# COMPARATIVE STUDY ON SEISMIC ANALYSIS OF BUILDINGS FOR DIFFERENT CODE OF PRACTICES COMMONLY USED IN SRI LANKA

### K.P.N. Prasanna

(118624N)



Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

March 2016

# COMPARATIVE STUDY ON SEISMIC ANALYSIS OF BUILDINGS FOR DIFFERENT CODE OF PRACTICES COMMONLY USED IN SRI LANKA

### K.P.N. Prasanna

(118624N)



Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

March 2016

#### **DECLARATION**

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other university or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and believe it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also I hereby grant the University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works.

Signature:	University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka,	_
	Electronic Theses & Dissertations	•
	www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	

The above candidate has carried out this research for the Degree of Masters in Engineering in Structural Engineering Designs under my supervision.

Signature:	Date: / /
Signature:	Date/

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

There are many people, who have contributed in making this research and the accompanying thesis a reality, to whom I am very grateful.

Firstly, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Dr. C.S.Lewangamage, senior lecturer of the Department of Civil Engineering of University of Moratuwa and also the supervisor of this research, for his constant support to complete this research successfully. It is indeed his guidance, enthusiasm, constructive suggestions, encouragements and invaluable assistance provided throughout the project duration made this thesis possible.

My special thanks go to Prof. M.T.R. Jayasinghe, the former head of the Department of Civil Engineering of University of Moratuwa for making me interested in the field of seismology.

I would also like to express any gratitude to all other members of the academic and non-academic staff For the Department of Divil Engineering of University of Moratuwa, for their support extended towards me in various means to finalize this project successfully.

Finally, I wish to express my appreciation to my family members and friends for their assistance and encouragement given to me in completing this project.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Earthquake threat has been identified by many countries and analysis and design against seismic effects have therefore become almost a basic part of their structural design process. Sri Lanka has also identified the importance of designing buildings against seismic actions, specially due to recent incidents, which took place in and around the Island. However, Sri Lanka does not have its own code of practice for designing against seismic actions. Also there are not many established guidelines available in the country for this purpose. As a result, when it is required to analyze and design buildings against seismic actions, the engineers and scientists in the country face difficulties, basically with which codes and guidelines to follow. It is obvious that all of those codes are not equally suitable for conditions in Sri Lanka and also will not give out similar results.

The aim of this research is to check the performance level that a building can achieve when analyzed according to different codes of practice, which are commonly used in Sri Lanka in seismic analysis. In this context, three codes of practice were considered, taking into account their applicability over the others in Sri Lankan context, namely the Australian code (AS1170.4-2007), the Indian code (IS 1893 (Part 1):2002) and the Euro code (BS EN-1998-1:2004). The recommendations provided in the research, conducted by the University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka, aimed at providing guidance on suitable analysis procedures for buildings in Sri Lanka, based on the euro code were also inco-operated in the analysis.

First, the seismic analysis procedures outlined in those codes with respect to both static and dynamic analysis were discussed in detail. Then the analysis procedures introduced in the respective codes of practice were compared and contrasted, considering how they handle the major effects, characteristics of the structures and geotechnical considerations etc.

In order to demonstrate the analysis procedures and to make a comparison on results, three high-rise buildings, having floors between 10 to 20 were selected and analyzed according to the guidelines provided in the three selected codes of practice respectively. In this case, all the structures were analyzed for three different soil conditions, which could be found in Sri Lanka. The computer software "ETABS" has been used for finite element modeling of all the structures. Response Spectrum Analysis (RSA) was used in all the dynamic analysis purposes. Equivalent static analysis was also carried out as per requirements, established in particular codes of practice.

