.Design response spectrum (EN 1998-1/3.2.2.5)	49
3.3.5. Behavior factor (q) and ductility class	50

3.3	3.6. Seismic analysis of buildings	52
	3.3.6.1.Screening process (EN 1998-1/3.2.1 (4) & (5))	52
	3.3.6.2.Structural Regularity (EN 1998-1/4.2.3)	54
	3.3.6.2.1.Criteria for regularity in plan	55
	3.3.6.2.2.Criteria for regularity in elevation	55
	3.3.6.3.Modelling of structures	56
	3.3.6.4.Methods of analysis (EN 1998-1/4.3.3)	57
	3.3.6.4.1.Lateral force method of analysis (EN 1998-1/4.3.3.2)	57
	3.3.6.4.2.Modal response spectrum analysis (EN 1998-1/4.3.3.3)	59
	3.3.6.4.3.Non-linear methods of analysis (EN 1998-1/4.3.3.4)	60
	3.3.6.5.Other important issues in seismic analysis to EC 8	60
	3.3.6.5.1.Seismic mass (EN 1998-1/3.2.4)	60
	3.3.6.5.2.Accidental torsional effects (EN 1998-1/4.3.3.3.3)	
3.3	University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. 6,5.3 Seismic load combination Electronic Theses & Dissertations 3.7 Design verification mrt.ac.lk	
	3.3.7.1.Ultimate limit state (EN 1998-1/4.4.2)	64
	3.3.7.2.Serviceability limit state (EN 1998-1/4.4.3)	65
3.4.	Implementation of Euro Code 8 in Sri Lanka	65
3.4	4.1. Proposed seismic design approach for Sri Lanka	66
	3.4.1.1.Seismic action.	66
	3.4.1.2.Behavior factor and ductility class	69
	3.4.1.3.Structural model and the method of analysis	70
	3.4.1.4.Design verification	71
3.4	4.2. Peak ground acceleration and elastic response spectra	73
	3.4.2.1.Peak ground acceleration	73
	3.4.2.2.Elastic ground acceleration response spectrum	76

	3.5.	Nationally Determined Parameters (NDPs) for Sri Lanka	86
4.	CA	SE STUDY 01	87
	4.1.	General	87
	4.2.	Case Study 1: Three storey school building	87
	4.3.	Performance requirements	89
	4.4.	Structural regularity	89
	4.4	4.1. Criteria for regularity in plan	90
	4.4	4.2. Criteria for regularity in elevation	99
	4.5.	Determining the structural eccentricities, torsional radii and	
	rac	dii of gyration	92
	4.5	5.1. Structural eccentricity	92
	4.5	5.2. Torsional radius	93
		5.3. Radius of gyration of the floormass in plani (Lands)	93
	4.6.	Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	94
	4.0	5.1. Design response spectra	95
		4.6.1.1.Behavior factor (<i>q</i>)	96
		4.6.1.2.Design peak ground acceleration	98
	4.7.	Structural Model	99
	4.8.	Design characteristics of the model	99
	4.9.	Fundamental period of vibration of the building	102
	4.9	9.1. Fundamental period of vibration using empirical expressions	103
	4.9	9.2. Fundamental period of vibration using Rayleigh method	104
	4.9	9.3. Fundamental period of vibration using modal analysis	105
	4.10.	Methods of analysis	105
	4	0.1 Lateral force method of analysis	106

		4.10.1.1.Estimation of self-weight and seismic mass	107
		4.10.1.2.Calculating seismic base shear	109
		4.10.1.3.Distribution of lateral forces	110
	4.1	10.2.Modal response spectrum analysis	111
		4.10.2.1.General	111
		4.10.2.2.Periods, effective masses and modal shapes	111
	4.11.	Torsional effects	114
	4.12.	Displacements and drift	114
	4.1	12.1.Displacement	114
	4.1	12.2.Inter-storey drift	115
	4.13.	P-Δ effects	117
	4.14.	Results	119
		14.1.Shears	
	4.1	University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. 14 Member internal forces. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	120
5.	CA	SE STUDY 2	123
	5.1.	General	123
	5.2.	Description of the Building	123
	5.3.	Seismic Action	124
	5.3	3.1. Structural type of the building	127
	5.3	3.2. Behavior factor	127
	5.3	3.3. Design peak ground acceleration	127
	5.4.	Structural Regularity	128
	5.5.	Structural Model	128
	5.6.	Modal Response Spectrum Analysis	129
	5 6	6.1 General	129

