

**EVALUATION OF RUNOFF ESTIMATION USING SCS  
METHOD FOR INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN - A CASE  
STUDY OF ATTANAGALU OYA BASIN-  
KARASNAGALA, SRI LANKA**

Sonam Tobgay

138658N



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

Degree of Master of Engineering in  
Water Resources Engineering and Management

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa  
Sri Lanka

August 2014

**EVALUATION OF RUNOFF ESTIMATION USING SCS  
METHOD FOR INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN - A CASE  
STUDY OF ATTANAGALU OYA BASIN-  
KARASNAGALA, SRI LANKA**

Sonam Tobgay

138658N

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the



Degree of Master of Engineering in Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations

[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

Supervised by

Professor N.T.S.Wijesekera

UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for  
South Asia Water Management (UMCSAWM)  
Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa  
Sri Lanka

August 2014

## DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgment is made in text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (Such as articles or books).



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)

.....  
Sonam Tobgay

.....  
Date

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters thesis under my supervision

.....  
Professor N.T.S.Wijesekera

.....  
Date

## ABSTRACT

Runoff estimation information on streamflow is a central component for water resource engineering and management. Generally, majority of catchments that demand for water engineering interventions are ungauged. Lack of runoff is a hindrance for optimum infrastructure development. Soil Conservation Service Curve Number method commonly known as the SCS CN method is a popular model elsewhere and in Sri Lanka for runoff estimation in ungauged catchments. One key factor in the use of this model is the determination of Curve Number for the concerned area. Though there are applications SCS model had been carried out for Sri Lanka, the CN estimations had been based on literature recommended values and methods. However the uses of such values require verifications with modeled and observed streamflows. The present study carried out an evaluation of runoff estimation using SCS-CN method developing an event based rainfall runoff model for Attanagalu Oya River Basin at Karasnagala. This study first evaluated the use of literature reported methods for base flow separation and effective rainfall computations to determine the appropriate models for computing direct runoff from the observed streamflows. The SCS CN model developed for the Karasnagala was then tested with a comparison of computed and observed hydrographs. Daily rainfall and runoff data of ten years (1971-1981), 1:50,000 topographic maps, land use and soil data were used for the model development. 60 events were separated after an evaluation of available streamflow and rainfall data. 30 events were used for model calibration and 30 were used for verification. Model evaluation was carried out in several ways. Initially the watershed curve number was determined using standard SCS tables. Then each individual event was calibrated by optimising CN numbers. Average CN from the calibration dataset was then verified using 30 events. Parameter optimisations were done with the Mean Ratio of Absolute Error (MRAE) as the objective function while the Ratio of Absolute Error to Mean (RAEM), ratio of absolute errors corresponding to peak flow, the time to peak and the time base of the hydrographs were computed to reflect the goodness of fit between the observed and modeled streamflows. The present work used the concave method for baseflow separation while the constant loss method was incorporated for the determination of effective rainfall.

The weighted catchment average CN for Karasnagala was 85.18. Calibration of the model produced an average MRAE of 0.59. Results of graphical outputs and the errors computed for peak flow magnitude and occurrence revealed that in case of very good model outputs, the MRAE values were well below 0.20. Average optimised catchment CN value during calibration was 70.11. Verification with the average CN value resulted in a MRAE of 0.40 and the outputs demonstrated that the modeled peak flow magnitudes may be considered as reasonable. The entire set of 60 events showed a MRAE of 0.59 for weighted average CN. The average optimised CN for the same set was 70.11 with a MRAE of 0.40.

The present work revealed that the estimate of stream flows with CN estimations based on standard tables were of lesser quality when compared with those with the use of average CN value from individual observed event calibrations. It was also identified that the SCS CN model estimations with average optimised CN values for Karasnagala watershed do not produce satisfactorily representative results and hence should be used with caution. While showing a wide disparity in the hydrograph reproduction, the SCS CN model outputs indicated the possibility of using the SCS CN model for computation of peak flow magnitude

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere appreciation and thanks to the following persons and organizations for extending their valuable assistance to complete this thesis.