According to the results obtained in the analysis, it has been found that, irrespective of the code of practice, which has been used in the analysis, the structures have achieved Immediate Occupancy Level (IOL)in all twenty seven cases, according to FEMA356 standards. It was also found that the Indian code has given the highest drift values in many occasions while the Euro code also has given very close or sometimes similar drift values. In contrast, the Australian code has generally resulted lowest drift values. Further, it has also been identified that the Euro code has given the highest design base shear forces in all eighteen occasions. On the other hand, the Indian code has given lowest design base shear force in many occasions. The Australian code has also shown the lowest design base shear forces in few occasions.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Scope of the study	2
1.3 Objevtives	2
1.4 Methodology	3
1.5 Arrangement of the report	3
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Analysis procedure as described in Euro code (EN 1998-1:2004)	6
2.1.1 Design seismic action	6
2.1.2 Horizontal elastic response spectra	8
2.1.3 Horizontal design response spectra	9
2.1.4 Vertical component of the seismic action	. 11
2.1.5 Seismic analysis of buildings Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	
2.1 Seismic least of the buildings & Dissertations  www.lib.mrt.ac.lk  2.1.5.2 Seismic load combination	. 12
2.1.5.3 Structural Regularity	
2.1.5.3.1 Criteria for regularity in plan	
2.1.5.3.2 Criteria for regularity in elevation	
2.1.5.4 Structural Analysis	. 15
2.1.5.4.1 Static lateral force method of analysis	16
2.1.5.4.2 Modal response spectrum analysis	16
2.1.5.5 Accidental torsional effects	. 17
2.1.5.6. Displacements and drift	18
2.1.5.6.1 Displacement	. 18
2.1.5.6.2 Inter-storey drift	. 18
2.1.5.7 P- $\Delta$ effects	. 18
2.2 Analysis procedure as described in Australian code (AS 1170.4-2007)	. 19
2.2.1 Design seismic action	. 19

2.2.2 Horizontal elastic response spectra	
2.2.3 Vertical component of the seismic action	
2.2.4 Seismic analysis of buildings	
2.2.4.1 Seismic weight of the building	
2.2.4.2 Seismic Load Combination	
2.2.4.3 Structural Analysis	
2.2.4.3.1 Equivalent Static Analysis	
2.2.4.3.2 Modal response spectrum analysis	
2.2.4.4 Earthquake design categories	
2.2.4.4.1 Earthquake design category I (EDC I)	
2.2.4.4.2 Earthquake design category II (EDC II)	
2.2.4.4.3 Earthquake design category III (EDC III)	
2.3 Analysis procedure as described in Indian code [IS 1893 (Part 1): 2002]	
2.3.1 Horizontal elastic response spectra	
University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  2.3.2 Vertical component of the seismic action	
2.3.3 Design horizontal seismic coefficient	
2.3.4 Seismic analysis of buildings	
2.3.4.1 Seismic weight of the building	
2.3.4.2 Structural Irregularity	
2.3.4.2.1 Plan irregularity	
2.3.4.2.2 Vertical irregularity	
2.3.4.3 Structural Analysis	
2.3.4.3.1 Static lateral force method of analysis	
2.3.4.3.2 Dynamic analysis - Response spectrum method	
2.3.4.4 Torsional effects	
2.3.4.5 Storey drift limitation	
2.4Comparison of analysis procedures as described in the Euro code, the Australian code	
and the Indian code	
2.4.1. Sub-soil conditions 44	

2.4.2 Structural regularity	45
2.4.3 Seismic hazard factor	45
2.4.4 Design base shear force	45
2.4.5 Accidental Torsional effect	45
2.4.6 <i>P</i> -delta effects	46
2.5 Review over previous research studies	46
3.0 METHODOLOGY	49
4.0 ANALYSIS ACCORDING TO EURO CODE { EN 1998-1:2004}	51
4.1 BUILDING "A"	51
4.1.1 Design seismic action	51
4.1.2 Methods of analysis	52
4.1.2.1Structural Model	53
4.1.2.2 Lateral force method of analysis	55
4.1.2.2.1 Estimation of seismic mass of the building	55
University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  Calculation of seismic base shear. Electronic Theses & Dissertations	55
4.1.22.3 Distribution of lateral fordes	
4.1.2.3 Modal response spectrum analysis	57
4.1.2.3.1 General rules	57
4.1.2.3.2 Periods and effective masses	58
4.1.2.3.3 Torsional effects	58
4.1.2.3.4 Storey shear and displacement	59
4.1.2.3.5 Inter-storey drift	61
4.1.2.3.6 P-Δ effects	63
4.2 BUILDING "B"	65
4.2.1 Design seismic action	65
4.2.2 Method of analysis	66
4.2.2.1 Structural Model	67
4.2.2.2 Lateral force method of analysis	68
4.2.2.2.1 Estimation of seismic mass of the huilding	68

