COMPARATIVE STUDY ON TWO DIFFERENT WASTEWATER TREATMENT PROCESSES AT CREPE RUBBER FACTORIES

A Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Master of Science Degree in Environmental Management



By Devika Vithanage

628.31

Department of Civil Engineering
University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka.
March 2003

University of Moratuwa
78426

78426

78426

DECLARATION

"This dissertation has not been previously presented in whole or part to any university or institute for a higher degree."

٩

University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Mrs. D.D.Vithanage

March 2003

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

A very special thanks to the Director / Postgraduate Studies, Prof. (Mrs.) N. Ratnayake, the course coordinator who is also the supervisor of this research study, for her advice, constant encouragement, guidance, valuable suggestions and the precious time she devoted to bring the best out of me, through out this study.

I wish to convey my profound gratitude to the Head and all staff of the Department of Civil Engineering of the University of Moratuwa, for providing me with the opportunity to pursue the Masters Degree in Environmental Management and the assistance through out the course. I also wish to gratefully acknowledge the NAREP for sponsoring me to follow this course.

I take this opportunity to thank the Management of Eheliyagoda Rubber Factory and Parakaduwa Rubber Factory for permitting me to study their Effluent treatment Plants that were taken up for this research study.

I wish to thank the Management of the Central Environmental Authority, my Employer for granting me the necessary leave to follow this course and also granting me the permission to use its laboratory and other facilities where the analytical work pertaining to this study was carried out. In this regard a special word of thanks go to the staff of the laboratory that were very helpful during the analytical work.

Finally I wish to thank my husband and my parents for their patience, understanding and for their assistance in every possible way.

Abstract

In Sri Lanka most commonly used treatment methods for crepe rubber factories are pond/lagoon system and activated sludge system. The objective of this study is to identify the most cost effective and commercially viable treatment system to treat the effluent generated from crepe rubber factories. Mechanically aerated lagoon/pond system at Eheliyagoda Rubber Factory and activated sludge system at Parakaduwa Rubber Factory have been selected for this study.

The average flow rates during the study period for the ETP at Eheliyagoda Factory is 30m³/d and it is 60m³/d for the ETP at Parakaduwa Factory. Also it is observed that the Eheliyagoda Factory uses 19% more water and the Parakaduwa Factory uses 43% more water than the water quantity stated in the Guidelines for Natural Rubber Industry. Considerable variations of influent BOD₅ & COD to the treatment plants have been observed due to the changes in weather pattern and latex tapping activities are generally affected by the weather. pH of the wastewater generated in both factories is always less than 6.0 and the BOD₅ & COD removal efficiencies of the anaerobic treatment unit in both plants are less than 30%.

The average BOD₅ & COD of the treated wastewater obtained from the ETP at Eheliyagoda Factory is 53 mg/l and 229 mg/l respectively. Also the 60% of BOD₅ readings and 90% of COD readings of the treated wastewater obtained from the ETP at Eheliyagoda Factory meet CEA standards. The average BOD₅ & COD of the treated wastewater obtained from the ETP at Parakaduwa Factory is 77 mg/l and 340mg/l respectively. The 22% of BOD₅ readings and 78% of COD readings of the treated wastewater obtained from the ETP at Parakaduwa Factory meet CEA standards. The average TSS values of the treated wastewater obtained from the treatment plants at Eheliyagoda & Parakaduwa Factories are 315mg/l &171mg/l. Total BOD₅, COD & TSS removal efficiencies of the ETP at

Eheliyagoda Factory are 93.5%, 94.5% & 67.5% respectively. Such removal efficiencies for the ETP at Parakaduwa Factory are 90.5%, 91.3% and 68.3% respectively. When considering the removal efficiencies of the aerobic/facultative unit of each treatment system, they are higher in the MAL than the Activated sludge tank.

The initial capital cost of the activated sludge process at Parakaduwa Factory is lower than the mechanical aerated lagoon system at Eheliyagoda Factory. But when comparing the cost for operation & maintenance of the treatment systems, it is lower in the treatment plant at Eheliyagoda Factory and it is 54.5% of the cost for the treatment system at Parakaduwa Factory.

For the period of 10 years of the operation of the treatment plants, the unit cost for wastewater treatment in terms of Rs/kg at Parakaduwa Factory and Eheliyagoda Factory are Rs 1.59 and Rs 1.53 respectively. Also the one kilogram of BOD load, treatment costs for Parakaduwa Factory and Eheliyagoda Factory are Rs 46.14 and Rs 47.11 respectively. Since the low operational & maintenance cost and high treatment efficiency of MAL system, it can be concluded that the mechanical aerated lagoon system is more cost effective wastewater treatment process for natural rubber industry where the land is available.

