# ESTABLISHMENT OF CORRELATION FACTOR FOR THE CARBON STEEL RODS TESTED UNDER TENSILE LOAD AND THREE POINT BEND TEST

Sunethra Kumari Muthurathna

(10/8308)

Degree of Master of Science in Materials Science

Department of Materials Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

January 2014

# ESTABLISHMENT OF CORRELATION FACTOR FOR THE CARBON STEEL RODS TESTED UNDER TENSILE LOAD AND THREE POINT BEND TEST

#### Sunethra Kumari Muthurathna

(10/8308)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science in Materials Science

Department of Materials Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

January 2014

#### **AUTHOR'S DECLARATION**

I declare that this thesis is a presentation of my original research work. The thesis does not include any material submitted to any other university or an institute of higher learning for a Degree or Diploma and to the best of my knowledge and belief, the thesis does not contain any material previously published by any other person except where the due reference has been made in the text.

I hereby grant the University of Moratuwa non-exclusive, right to reproduce, publish and distribute copies of this thesis or dissertation in any form, in whole or in part while

holding the right to use the contents of this thesis, in whole or in part for my future publications.

[Candidate's name and signature]

Date

In my capacity as supervisor of the candidate's thesis, I certify that the above statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

Date

[Name and Signature of supervisor]

#### **ABSTRACT**

Steel is one of the major construction materials which play an important role in the construction industry in Sri Lanka. The supply of durable construction materials is always identified as one of the major obstacles to improved construction in developing countries whether in the cities or in the rural areas. The reinforcement of concrete with steel rods is more safe, efficient and more economical. It has excellent bonding properties as well as high yield/proof stress and elongation. Most of the sales outlets do not provide information on the grade of steel. Hence laboratory testing to verify the steel grade is more essential before its use in construction purposes. Requirements for ribbed steel bars for the reinforcement of concrete are specified in SLS 375. It specifies two grades of steel bars: RB 460 and RB 500. Corresponding British Standard: BS 4449 issued in 2005 provides for three grades of steel, all of which should have a characteristic yield strength of 500 N/mm<sup>2</sup> (MPa) but differing in respect of other properties such as tensile / yield strength ratio and total elongation at maximum force. The requirements specified in SLS 375 cover, material requirements (chemical properties), dimensions and mass per metre, surface geometry, and mechanical properties (Tensile / Yield strength ratio) total elongation at maximum force. One of the main difficulties faced in quality assurance of the concreting steel bars (mainly 25mm and above) in Sri Lanka is the lack of facilities to determine the tensile strength, yield strength and elongation, which are the key parameters determining the quality of the material. Lack of standardized equipment having sufficient capacity to perform tensile tests often leads to incorrect quality assessments of the steel products. To develop a mathematical relationship between results of tensile test and three-point bend test for all available bar sizes of cold twisted bars and thermally treated steel bars are being measured and obtained the relations with 0.2% proof stress in tensile testing with 0.04% offset yield stress in three point bend testing for each bar diameters.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Mr. Sampath Weragoda/Senior Lecturer, Department of Materials Science and Engineering for supervise the research activities on this project. Special mention must be made to Steel Manufacturers namely Melwire Rolling (Pvt) Ltd, Ceylon Steel Corporation Ltd, GTB Colombo Corporation (Pvt) Ltd, Ind-sri Industrial Corporation (Pvt) Ltd for their generous material donations.

I greatly appreciate the co-operation of Mr. W B J Fernando, Former Director General, and National Building Research Organization (NBRO) for providing me financial support to follow the Master of Sciences Degree Course. Finally I would like to thank Dr. Asiri Karunawardana, present Director General of NBRO and the staff of Building Materials Division of NBRO who gave me their support to success this study.

Beside above I wish to thank my parents, husband, children all other family members and friends who gave me moral and other psychological support and assistance during the project period.

Finally I wish to thank all others whom I have not mentioned here.

### **Table of Contents**

AUTHOR'S DECLARATION	ii
Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	
List of Tables	
Chapter 1	
Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.1.1 Cement Manufacture	
1.1.2 Steel Manufacture.	
1.1.3 Standardization and Quality Assurance	
1.1.4 Steel Reinforced Concrete	
1.2 Problem Statement	
1.3 Objectives	
Chapter 2 Literature Review	9
2.1 Steel Types and Classification.	
2.2 Properties of Steel	
2.2.1 Elastic and Plastic Characteristics	
2.2.1.1 Ductility	
2.2.1.2 Tensile Strength	
2.2.1.3 Yield Strength	
2.2.1.4 Compressive Strength	
2.2.1.5 Malleability	
2.2.1.6 Stiffness.	
2.2.1.7 Toughness	
2.2.1.8 Hardness	13
2.2.1.9 Impact Strength	13
2.2.1.10 Fatigue Strength	14
2.3. Steel Reinforced Concrete	14
2.3.1 Concrete	
2.3.1.1 Compressive Strength	15
2.3.1.2 Flexural Strength	
2.4 Composite Action.	15
2.4.1 Stress- strain Relations	