4.2.2.2.2 Calculating seismic base shear	68
4.2.2.2.3 Distribution of lateral forces	69
4.2.2.3 Modal response spectrum analysis	70
4.2.2.3.1 General rules	70
4.2.2.3.2 Periods and effective masses	70
4.2.2.3.3 Torsional effects	71
4.2.2.3.4. Storey shear and displacement	72
4.2.2.3.5Inter-storey drift	73
4.2.2.3.6 P- $\Delta$ effects	75
4.3 BUILDING "C"	77
4.3.1 Design seismic action	77
4.3.2 Methods of analysis	79
4.3.2.1 Structural Model	79
4.3.2.2 Lateral force method of analysis	80
University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  4.322.1 Estimation of seismic mass of the building Electronic Theses & Dissertations	81
4.3.2.2.2 Calculating isoismic base khear.	
4.3.2.2.3 Distribution of lateral forces	82
4.3.2.3 Modal response spectrum analysis	83
4.3.2.3.1 General rules	83
4.3.2.3.2 Periods and effective masses	83
4.3.2.3.3 Torsional effects	83
4.3.2.3.4. Storey shear and displacement	84
4.3.2.3.5. Inter-storey drift	85
4.3.2.3.6 P- $\Delta$ effects	87
5.0 ANALYSIS ACCORDING TO AUSTRALIAN CODE { AS 1170.4-2007}	89
5.1 BUILDING "A"	89
5.1.1 Design seismic action	89
5.1.2 Method of analysis	90
5.1.2.1 Structural Model	91

5.1.2.2. Equivalent static analysis	92
5.1.2.2.1 Estimation of seismic weight of the building	93
5.1.2.2.2 Calculation of seismic base shear	93
5.1.2.2.3 Distribution of lateral forces	95
5.1.2.3 Modal response spectrum analysis	96
5.1.2.3.1. General rules	96
5.1.2.3.2 Periods and effective masses	96
5.1.2.3.3 Torsional effects	97
5.1.2.3.4 Storey shear and displacements	98
5.1.2.3.5 Storey drifts	99
5.1.2.3.6 P-Δ effects	100
5.2 BUILDING "B"	102
5.2.1 Design seismic action	102
5.2.2 Method of analysis	104
University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  5.2.2 Structural Model Electronic Theses & Dissertations	104
5.2.2. Equivalent statid analysis c. 1k.	105
5.2.2.2.1 Estimation of seismic weight of the building	106
5.2.2.2 Calculation of seismic base shear	106
5.2.2.2.3 Distribution of lateral forces	108
5.2.2.3 Modal response spectrum analysis	108
5.2.2.3.1. General rules	108
5.2.2.3.2 Periods and effective masses	109
5.2.2.3.3 Torsional effects	109
5.2.2.3.4 Storey shear and displacements	110
5.2.2.3.5 Storey drifts	111
5.2.2.3.6 P-Δ effects	112
5.3 BUILDING "C"	114
5.3.1 Design seismic action	114
5.3.2 Method of analysis	116

5.3.2.1 Structural Model	116
5.3.2.2 Equivalent static analysis	117
5.3.2.2.1 Estimation of seismic weight of the building	118
5.3.2.2.2 Calculating seismic base shear	118
5.3.2.2.3 Distribution of lateral forces	119
5.3.2.3 Modal response spectrum analysis	120
5.3.2.3.1.General rules	120
5.3.2.3.2 Periods and effective masses	121
5.3.2.3.3 Torsional effects	121
5.3.2.3.4 Storey shear and displacements	122
5.3.2.3.5 Storey drifts	123
$5.3.2.3.6 \text{ P-}\Delta \text{ effects.}$	124
6.0 ANALYSIS ACCORDING TO IS 1893(Part 1): 2002	126
6.1 BUILDING "A"	126
University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  6.1.1 Pestin seismic action Electronic Theses & Dissertations	126
6.1.2 Method of analysislib mrt. ac.lk	
6.1.2.1 Structural Model	127
6.1.2.2. Lateral force method (Static analysis)	129
6.1.2.2.1 Seismic weight of the building	130
6.1.2.2.2 Design seismic base shear	130
6.1.2.2.3 Distribution of lateral forces	131
6.1.2.3 Modal response spectrum analysis	132
6.1.2.3.1. General rules	132
6.1.2.3.2 Periods and effective masses	133
6.1.2.3.3 Torsional effects.	133
6.1.2.3.4 Storey shear forces by modal response spectrum analysis method	134
6.1.2.3.5 Storey displacement and drift	136
6.2 BUILDING "B"	139
6.2.1 Design seismic action	139