Gravity flow to each treatment unit where ever possible and daily pH correction of the raw wastewater should obtained to achieve cost effective performance in both systems. It is also recommended to maintain a correct DO & MLSS in the aeration tank at Parakaduwa Factory and to install a sand bed as a last unit for the plant at Eheliyagoda Factory.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgement Abstract Table of Contents List of Figures List of Tables List of Appendices			iii iv vi ix x	
List of F			xi	
Abbrevi			xii	
Chapte				
1.1	Industrial was	stewater generation & water pollution	1	
1.2	Natural Rubb	er Industry in Sri Lanka	2	
1.3	Objective and	d Scope of the project	3	
1.4	Crepe Rubbe	er Industry and its Wastewater Generation.		
1.5	Wastewater Treatment in Crepe Rubber Industries 5			
1.5.1	Pre-treatment System			
1.5.2	Anaerobic treatment			
1.5.3	Aerobic/facultative treatment/orstowa.Sci.Lanks			
1.6	Eheliyagoda Rubber Factory and its Wastewater Treatment Plant			
1.7	Parakaduwa Rubber Factory and its Wastewater Treatment Plant			
1.8	Arrangement	of this dissertation	19	
Chapte 2.1	er 2 Literat	ure Review	20	
2.2	Quality & qua	antity of Rubber effluent	20	
2.3	Biological Tre	eatment for Rubber effluent	22	
2.4	Selection of a	a treatment system		
2.5	Wastewater	treatment and energy requirement	2 5	
2.6	Wastewater	treatment & nutrient removal		
2.7	Activated Slu	idge Process	27	
2.7.1	Microbiology	and Activated Sludge Process		
2.7.2	Process Con	trol in Activated Sludge Process		
2.7.3		Activated Sludge Plants		
2.7.4		amentous bulking of Activated Sludge Proce		
2.7.5		the Activated Sludge Process		
2.8		Aerated Lagoon		
2.9		Mechanical Aerated Lagoon		
2.10		t of Pond systems by fibrous carriers		
2.11	Dual – Powe	r level, multicellular aerated lagoon system	s 43	

Chapt	er 3 Materials and Methods					
3.1	Introduction 4					
3.2	Analysis of Effluent					
3.2.1	Determination of BOD					
3.2.2	Determination of COD					
3.2.3	Determination of Total Suspended Solids 5					
3.2.4	Determination of Mixed Liquor Suspended Solids 5					
3.2.5	Determination of Sludge Volume Index 5					
3.2.6	Calculation of construction cost 5					
3.2.7	Calculation of Operational & Maintenance Cost 5					
3.3	Methodology 5					
3.3.1	Experimental Technique5					
3.3.2	Analytical Technique5					
Chapt 4.1	er 4 Results Estimation of wastewater flow rate and dry rubber production					
	capacity 5					
4.1.1	Estimation of average wastewater flow rate 5					
4.1.2	Estimation of average dry rubber production capacity in each rubber factory					
4.2	Characteristics of raw wastewater of each treatment system 5					
4.3	Characteristics of the treated wastewater of each treatment system					
4.4	Removal efficiency 6					
4.5	Process Control					
4.5.1	Food to micro organism (F/M) ratio in Parakaduwa Rubber Factory					
4.5.2	Dissolved oxygen level in the aeration tank					
	at Parakaduwa Rubber Factory					
4.5.3	Sludge Volume Index					
4.6	Cost calculation for the wastewater treatment plants					
4.6.1	Cost calculation for the wastewater treatment plant at Eheliyagoda					
	Rubber Factory					
4.6.2	Cost calculation for the wastewater treatment plant at 7					
	Parakaduwa Rubber Factory					
4.7	4.6.2 Unit Cost Calculation for each treatment systems					
-	er 5 Discussion, Conclusion & Recommendations					
5.1	Discussion					
5.1.1	Flow rate and Crepe Rubber Production Capacity					
E 4 O	Characteristics of influent to each ETD					

5.1.3	Characteristics of the treated wastewater of each ETP	83
5.1.4	Removal efficiency	84
5.1.5	Process control for the Activated Sludge Process at Parakaduwa	
	Rubber Factory	86
5.1.6.	Unit Cost Calculations & Cost comparison	88
5.2	Conclusion	90
5.3	Recommendations	92
Roford	ances	96-99