2.5 Other Properties	.16
2.5.1 Reinforced Concrete design.	
2.5.2 Significant characteristics of reinforcement	.17
2.5.2.1Bond with concrete	.17
2.5.2.2 Strength of reinforcements	17
2.5.2.3 Ductility of reinforcement	
2.5.2.4 Resistance against corrosion	19
2.5.2.5 Weldability	20
2.5.2.6 Chemical composition.	
2.6 Enhancement of Strength of Steel Bars	21
2.6.1 Need for Strength Enhancement	
2.6.2 Production of Steel rebars	21
2.6.2.1 Cold twisting (cold working)	.22
2.6.2.2 thermo mechanical treatment (TMT)	22
2.6.2.3 Chemical treatment (Micro alloying)	23
2.7 Effects of strength by above three process	23
2.8 Testing of rebars for mechanical properties	25
2.8.1 Test for tensile properties	26
2.8.1.1 Test specimens	26
2.8.1.2 Testing machine	27
2.8.1.3 Grips	27
2.8.1.4 Loading rate	28
2.8.1.5 Loading capacity	28
2.8.1.6 Recording devices	28
2.9 Stress strain curve	29
2.10 Tensile Strength	31
2.11 Yield Strength	31
2.12 Ductility	32
2.13 Fatigue Test.	32
2.14 Bend and Rebend test.	.33
2.15 Bond strength	.33
2.16 Inherent difficulties in performing the tensile test	.33
2.17 Three- point and four point bend test.	.34
Chapter 03.	.35
3.0 Materials and Methods.	
3.1 Rib geometry test.	
3.2 Tensile test.	
3.3 Three point Bend test.	.36
Chapter M	<i>/</i> 1
Chapter 04.	.41 //1
4.0 Calculation and Discussion.	
4.1 0.2% Offset yield stress in three point bending	
4.2 0.05% Offset yield stress in three point bending	41

42
48
51
51
52
53
55
61
73

## **List of Figures**

Figure 1	Typical stress-strain curve for mild steel rebar	18
Figure 2	Thermo Mechanical Treatment (TMT) Process	23
Figure 3	Mild steel rebar under repeated loading.	24
Figure 4	Stress strain curve for Cold Twisted Deformed (CTD) bars	24
Figure 5	Stress strain curve for Thermo Mechanical Treatment (TMT) bars	25
Figure 6	Force-extension curve for annealed low- carbon steel.	26
Figure 7	Stress- strain curve for CTD bars.	32
Figure 8	Avery Denison Universal Testing Machine	35
Figure 9	Load- Extension graphs during tensile testing.	36
Figure 10	Three point bend test using universal tensile testing machine	37
Figure 11	Load- position (deflection) graph for 10mm diameter CTD bar	38
Figure 12	Load- position (deflection) graph for 10mm diameter TMT bar	38
Figure 13	Stress vs. Strain calculated for the 10mm diameter CTD steel tested in 3 point bending	39
Figure 14	Stress vs. Strain calculated for the 10 mm diameter TMT steel tested in three point bending	40
Figure 15	Stress Vs Strain in three point bending including 0.05% offset yield for CTD bars	
Figure 16	Stress Vs Strain in three point bending including 0.05% offset yield for TMT bars	42
Figure 17	Stress Vs Strain in three point bending including 0.04% offset yield for CTD bars	43
Figure 18	Stress Vs Strain in three point bending including 0.04% offset yield for TMT bars	43
Figure 19	0.04% yield stress in bending Vs 0.02% Yield in tension- 10mm dia.  Bars	44
Figure.20	0.04% yield stress in bending Vs Stress 0.02% Yield in tension- 12mm dia. Bars	44
Figure 21	0.04% yield stress in bending Vs Stress 0.02% Yield in tension-16mm dia. bars	44
Figure 22	0.04% yield stress in bending Vs Stress 0.02% Yield in tension- 16mm dia. bars	44

Figure 23	0.04% yield stress in bending Vs Stress 0.02% Yield in tension  20mm dia bars	45
Figure 24	0.04% yield stress in bending Vs Stress 0.02% Yield in tension 32mm dia bars	45
Figure 25	Intercept C Vs gradient (m) of $\sigma_{b\&}\sigma_{t}$ .	46
Figure 26	M Vs bar diameter	46
Figure 27	0.04% Off set bend stress vs Pitch/ Bar Diameter Ratio- Linear Relationship.	49
Figure 28	0.04% Off set bend stress vs Pitch/ Bar Diameter Ratio- Exponential	
	Relationship	50

### **List of Tables**

Table 01	Applications of plain carbon steels	09
Table 02	Chemical composition specified for Steel Bars for the Reinforcement of Concrete.	
Table 03	Relationship between gradient (m) and intercept (c) drive from the equipolation obtained	
Table 04	Summary of statistical calculation.	48
Table 05	0.04% offset bend stress value vs pitch/diameter ratio	49
Table 06	0.02% Proof Stress in tension and 0.04% offset stress in bending of CTD and TMT bars.	53