6.2.2 Method of analysis	140
6.2.2.1 Structural Model	140
6.2.2.2. Equivalent static analysis	141
6.2.2.2.1 Seismic weight of the building	142
6.2.2.2.2 Design seismic base shear	142
6.2.2.2.3 Distribution of lateral forces	143
6.2.2.3 Modal response spectrum analysis	144
6.2.2.3.1 General rules	144
6.2.2.3.2 Periods and effective masses	144
6.2.2.3.3 Torsional effects	145
6.2.2.3.4 Storey shear forces by modal response spectrum analysis method	146
6.2.2.3.5 Storey displacement and drift	147
6.3 BUILDING "C"	150
6.3.1 Design seismic action	
University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  6.3.2 Method of analysis Electronic Theses & Dissertations	151
6.3.2 18 tructural Modelib, mrt. ac.lk	
6.3.2.2. Equivalent static analysis	152
6.3.2.2.1 Seismic weight of the building	153
6.3.2.2.2 Design seismic base shear	153
6.3.2.2.3 Distribution of lateral forces	154
6.3.2.3 Modal response spectrum analysis	155
6.3.2.3.1. General rules	155
6.3.2.3.2 Periods and effective masses	155
6.3.2.3.3 Torsional effects.	156
6.3.2.3.4 Storey shear forces by modal response spectrum analysis method	157
6.3.2.3.5 Storey displacement and drift	158
7.0 COMPARISON OF PERFORMANCE LEVEL OFBUILDINGS WITH DIFFERI	ENT
CODES OF PRACTICE	161
7.1 Comparison based on target performance level	161

7.2 Comparison based on higheststorey drift ratios	163
7.3 Comparison based on design base shear force	164
8.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	167
REFERENCES	168
APPENDIX A: BASIC DETAILS OF BUILDING - A	170
A1. Eighteen storied residential apartment building	170
A2. Basic calculations according to EN 1998-1:2004	179
A2.1Structural regularity	179
A2.1.1Criteria for regularity in plan	179
A2.1.1.1 Determining the structural eccentricities, torsional radii a	and radii of
gyration	181
A2.1.2Criteria for regularity in elevation	184
APPENDIX B: BASIC DETAILS OF BUILDING - B	185
B.1. Fourteen storied residential apartment building	185
B2. Basic calculations according to EN 1998-112002. Sri Lanka.  Electronic Theses & Dissertations  B2. 15th of the street of the	
B2.1Structural regularity WWW.110.mrt.ac.1k  B2.1.1Criteria for regularity in plan	
B2.1.1.1 Determining the structural eccentricities, torsional radii a	
gyration	
B2.1.2 Criteria for regularity in elevation	
APPENDIX C: BASIC DETAILS OF BUILDING - C	201
C1. Ten storied residential apartment building	201
C2. Basic calculations according to EN 1998-1:2004	205
C2.1Structural regularity	205
C2.1.1Criteria for regularity in plan	
C2.1.1.1 Determining the structural eccentricities, torsional radii a	
gyrationg the structural eccentricutes, torsional radii to	
C2.1.2 Criteria for regularity in elevation	208

## LIST OF TABLES

Table EN-1	:	Classifications of buildings into important classes	7
Table EN-2	:	Design peak ground acceleration values (ag)	8
Table EN-3	:	Soil classification and parameters defining horizontal elastic response spectra	9
Table EN-4	:	Basic value of the behavior factor $(q_0)$ for systems regular in elevation (EN 1998-1:2004/5.2.2.2 (Table 5.1))	10
Table EN-5	:	Factor $k_w$ reflecting the prevailing failure mode (EN 1998-1:2004/5.2.2.2 (11)P)	11
Table EN-6	:	Approximate values for multiplication factor $\alpha_u/\alpha_1$ for buildings regular in plan (EN 1998-	11
Table E	Elec	versity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. etreeommenases vallesissertations in EN	13
Table EN-8	:	Definitions of different categories A-E	13
Table EN-9	:	Values of φ factors	14
Table EN-10	:	Consequences of structural regularity on structural model and the analysis method	15
Table AS-1	:	Reference probability of exceedance	19
Table AS-2	:	Classification of buildings in to important classes	20
Table AS- 3	:	Selection of earthquake design categories	21
Table AS-4	:	Probability factor k <sub>p</sub>	22
Table AS-5	:	Equations for spectra	23
Table AS- 6	:	Structural ductility factor $(\mu)$ and structural performance factor $(S_p)$ - Basic structures	27