I would sincerely like to thank Prof. N.T.S. Wijesekera for his relentless help and support and guidance provided during this research work. The success of this thesis would not have been possible without his dedicated supervision and continued guidance. I am grateful to him for giving his valuable time which immensely helped me to materialize this research work in time. His dedication and commitment to supervise me constantly and making himself available all through the course is highly appreciated.

I would also like to thank the course co-coordinator, Dr. R.L.H. Lalith Rajapakse for extending all necessary help. He has been kind enough to provide help and support even during despite his busy schedule.

I am grateful and thankful to Ms. Gayani Edirisinghe and Mr. Susantha Shameera Wanniarachchi for their help and support extended to me during this research. And also I would like to express My Thanks to Mr. H.Wajira Kumarasinghe, Civil Department, for his assistance and help extended to me when I was ill. And his sincere and consistent encouragement all through this work is greatly appreciated.

I am also thankful to the Senate as this research under the title “Evaluation Of Runoff Estimation Using SCS-CN method for Infrastructure Design - A Case Study Of Attanagalu Oya Basin- Karasnagala, Sri Lanka” was supported by University of Moratuwa Senate Research Grant Number SRC/LT/2011/15.

Finally I would like to thank Late. Shri Madanjeet Singh and the University of Moratuwa for giving me this opportunity to study towards a Master Degree in water Resources Engineering and Management, at UNESO Madanjeet Singh Center for South Asia Water Management, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	General	1
1.1.1	Problem statement	4
1.1.2	Objective	5
1.1.3	Overall objective	5
1.1.4	Specific objectives	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1	Unit Hydrograph	6
2.2	SCS Dimensionless Method	6
2.3	Time of Concentration	6
2.4	Event Based Modeling	7
2.5	SCS Curve Number method	8
2.6	Rainfall Loss	10
2.7	Event Separation and Minimum Inter Event Time	11
2.8	Rainfall and Streamflow Events	12
2.9	Baseflow Separation	13
2.10	Parameter Optimization	14
2.11	Model Development, Calibration and Verification	15
3	METHODOLOGY	17
3.1	Event Separation	18
3.1.1	Minimum inter-event time	18
3.1.2	Rainfall event selection	18
3.1.3	Streamflow event separation	18
3.2	Baseflow Separation	18
3.3	Catchment Curve Number	19
3.4	Time of Concentration	19
3.5	Model Development	19
3.5.1	Spreadsheet model for computations	19
3.5.2	Effective rainfall computation	20
3.6	SCS-UH Computations	20
3.7	Direct Runoff Hydrograph Computations	20
3.8	Hydrograph for Catchment CN Value	20
3.9	Optimizing Event CN Values	21
3.10	Model Calibration and Verification	21

4	DATA AND DATA CHECKING	21
4.1	Study Area	21
4.2	Data and Data Checking	24
4.2.1	Data	25
4.2.2	Rainfall data	25
4.2.3	Streamflow	28
4.2.4	Field inspection	29
4.2.5	Thiessen rainfall	30
4.2.6	Annual water balance	31
4.2.7	Data issues	31
4.2.8	Land use pattern	33
5	ANALYSIS AND RESULTS	35
5.1	Selection of Events	35
5.1.1	Minimum inter-event time	35
5.1.2	Rainfall and streamflow event selection	35
5.1.3	Baseflow separation	41
5.2	Catchment Curve Number	41
5.3	Direct Runoff Hydrograph	41
5.4	Evaluation of Streamflow Hydrographs	43
5.5	Estimations with Weighted CN	44
5.6	Model Calibration	45
5.7	Model Verification	51
5.8	Evaluation of Verification and Calibration	53
5.8.1	Verification events	53
5.8.2	Calibration events	53
5.8.3	Event summary	53
6	DISCUSSION	54
6.1	Event Selection	54
6.2	Data Resolution	54
6.3	Effective Rainfall	55
6.4	Weighted CN for Karasnagala	55
6.5	Model Calibration	56
6.6	Model Validation	57
6.7	Comparison of Average and Individual Calibrations	59
6.8	Summery Discussion	59
7	CONCLUSIONS	62