	5.6.2. Seismic mass of the building	131
	5.6.3. Periods, effective masses and modal shapes	131
5	7. Accidental Torsional Effects	133
5	8. Static Forces by Lateral Force Method	134
5	9. Storey Shear and Base Shear	136
5	10. Displacements and drifts	137
	5.10.1.Displacements	137
	5.10.2.Inter-storey drift	137
5	11. Criterion of the Second Order Effect (P-Δ effects)	138
5	12. Results	139
6.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	142
	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS General	
6.		142
6. 6.1	Implementation of the proposed guidelines. Sri Lanka. Significance of sessification in design of thirdings in Sri Lank3	142 142
6. 6.1 6.2	General Implementation of the proposed guidelines are Lanka.	142 142
6. 6.1 6.2	Implementation of the proposed guidelines. Sri Lanka. Significance of sessification in design of thirdings in Sri Lank3	142 142
6. 6.1 6.2 6.3	Implementation of the proposed guidelines. Sri Lanka. Significance of sessification in design of thirdings in Sri Lank3	142 142
6.1 6.2 6.3	Implementation of the proposed guidelines. Sri Lanka. Significance of seismic von sideration of designed buildings in Sri Lank3 www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	142 142 143
6.1 6.2 6.3 Refe	Implementation of the proposed guidelines, Sri Lanka. Significance of seismic viasideration in design of buildings in Sri Lank3 www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	142 142 143

LIST OF FIGURES

Page
Figure 1.1: Tectonic plate boundaries
Figure 1.2: Earthquakes in and around Sri Lanka
Figure 1.3: Response spectra for a given ground motion [2]
Figure 2.1: Inter-relationship between seismic demand and structural capacity as
applied to EQRD [3]12
Figure 2.2: A global framework for performance-based earthquake engineering [4] 14
Figure 2.3: Performance objectives as defined in Vision 2000 report [7]15
Figure 2.4: Key steps in performance based design process [9]
Figure 2.5: Evolution of seismic design procedure (adopted from ATC-40) [3] 21
Figure 2-6: General design procedure common to seismic design codes
Figure 2.7: Response spectrum in FEMA 450 [14]
Figure 2.8: Euro code Type 1 elastic response spectra
Figure 2.9: Euro code Type 2 elastic response spectra
Figure 2.10 Response spectra defined in AS 1176.4: 2007
Figure 2.11 Response spectra defined in IS 1893-1: 2002 31 WWW.lib.mrt.ac.lk
Figure 3.1: EC 8 frame work for seismic analysis and design of buildings
Figure 3.2: Basic shape of the horizontal elastic response spectrum in EC 8
Figure 3.3: Steps involved in Seismic analysis and design procedure to EC 8 53
Figure 3.4: Proposed seismic design approach for Sri Lanka
Figure 3.5: Proposed methodology for modal response spectrum analysis
Figure 3.6: Hazard curves for Colombo [22]
Figure 3.7: Representation of the relationship between the importance factor and the
return period for different value of the seismic exponent75
Figure 3.8: Response spectrum for Colombo at rock site and the corresponding
response spectrum in IS 1893-1: 2002 [21]
Figure 3.9: Comparison of soil amplification at the constant acceleration branch 80
Figure 3.10: Comparison of EC 8 Type 1 response spectra with IS 1893-1 and AS
1170.4 response spectra for the approximate equivalent soil types 82

Figure 3.11: Comparison of EC 8 Type 2 response spectra with IS 1893-1 and AS			
1170.4 response spectra for the approximate equivalent soil types 83			
Figure 4.1: Cross section of the building showing the elevation in Y-direction 88			
Figure 4.2: (a) & (b) Typical floor plans showing plan dimensions			
Figure 4.3: Elastic response spectrum and design response spectra for the three			
ductility classes96			
Figure 4.4: Three dimensional (spatial) model of the building			
Figure 4.5: Three fundamental modes of vibration obtained from modal response			
spectrum analysis			
Figure 4.6: Shear force diagrams for perimeter frame 1 (a) lateral force method of			
analysis (b) Modal response spectrum analysis			
Figure 4.7: Bending moment diagrams for perimeter frame 1 (a) Lateral force			
method of analysis (b) Modal response spectrum analysis			
Figure 5.1: Floor plan (a) Basement floors (b) Floors above ground level 125			
Figure 5.2: Elevation of the building			
Figure 5.3: Elastic response spectrum and design response spectrum			
Figure 5.4: Structural model of the test building			
Figure 5.5 Three fundamental modes of vibration			
Figure 5.6: Bending moments (a) and shear force (b) for internal frame 3 for the			
combined (SRSS) seismic action in both horizontal directions 140			
Figure 5.7: Bending moments (a) and shear forces (b) for internal frame 3 for the			
8			