Table AS-7	:	Value of $K_s$ for structures not exceeding 15m	32
Table IS-1	:	Soil classification and parameters defining horizontal elastic response spectra	35
Table IS-2	:	Zone factor, Z (Table 2 of IS 1893 (Part 1): 2002)	36
Table IS-3	:	Importance Factor, I (Table 6 of IS 1893 (Part 1): 2002)	36
Table IS-4	:	Response reduction factor $^{1)}$ , R (Table 7 of IS 1893 (Part 1): 2002)	37
Table IS-5	:	Percentage of imposed load to be considered in seismic weight calculation in (Table 8 of IS 1893 (Part 1): 2002)	38
Table IS-6	:	Consequences of structural regularity on structural model and the analysis method	40
Table EA-1	:	Total seismic mass of building A	55
Table EA	Univ	versity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Correction factor, A for building A tronic Theses & Dissertations	56
Table EA-3	www	V Seismid base shear of building A	56
Table EA-4	:	Distribution of seismic base shear at each storey level - Building A	57
Table EA-5	:	Periods and effective modal mass participation of building A(Modal response spectrum analysis)	58
Table EA-6	:	Torsional moments at each horizontal direction	59
Table EA-7	:	Storey shear forces of building A (Modal response spectrum analysis method)	60
Table EA-8	:	Design displacement $(d_s)$ of the test building at each storey level (Modal response spectrum analysis method)	60
Table EA-9	:	Parameters defining the criteria for damage limitation requirement by modal response spectrum analysis - Soft soil conditions	61

Table EA-10	: Parameters defining the criteria for damage limitation requirement by modal response spectrum analysis – Medium soil conditions	62
Table EA-11	: Parameters defining the criteria for damage limitation requirement by modal response spectrum analysis – Hard soil conditions	62
Table EA-12	: Calculation of inter-storey drift sensitivity coefficient at each level of building A from modal response spectrum analysis – Soft soil conditions.	63
Table EA-13	: Calculation of inter-storey drift sensitivity coefficient at each level of building A from modal response spectrum analysis – Medium soil conditions	64
Table EA-14	: Calculation of inter-storey drift sensitivity coefficient at each level of building A from modal  Universions spectrum analysis S Hard soil conditions	64
Table EB	Electronic Theses & Dissertations Total seismic mass of building B www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	68
Table EB-2	: Correction factor, $\lambda$ for building B	69
Table EB-3	: Seismic base shear of building B	69
Table EB-4	: Distribution of seismic base shear at each storey level - Building B	70
Table EB-5	: Periods and effective modal mass participation of building B (Modal response spectrum analysis)	71
Table EB-6	: Torsional moments at each horizontal direction	72
Table EB-7	: Storey shear forces of building B (Modal response spectrum analysis method)	72
Table EB-8	: Design displacement $(d_s)$ of the test building at each storey level (Modal response spectrum analysis method)	73
Table EB-9	: Parameters defining the criteria for damage	74

		analysis - Soft soil conditions	
Table EB-10	:	Parameters defining the criteria for damage limitation requirement by modal response spectrum analysis – Medium soil conditions	74
Table EB-11	:	Parameters defining the criteria for damage limitation requirement by modal response spectrum analysis – Hard soil conditions	75
Table EB-12	:	Calculation of inter-storey drift sensitivity coefficient at each level of building B from modal response spectrum analysis – Soft soil conditions	76
Table EB-13	:	Calculation of inter-storey drift sensitivity coefficient at each level of building B from modal response spectrum analysis – Medium soil conditions	76
Table EB-1		Calculation Moratuma Sri Lanka. sensitivity tronic Theses & Dissertations coefficient at each level of building B from modal v.lib. mrt. ac.lk response spectrum analysis – Hard soil condition	77
Table EC-1:	:	Total seismic mass of building C	81
Table EC-2	:	Correction factor, $\lambda$ for building C	82
Table EC-3	:	Seismic base shear of building C	82
Table EC-4	:	Distribution of seismic base shear at each storey level - Building C	82
Table EC-5	:	Periods and effective modal mass participation of building C (Modal response spectrum analysis)	83
Table EC-6	:	Torsional moments at each horizontal direction	84
Table EC-7	:	Storey shear forces of building C (Modal response spectrum analysis method)	84
Table EC-8	:	Design displacement (d <sub>s</sub> ) of the test building at each storey level (Modal response spectrum analysis	85