8	RECOMMENDATIONS	63
9	REFERENCES	64
	APPENDIX A: Daily and Monthly Rainfall Streamflow Graph Between 1971-1981	70
	APPENDIX B: Rainfall and Streamflow Calibration of 60 Events	78
	APPENDIX C: Baseflow Separation for Calibration Events	81
	APPENDIX F: Weighted CN Calibration graphs for 60 Events.	156
	APPENDIX G: Validation Graphs for 30 events (E31-E60)	187
	APPENDIX J: Calibration Graphs for 30 Events (E1-E60)	208
	APPENDIX K: Calibration of all Events	239
	APPENDIX L: Comparison for Rainfall, ERF and DRO for Calibration Events	241



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  
Electronic Theses & Dissertations  
[www.lib.mrt.ac.lk](http://www.lib.mrt.ac.lk)



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1: Methodology Flowchart of the Study	17
Figure 4.1: Gauging Stations in Karasnagala Watershe	23
Figure 4.2: : Location of Karasnagala Watershed	23
Figure 4.3: Land Use for Karasnagala Study Area	24
Figure 4.5: Monthly Thiessen Average Rainfall-Streamflow	26
Figure 4.6: Annual Thiessen Average Rainfall vs Streamflow for Karasnagala Watershed (1971-1981)	28
Figure 4.7: Monthly Average Streamflow for Karasnagala Catchment	29
Figure 4.8: Annual Average Streamflow for Karasnagala	29
Figure 4.9: Thiessen Polygons for Karasnagala Catchment	30
Figure 4.10: Land Use Map of Karasnagala Catchment	33
Figure 5.1: Variation of Peakflow	36
Figure 5.2: Total Rainfall of All Sixty Events	37
Figure 5.3: Event Rainfall and Streamflow Distribution	37
Figure 5.4: Streamflow Hydrograph and Baseflow of Event No 11	38
Figure 5.5: 24-hrs UH for Karasnagala Catchment	43
Figure 5.6: Comparison of Simulated and Observed Peakflow During Calibration	46
Figure 5.7: Comparison of Simulated and Observed Streamflow During Calibration	47
Figure 5.8: Variation of MRAE vs Events	49
Figure 5.92: Verification Resulted: Comparison of $Q_p$ , $T_p$ & $T_b$ in Relation to Event $Q_p$	52
Figure 5.103: Verification Results: Comparison of $Q_p$ , $T_p$ & $T_b$ in Relation to Event Streamflow	52
Figure 6.2: Calibration for Simulated and Observed Peakflow of Events	57
Figure 6.3: Simulated and Observed Total Event Streamflow During Verification	58

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1: Gauging Station Descriptions and Coordinates	22
Table 4.2: Land Use Details of Karasnagala Catchment	22
Table 4.3 Data Sources and Resolutions	25
Table 4.4: Monthly and Annual Rainfall and Streamflow Variations	27
Table 4.5: Annual Rainfall and Streamflow Data	28
Table 4.6: Thiessen Weight of Rain Gauging Stations	30
Table 4.7: Annual Water Balance Calculation for Karasnagala Catchment for 1971-1981 Year	32
Table 4.8: Land Use Coverage of Karasnagala Catchment	34
Table 5.1: Calibration and Validation Dataset	38
Table 5.2: Results of Baseflow Separation of all Selected Events	39
Table 5.3: Weighted Curve Number Calculation for Karasnagala Basin	41
Table 5.4: SCS UH Parameters for Karasnagala	42
Table 5.5: Parameter Indicator for Events Comparison	44

