

# STUDY OF PULLOUT RESISTANCE OF SOIL NAILS IN TROPICAL RESIDUAL SOIL



Supervised By prof: S.A.S Kulathilaka

M.Eng IN FOUNDATION ENGINEERING AND EARTH RETAINING SYSTEMS
DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA

(2011/2012 BATCH)

# STUDY OF PULLOUT RESISTANCE OF SOIL NAILS IN TROPICAL RESIDUAL SOIL

Eng. Ranjan Kumara W.E.P. (Index No - 118811k)

# **Degree of Master of Engineering**



DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA
Sri Lanka

**June 2016** 

# STUDY OF PULLOUT RESISTANCE OF SOIL NAILS IN TROPICAL RESIDUAL SOIL

Eng. Ranjan Kumara W.E.P. (Index No - 118811k)

Thesis Submitted To University Of Moratuwa In Partial Fulfillment Of The Requirements For The Degree of Master of Engineering in Foundation Engineering And Earth Retaining Systems.



DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA
Sri Lanka

**June 2016** 

### **DECLARATION**

I declare that, this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any University or other institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant the University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:		Date:
The same of the sa	University of Moratuwa led out research for the Master I Electronic Theses & Dis www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	Sri Lanka Dissertation under my supervision. Ssertations
Signature of the supervisor:		Date:
Professor S A S Kulathilaka		

BSc Eng Hons (Moratuwa), PhD (Monash), CEng, MIE (SL)

Professor Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

### **ABSTRACT**

As a norm, most design engineers typically resort to theoretical and empirical approaches in order to determine the pullout resistance of soils while designing soil nailed retaining walls. The tendency to design based on actual field tests are minimal due to the time and cost involved while implementing such tests. Though results obtained through pull out tests done within the laboratory have been used to perform design calculations, the outcome of such test results are questionable, as such tests do not replicate precise site conditions.

This research primarily juxtaposes and establishes a relationship between the theoretical and on field practical pullout resistance of soil nails in unsaturated conditions with the use of information extracted from an extensive literature review and data obtained through an actual pull out test conducted on a set of soil nails installed in predetermined locations of a 25ft high embankment spanning 70ft.

This research also attempts to explore the effects of over burden pressure on the pull out resistance of the soil nails and the behavior of the actual failure surface of the soil nail, which has also been mentioned as the effective diameter in this report.



#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

It is my pleasure to take this opportunity to thank all those who have been supportive to me to successfully complete this research. First of all I sincerely express my gratitude to my research supervisor Prof. S.A.S. Kulathilaka for offering me the opportunity to carry out the project under his wise guidance.

Next my heartily gratitude goes out to the lectures and the supporting staff of the Geotechnical Engineering division of the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, who have assisted and supported me throughout the research period.

I would like to thank the management of the Central Engineering Consultancy Bureau for encouraging and assisting me to venture on this research, the Central Engineering Consultancy Bureau Laboratory services and the Engineering & Laboratory Services (Pvt) Ltd for rendering invaluable support while performing on field and laboratory tests.

Finally, I would also like to thank the site staff of the Operation Theatre Complex Building, Teaching Hospital, Kegalle for voluntarily involving themselves in the field during various traces of this research. Theses & Dissertations

www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Eng Ranjan Kumara W.E.P (Index No: 118811K)