limitation requirement by modal response spectrum

### method)

Table EC-9	:	Parameters defining the criteria for damage limitation requirement by modal response spectrum analysis - Soft soil conditions	86
Table EC-10	:	Parameters defining the criteria for damage limitation requirement by modal response spectrum analysis – Medium soil conditions	86
Table EC-11	:	Parameters defining the criteria for damage limitation requirement by modal response spectrum analysis – Hard soil conditions	86
Table EC-12	:	Calculation of inter-storey drift sensitivity coefficient at each level of building C from modal response spectrum analysis – Soft soil conditions.	87
Table EC-13	:	Calculation of inter-storey drift sensitivity	88
	Elec	coefficient at each level of building C from modal versity of Moratuwa Sri Lanka versity of Mora	
Table EC-14	:	Calculation of inter-storey drift sensitivity coefficient at each level of building C from modal	88
		response spectrum analysis – Hard soil conditions	
Table AA-1	:	Total seismic weight of building A	93
Table AA-2	:	Design seismic base shear of building A ( $T_1$ from modal analysis)	94
Table AA-3	:	Design seismic base shear of building A ( $T_1$ from eq. 6.2(7) of AS 1170.4-2007)	94
Table AA-4	:	Design seismic base shear of building A	94
Table AA-5	:	Distribution of seismic base shear at each storey level	95
Table AA-6	:	Periods and effective modal mass participation of building A (Modal response spectrum analysis	97

Table AA-7	:	Torsional moments - Building A	98
Table AA-8	:	Storey shear forces of building A (Modal response spectrum analysis method)	98
Table AA-9	:	Design displacement $(d_i)$ of the test building at each storey level (Modal response spectrum analysis method)	99
Table AA-10	:	Parameters defining the criteria for damage limitation requirement by modal response spectrum analysis – Building A	100
Table AA-11	:	Calculation of inter-storey stability coefficient at each level of building A from modal response spectrum analysis – Very soft soil conditions	101
Table AA-12	:	Calculation of inter-storey stability coefficient at each level of building A from modal response spectrum analysis – Shallow soil conditions	101
Table AA-13	Elec	versity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  (Calculation of inter-storey stability, coefficient at vench level of Ibuilding A from modal response spectrum analysis – Rock conditions	102
Table AB-1	:	Total seismic weight of building B	106
Table AB-2	:	Design seismic base shear of building B ( $T_1$ from modal analysis)	107
Table AB-3	:	Design seismic base shear of building B ( $T_1$ from eq. 6.2(7) of AS 1170.4-2007)	107
Table AB-4	:	Design seismic base shear of building B	107
Table AB-5	:	Distribution of seismic base shear at each storey level	108
Table AB-6	:	Periods and effective modal mass participation of building B (Modal response spectrum analysis	109
Table AB-7	:	Torsional moments - Building B	110
Table AB-8	:	Storey shear forces of building B (Modal response	111

## spectrum analysis method)

Table AB-9	:	Design displacement $(d_i)$ of the test building at each storey level (Modal response spectrum analysis method)	111
Table AB-10	:	Parameters defining the criteria for damage limitation requirement by modal response spectrum analysis – Building B	112
Table AB-11	:	Calculation of inter-storey stability coefficient at each level of building B from modal response spectrum analysis – Very soft soil conditions	113
Table AB-12	:	Calculation of inter-storey stability coefficient at each level of building B from modal response spectrum analysis – Shallow soil conditions	113
Table AB-13		Calculation of inter-storey stability coefficient at each level of building B from modal response versity of Morathy Conditions etronic Theses & Dissertations	114
Table AC-		W Total seismic weight of building C	118
Table AC-2	:	Design seismic base shear of building C ( $T_1$ from modal analysis)	119
Table AC-3	:	Design seismic base shear of building C ( $T_1$ from eq. 6.2(7) of AS 1170.4-2007)	119
Table AC-4	:	Design seismic base shear of building C	119
Table AC-5	:	Distribution of seismic base shear at each storey level	120
Table AC-6	:	Periods and effective modal mass participation of building C (Modal response spectrum analysis	121
Table AC-7	:	Torsional moments - Building C	122
Table AC-8	:	Storey shear forces of building C (Modal response spectrum analysis method)	122
Table AC-9	:	Design displacement (d <sub>i</sub> ) of the test building at each	123

