(17)

Assessment of the Capacities of Community Based Organisations for Sustainable Management of Small Town Water Supply Scheme

Report submitted to the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfilment of requirements for the Degree of Masters of Engineering in Environmental Engineering & Management 1995/1996



By K S K Ranasinghe

624 "01"

Supervised by Prof. (Mrs.) N. Ratnayake

පුස්ගකාලය මොරටුව විශ්ව විදුහලය. **ශු ලංකාර්** මොරටුව.

7.4

Department of Civil Engineering University of Moratuwa November 2001

University of Moratuwa

74680

# **DECLARATION**

This thesis has not been previously presented in whole or part to any University or Institute for a Higher degree.

Signature of Candidate

20th March 2002.

Date





### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

I extend my sincere gratitude to the University of Moratuwa & NWSDB for providing the opportunity to carry out this study. This report has been prepared under the valuable guidance of Prof. (Mrs.) N. Ratnayake, of University of Moratuwa. I would be very much grateful to her for the kindness and guidance extended towards this study and helping me to accomplish the task.

I also thank Mrs. Priyangika Hemamali for her pain staking effort in typing and adjusting the report several times.

All the assistance provided by the user communities of drinking water giving all the necessary information during the discussions and in the sessions I participated as an observer is very much appreciated. All the officers of the NWSDB who worked in the field also are highly appreciated for all the support given to me in my fieldwork.



#### **ABSTRACT**

Poor water supply & sanitation services continue to be critical problems in rural areas despite considerable effort to improve and expand coverage. Mounting evidence indicates that centrally managed schemes, are difficult to implement and operate when the communities served are dispersed, remote and relatively small. Application of sophisticated technology in such systems leads to high cost in implementation and management that are unaffordable to manage. High O&M and management costs need to be covered by charging high tariffs. Beside above there is a lack of financial resources and physical and social infrastructure needed to support development or to maintain new systems. In contrast, locally managed systems appear to function reasonably well at affordable prices and looks to be sustainable. Although such schemes are obviously difficult to standardize for all communities due to the unique nature of each case, water & sanitation experts agree that they have numerous advantages over other approaches and that the question is no longer whether community management should be promoted, but how it should be done.

In the study, attention was focused towards the sustainability of the provided water supply and sanitation facilities. To sustain the facilities over a long period of time capacities of managing agencies should have acceptable standards. The main component of the study was assessing the capacities of the Community Based Organizations that are vested with power and authority in the management of rural water supply schemes covering the small town category. Study was carried out by observing the situations of six locations where small town water supply schemes have been constructed. Some of these schemes are managed by the local authorities and some by the implementing agencies like NWSDB. NWSDB and local Authorities are powerful legal entities with an established institutional strength recognized by the legislature and the society. However the conditions of CBOs are not that fascinating. Therefore capacity assessment was made focusing the CBOs to a greater extent and the achievements of CBOs were compared against the outputs and performance of NWSDB & LA set up as well.

The six small town schemes studied are diverse in the form of technology adapted, and the nature of management. Therefore, thought had to be extended to various aspects representing these special features while carrying out the assessment. Since all the schemes are planned, implemented and managed with effective involvement of the users in the demand driven environment under the participatory background, the research study was also executed in participatory basis.

Study was carried out by conducting interviews with user communities, obtaining data by distributing a questionnaire, making observations by participating in community meetings and monitoring and evaluating the performance of CBOs by interacting with the communities in progress meetings, during the implementation stage of the project.

Data gathered from the collected information were analysed to study the aspects given in the objective of the research. Findings of the study were presented comparing the outputs of different maintaining agencies of water supply schemes such as CBOs, LAs and NWSDB. Inputs from district sociologists were obtained when social aspects of water were addressed.

This thesis describes the out come of a study carried out to assess the Capacities of Community Based Organizations (CBOs) in various aspects of user centred water supply schemes, taking six small town schemes as case studies as described above.