LIST OF TABLES

Page
Table 2-1: Four discrete target performance levels as defined in FEMA documents
[8]16
Table 2-2: Seismic design category based on S _{DS} [13]
Table 2-3: Seismic design category based on S _{D1} [13]
Table 2-4: Threshold values for screening process in EC 8 [15]
Table 2-5: Analysis methods recommended for different building categories in
FEMA 450 [13]35
Table 3-1: Importance classes of buildings and associated importance factors 44
Table 3-2: Values of the parameters describing the recommended Type-1 elastic
response spectrum
Table 3-3: Values of the parameters describing the recommended Type-2 elastic
response spectrum47
Table 3-4: Ground type iversity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. 48
Table 3-5 Recommended values of parameters describing the vertical elastic www.lib.mrt.ac.lk 49
Table 3-6: Consequences of structural regularity on seismic analysis and design 54
Table 3-7: Categories of action as defined in EN 1991-1-1
Table 3-8: Recommended values for ψ in EN 1990/Table A1.163
Table 3-9: Recommended values of φ in EN 1998-1/Table 4.2
Table 3-10: Building classification in to importance classes
Table 3-11: Importance factors for different return periods for the k value of 3.076
Table 3-12: Proposed importance factors and corresponding return period values 76
Table 3-13: Soil classification in EC 8 and IS 1893-1 based on N _{SPT} value
Table 3-14: Soil classification in EC 8 and AS 1170.4 based on average shear
velocity79
Table 3-15: Comparison of corner period values of EC 8 and IS
Table 3-16: Soil types and corresponding parameters defining response spectra (IS
1893) modified to the format in EC 885

Table 4-1: Structural eccentricity, torsional radius and radii of gyration in each
horizontal direction
Table 4-2: Structural eccentricity in each horizontal direction
Table 4-3: Torsional radii in each horizontal direction
Table 4-4: Radius of gyration
Table 4-5: Parameters defining elastic response spectrum for soil class 'hard' 95
Table 4-6: Design loads used in the analysis
Table 4-7: Material properties used in the analysis
Table 4-8: Fundamental period of vibration using alternative empirical expression
given in EC 8
Table 4-9: Parameters for calculation of fundamental period of vibration using
Rayleigh method
Table 4-10: Fundamental period of vibration obtained from modal analysis 105
Table 4-11: Criteria for the use of lateral force method of analysis for the test
building
Table 4-12: Approximate calculation of dead load of the test building
Table 4-16: Distribution of seismic base shear at each storey level
Table 4-17: Periods, effective modal mass participation for the test buildings
obtained from the modal response spectrum analysis112
Table 4-18: Calculation of torsional moments at each horizontal direction 114
Table 4-19: Design displacement (d_s) of the test building at each storey level (Lateral
force method of analysis)
Table 4-20: Design displacement (ds) of the test building at each storey level (Modal
response spectrum analysis method)
Table 4-21: Parameters defining the criteria for damage limitation requirement
(Lateral force method of analysis)116
Table 4-22: Parameters defining the criteria for damage limitation requirement
(modal response spectrum analysis method)

Table 4-23: Calculation of inter-storey drift coefficient at each level of the test	
building (Lateral force method of analysis)	. 118
Table 4-24: Calculation of inter-storey drift coefficient at each level of the test	
building (Modal response spectrum analysis)	. 118
Table 4-25: Storey shear and base shear for the test building (Lateral force method	od of
analysis)	. 119
Table 4-26: Storey shear and base shear for the test building (Modal response	
spectrum analysis)	. 119
Table 5-1: Parameters defining the elastic response spectrum	. 124
Table 5-2: Loads applied in the analysis	. 129
Table 5-3: Period of vibration (T) and effective masses	. 131
Table 5-4: Torsional Moments	. 134
Table 5-5: Seismic Mass at each floor level	. 135
Table 5-6: Seismic base shear	. 135
Table 5-7: Seismic horizontal force at each floor level	. 136
Table 5-8: Storey shear forces.	. 136
Table 5-9; Displacement in centre of mass at each floor level	. 137
Table 5-9: Displacement in centre of mass at each floor level Electronic Theses & Dissertations Table 5-10 Storey drift control for both directions www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	. 138
Table 5-11: Determination of the inter-storey drift coefficient	. 139

LIST OF APENDICES

Appendix	Description	Page
Appendix A	Summary of Nationally determined Parameters	148
Appendix B	Calculation of seismic base shear	154
	According to AS 1170: 2007	
Appendix C	Calculation of seismic base shear	155
	According to IS 1893: 2002	