		method)	
Table AC-10	:	Parameters defining the criteria for damage limitation requirement by modal response spectrum analysis – Building C	123
Table AC-11	:	Calculation of inter-storey stability coefficient at each level of building C from modal response spectrum analysis – Very soft soil conditions	124
Table AC-12	:	Calculation of inter-storey stability coefficient at each level of building C from modal response spectrum analysis – Shallow soil conditions	125
Table AC-13	:	Calculation of inter-storey stability coefficient at each level of building C from modal response spectrum analysis – Rock conditions	125
Table IA-1	: T T :	Seismic weight of building A	130
Table IA-2	Elec	versity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.  Design reismics base shear by statics lateral force  whethour Building A	131
Table IA-3	:	Distribution of design seismic base shear at each storey level - Building A	132
Table IA-4	:	Periods and effective modal mass participation by modal response spectrum analysis - Building A	133
Table IA-5	:	Torsional moments - Building A	134
Table IA-6	:	Storey shear forces by modal response spectrum analysis method - Building A	135
Table IA-7	:	Summary of base shear forces - Building A	135
Table IA-8	:	Modified storey shear forces by modal response spectrum analysis method - Building A	135
Table IA-9	:	Storey displacement (d) by modal response spectrum analysis method - Building A	137
Table IA-10	:	Parameters defining the criteria for damage	137

storey level (Modal response spectrum analysis

		analysis – Building A	
Table IA-11	:	Modified storey displacements by modal response spectrum analysis method - Building A	138
Table IA-12	:	Adjusted storey displacements by modal response spectrum analysis method - Building A	138
Table IB-1	:	Seismic weight of building B	142
Table IB-2	:	Design seismic base shear by static lateral force method - Building B	143
Table IB-3	:	Distribution of design seismic base shear at each storey level - Building B	144
Table IB-4	:	Periods and effective modal mass participation by modal response spectrum analysis - Building B	145
Table IB-5	:	Torsional moments - Building B	145
Table IB	Univ Elec www	Versity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Storey shear forces by modal response spectrum tronic Theses & Dissertations analysis method. Building B	146
Table IB-7	:	Summary of base shear forces - Building B	146
Table IB-8	:	Modified storey shear forces by modal response spectrum analysis method - Building B	147
Table IB-9	:	Storey displacement (d) by modal response spectrum analysis method - Building B	148
Table IB-10	:	Parameters defining the criteria for damage limitation requirement by modal response spectrum analysis – Building B	148
Table IB-11	:	Modified storey displacements by modal response spectrum analysis method - Building B	149
Table IB-12	:	Adjusted storey displacements by modal response spectrum analysis method - Building B	149
Table IC-1	:	Seismic weight of building C	153

limitation requirement by modal response spectrum

Table IC-2	:	Design seismic base shear by static lateral force method - Building C	154
Table IC-3	:	Distribution of design seismic base shear at each storey level - Building C	155
Table IC-4	:	Periods and effective modal mass participation by modal response spectrum analysis - Building C	156
Table IC-5	:	Torsional moments - Building C	157
Table IC-6	:	Storey shear forces by modal response spectrum analysis method - Building C	157
Table IC-7	:	Summary of base shear forces - Building C	158
Table IC-8	:	Modified storey shear forces by modal response spectrum analysis method - Building C	158
Table IC-9	:	Storey displacement (d) by modal response spectrum analysis method - Building C	159
Table IC	Flec	versity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Parameters defining the criteria for damage ctronic Theses & Dissertations Limitation requirement by modal response spectrum analysis – Building C	159
Table IC-11	:	Modified storey displacements by modal response spectrum analysis method - Building C	160
Table IC-12	:	Adjusted storey displacements by modal response spectrum analysis method - Building A	160
Table 7.1.1	:	Transient lateral drift at roof level of the three structures	162
Table 7.1.2	:	Code of practice for highest and lowest drift ratio at roof level	162
Table 7.2.1	:	Highest storey drift ratio at any storey level	163
Table 7.2.2	:	Code of practice for maximum andminimum value of highest storey drift ratio at any storey level	164
Table 7 3 1		Design base shear force of the three structures	165