#### **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

CBO - Community Based Organization

CWSSP - Community Water Supply & Sanitation Project

CWSPU - Community Water Supply & Sanitation Project Unit

DDP - District Development Plan

DS - Divisional Secretary

GI - Galvanized Iron

GOSL · - Government of Sri Lanka

HRD - Human Resource Development

IDA - International Development Agency (World Bank)

LA - Local Authority

m<sup>3</sup> - Cubic Meter

NGO - Non Governmental Organization

NRW - Non Revenue Water

NWSDB - - National Water Supply & Drainage Board

O&M - Operation & Maintenance

OJT - On the Job Training

PO - Partner Organization

PS - Pradeshiya Shbha

PSP - Private Sector Participation

PVC - Polyvinyl Chloride

RWS - Rural Water Supply

SO - Support Organization

DCC - District Co-ordination Committee

# **CONTENTS**

	Description				
1.	Chapter 01				
	1.0	Introduction	08		
	1.1	Title	08		
	1.2	Research Aim	08		
	1.3	Background	08		
	1.4	Justification for the study	09		
	1.5	Objectives	10		
	1.6	Study area	11		
	1.7	Brief description of selected scheme to study	11		
	1.8	What is a Small Town Water Supply Scheme	13		
	1.9	Organisation of the report	14		
2.	Chapter 02				
	2.0	Literature review	15		
	2.1	Small Town Water Supply Programme	15		
	2.2	Programme Origin	16		
	2.3	Experience by 1994	17		
	2.4	Existing position by 1994	17		
	2.5	Project Objective and Description	18		
	2.6	Project Strategy University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  Electronic Theses & Dissertations	19		
3.	Chapter 03 www.lib.mrt.ac.lk				
	3.0	Methodology	26		
	3.1	Quantitative details of Interview due with beneficiaries	26		
	3.2	Quantitative details of Interviews done with the officials of CB	O 27		
	3.3	Quantitative details of Interviews due with Project Officers	27		
	3.4	Quantitative details on questionnaires	28		
	3.5	Quantitative details on Community meetings	29		
4.	Chapter 04				
	4.0	Data Collection & Analysis	31		
	4.1	Involvement of communities for sustainability	31		
	4.2	Post Project strategy for sustainability	36		
	4.3	Findings of the study	37		
5.	Chapter 05				
	5.0	Results and discussion	41		
	5.1	Observations made	41		
	5.2	Discussion of results	42		

6.	Chapter 06				
	6.0	49			
	6.1	Conclusions	49		
	6.2	Recommendations	. 50		
	6.3	Limitation of the study			
7.	Chapter 07				
	7.0 References				



## **DETAILS OF ANNEXES**

AnnexI - Interview questions

AnnexII - Questionnaire distributed among

beneficiaries

AnnexIII - Interview questions asked from project

officers

AnnexIV - O&M agreement on management model A

Annex V - O&M agreement on management model B

Annex VI - O&M agreement on management model C

AnnexVII - Post project strategy



Appendix 01 - Responses from household 01

Appendix 02 - Responses from household 02

# **LIST OF TABLES**

1.	Table 1.1	-	Basic details of the schemes studies
2.	Table 3.1	-	Quantitative details of interviews done with beneficiaries
3.	Table 3.2	-	Quantitative details of interviews done with the officials of the CBO
4.	Table 3.3	-	Quantitative details of interviews done with project officers
5.	Table 3.4	-	Quantitative data on questionnaire information
6.	Table 3.5	-	Details in participation
7.	Table 4.1	-	Characteristic of STWSS selected for the study
8.	Table 4.2		Community contribution
9.	Table 4.3	9	Management models
10.	Table 4.4	-	Community participation in selection of type of scheme
11.	Table 4.5	-	Detail of community participation
12.	Table 4.6	-	Detail of community contribution as a fraction of a capital cost
13.	Table 4.7	-	Water source protection measures
14.	Table 4.8	-	Water supply schemes are tabulated
15.	Table 4.9	-	O & M arrangement
16.	Table 4.10	-	Future expansion of the scheme
17.	Table 5.1	<b>-</b>	Capacity of CBO's in various aspects