List of Figures

Figure	1.1	-	Layout plan of the ETP at Eheliyagoda Rubber Factory
Figure	1.2	-	Layout plan of the ETP at Parakaduwa Rubber Factory
Figure	2.1	· -	Conventional Activated sludge flow Schematic
Figure	3.1	-	Flow chart of the ETP and the sampling points at Eheliyagoda Rubber Factory
Figure	3.2	-	Flow chart of the ETP and the sampling points at Parakaduwa Rubber Factory
Figure	4.1	-	BOD₅ variations of raw wastewater
Figure	4.2	-	COD variations of raw wastewater
Figure	4.3	-	TSS variations of raw wastewater
Figure	4.4	-	pH variations of raw wastewater
Figure	4.5	-	BOD₅ variations of treated wastewater
Figure	4.6	-	COD variations of treated wastewater
Figure	4.7	-	TSS variations of treated wastewater
Figure	4.8	-	pH variations of treated wastewater
Figure	4.9	-	Comparison on influent BOD and Total Removal
Figure	4.10	-	Efficiency Comparison on treated wastewater with Standard
Figure	4.11	-	Histograms for the different removal efficiencies of The treatment units in each plant

List of tables

Table	2.1	-	Wastewater Characteristics of crepe rubber manufacturing
Table	3.1	-	Analytical technique
Table	4.1	-	Quantity of wastewater pumped to the ETP
Table	4.2	-	Details of the average dry rubber production capacities
Table	4.3	-	Results of wastewater analyzed during study period at Eheliyagoda Rubber Factory
Table	4.4	-	Results of wastewater analyzed during study period at Parakaduwa Rubber Factory
Table	4.5	-	Sampling dates and sampling numbers
Table	4.6	-	Removal Efficiencies of the Biological Treatment Units of each ETP
Table	4.7	-	Total Removal Efficiencies of each ETP
Table	4.8	-	MLSS & F/M ratio of Parakaduwa Rubber Factory
Table	4.9	-	DO level in the aeration tank at Parakaduwa Rubber Factory
Table	4.10	-	Sludge Volume Index values of the aeration tank at Parakaduwa Rubber Factory
Table	4.11	-	Total cost for the ETP at Eheliyagoda Rubber Factory
Table	4.12	-	Total cost for the ETP at Parakaduwa Rubber Factory
Table	4.13	-	Total annual cost and unit cost calculation of each ETP For 10 years
Table	4.14	-	Comparison of two treatment systems

List of Appendices

Appendix	1.1	-	Production process and wastewater generation points of a crepe rubber industry
Appendix	1.2	-	Standards for discharge of natural rubber industry Wastewater into inland surface waters
Appendix	4.1	-	Details on biological Reactor Dimensions and Hydraulic Retention Time of each ETP
Appendix	4.2	-	Detail construction cost for the ETP at Eheliyagoda Rubber Factory
Appendix	4.3	-	Detail construction cost for the ETP at Parakaduwa Rubber Factory
Appendix	4.4	-	Details on pumps, aerators, control panel, electric work and plumbing work of the ETP at Parakaduwa Factory
Appendix	4.5	٥	Table on annuity factors University of Morality Ser Lanka Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

List of Plates

Plate 1	1	-	Mechanical aerated Lagoon at Eheliyagoda Rubber Factory
Plate 2	2	-	Maturation pond at Eheliyagoda Rubber Factory
Plate 3	3	-	Aeration tank at Parakaduwa Rubber Factory
Plate 4	4	-	Sedimentation tank / Clarifier at Parakaduwa Rubber Factory

List of Abbreviations

AEC - Annual Equivalent Cost

AETU - Aerobic Treatment Unit

ANTU - Anaerobic Treatment Unit

AT - Aeration Tank

BOD - Biochemical Oxygen Demand

CEA - Central Environmental Authority

COD - Chemical Oxygen Demand

DO - Dissolved Oxygen

ETP - Effluent Treatment Plant

F/M - Food to Microorganism Ratio

MAL - Mechanical Aerated Lagoon

MLSS - Mixed Liquor Suspended Solids

MP - Maturation Pond

NEA - National Environmental Act

pH - Hydrogen iron concentration

RBC - Rotating Biological Contactors

SB - Sand Bed

SLR - Sri Lanka Rupees

ST - Settling Tank

SSVI - Stirred Sludge Volume Index

SVI - Sludge Volume Index

TSS - Total Suspended Solids