Table 7.3.2	:	Code of practice for highest and lowest design base shear force	165
Table A1	:	Material properties used in the analysis	176
Table A2	:	Design loads used in the analysis	176
Table A3	:	Approximate calculation of dead load on the test buildings	177
Table A4	:	Approximate calculation of imposed load on the test buildings	179
Table A5	:	Fundamental period of vibration obtained from modal analysis	179
Table A6	:	Structural eccentricity, torsional radius and radii of gyration in each horizontal direction	181
Table A7	:	Structural eccentricity in each horizontal direction	182
Table A8	Ùni	Torsional radii in each horizontal direction versity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	183
Table A	Elec	ctranic oflygation Dissertations	184
Table B1	WW'	w.lib.mrt.ac.lk Material properties used in the analysis	190
Table B2	:	Design loads used in the analysis	191
Table B3	:	Approximate calculation of dead load of the test building	192
Table B4	:	Approximate calculation of imposed load of the test buildings	197
Table B5	:	Fundamental period of vibration obtained from modal analysis	197
Table B6	:	Structural eccentricity, torsional radius and radii of gyration in each horizontal direction	198
Table B7	:	Structural eccentricity in each horizontal direction	199
Table B8	:	Torsional radii in each horizontal direction	199
Table B9	:	Radius of gyration	200

Table C1	:	Material properties used in the analysis	202
Table C2	:	Design loads used in the analysis	202
Table C3	:	Approximate calculation of dead load on the test building	203
Table C4	:	Approximate calculation of imposed load on the test buildings	205
Table C5	:	Fundamental period of vibration obtained from modal analysis	205
Table C6	:	Structural eccentricity, torsional radius and radii of gyration in each horizontal direction	206
Table C7	:	Structural eccentricity in each horizontal direction	207
Table C8	:	Torsional radii in each horizontal direction	207
Table C9	:	Radius of gyration	208



# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure EA-1	:	Elastic response spectrum and design response spectrum - Building A	52
Figure EA-2	:	Three dimensional (spatial) model of Building A	54
Figure EB-1	:	Elastic response spectrum and design response spectrum - Building B	66
Figure EB-2	:	Three dimensional (spatial) model of Building B	67
Figure EC-1	:	Elastic response spectrum and design response spectrum - Building C	78
Figure EC-2	:	Three dimensional (spatial) model of Building C	80
Figure AA-1	:	Three dimensional (spatial) model of Building A	92
Figure AB-1	:	Three dimensional (spatial) model of Building B	105
Figure AC-1	: Unix	Three dimensional (spatial) model of Building C versity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	117
Figure IA-		Three dimensional (spatial) model of Building A	129
Figure IB-1	WWV	v.lib.mrt.ac.lk Three dimensional (spatial) model of Building B	141
Figure IC-1	:	Three dimensional (spatial) model of Building B	152
Figure A1	:	Plan View - Ground floor	171
Figure A2	:	Plan View - First floor	171
Figure A3	:	Plan View – 2 <sup>nd</sup> to 4 <sup>th</sup> floor	172
Figure A4	:	Plan View – 5 <sup>th</sup> floor	172
Figure A5	:	Plan View – 6 <sup>th</sup> to 16 <sup>th</sup> floor	173
Figure A6	:	Plan View – 17 <sup>th</sup> floor	173
Figure A7	:	Plan View – Roof floor	174
Figure A8	:	Cross section A-A of the buildings	175
Figure A8 Figure B1	:	Cross section A-A of the buildings Plan View - Ground floor	175 186

Figure B3	:	Plan View $-2^{nd}$ to $13^{th}$ floor	188
Figure B4	:	Plan View – Roof floor	189
Figure B5	:	Cross section A-A of the buildings	190
Figure C1	:	Plan View - First Floor	201
Figure C2	:	Plan View - Typical Floor	202