<u>Cha</u>	apter No Description	Page No
	Abstract	i
	Acknowledgement	ii
	Contents	iii-vi
	List of Table	v-vi
	List of Figure	vii-ix
	List of Symbols	x-xii
1.0	Introduction	1-3
	1.2 Objectives of the thesis	2-3
	1.3 Scope of the thesis	3-3
	1.4 Outline of the thesis	3-3
2.0	Literature review – Pullout Resistance of Soil Nails and design	
	2.1 Concept of Soil nailing	4-5
	2.2 Construction Sequence	5-6
	2.3 Applications of soil nailing	7-7
	2.4 Ground conditions sultable forsiti nail Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	7-8
	2.5 Analysis and Design of Soil Nailing Theses & Dissertations	8-11
	www.lib.mrt.ac.lk 2.5.1 Analysis with limit equilibrium approach	8-9
	2.5.2 Formulation of limit equilibrium analysis with soil nailing	9-11
	2.5.3Design of Soil Nailing Using GEOSLOPE/SLOPEW Software	11-11
	2.6 Pull-out behavior of soil nails	11-12
	2.6.1 Empirical approaches for evaluation of pullout resistance	11-12
	2.6.2 Analytical approaches for evaluation of pullout resistance	12-13
	2.7 Factors affecting pullout resistance	
	2.7.1 Effect of dilatancy	13-14
	2.7.2 Effect of matric suction-pore water pressure	14-18
	2.7.3 Effects of method of installation	18-18
	2.7.4 Effect of angle of internal friction of soil	19-19
	2.7.5 Effect of grout characteristics on pullout resistance	19-19
	2.7.6 Influence of overburden pressure on Pull out resistance	20-20
	2.8 Direct shear test comparison with pull-out test	20-21
	2.9 Different proposed techniques and other equations in the literature	

2.9.1 Equation proposed by Schlosser and Guilloux (1981) and others	21-21
2.9.2 Equation proposed by Chu and Yin (2005)	22-22
2.9.3 Equation proposed by Zhang et al. (2009)	22-23
2.9.4 Proposed method by Gurupersaud and Model testing for confirmation	23-25
3.0 Experimental Evaluation of Pull out Resistance	
3.1 Selection of the site and test nails	26-28
3.2 Installation of soil nails	29-33
3.3 Pull Out Tests	33-34
3.3.1 Testing procedure of the Pullout Test	34-40
3.3.2 Complete Pulling out the Test Nail	40-41
4.0 Determination of engineering properties of the soil forming the slope .	
4.1 Obtaining Representative Samples	42-45
4.2 Laboratory Tests.	45-45
4.2.1 Results of Basic Index Tests	45-48
4.2.2 Direct shear test	49-62
5.0 Estimate of pullout resistance and comparison with field test results: anka.  Electronic Theses & Dissertations  5.1 Data and Formulae for estimation of pullout resistance  WWW.lib.mrt.ac.lk  5.2 Estimation of pullout capacity with unsaturated and saturated shear strength parameters	63-65 66-68
5.3 Variation of measured pullout capacity with overburden height	68-69
5.4 Effective diameter of the soil nail.	69-70
5.5 Concluding Comment on the comparison of pullout resistance	71-71
5.6 Comparison of pullout test and direct shear test results	
5.6.1 Comparison of pullout test and direct shear test results of sample test B	72-78
5.6.2 Comparison of pullout test and direct shear test results of sample test C	78-84
5.6.3 Comparison of pullout test and direct shear test results of sample test D	84-90
5.7 Concluding comments on the comparison of stress-strain curves of direct shear tests and pullout tests	91-91
6.0 Summary, Conclusion & Recommendations for Further Research	
6.1 Summary and Conclusions	92-93
6. 2 Recommendations for further research	94-94
References	95-98

Table No	<b>Description</b>	Page No
2.1	the pull-out capacity of soil nails according to various researchers	13
3.1	The overburden heights at center of each test nails	34
3.2	Displacement of nails in initial loading cycles	37
3.3	Measured pull out capacity in the ultimate cycle	40
3.4	Measured perimeter / calculated diameter of the soil nail	41
4.1	Result of the Atterberg limits tests	46
4.2	Results of Sieve-analysis/Hydrometer analysis	46
4.3	Percentages of different soil types and Classification of the Samples	47
4.4	Results of Bulk density test	48
4.5	Specimen data of sample B (saturated)	50
4.6	Specimen results of sample B (saturated)	51
4.7	Specimen data of sample B (un-saturated)	52
4.8	Specimen results of sample B Consaturated Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	53
4.9	Specimen data of sample C (Saturated)  WWW.lib.mrt.ac.lk	54
4.10	Specimen results of sample C (saturated)	55
4.11	Specimen data of sample C (un-saturated)	56
4.12	Specimen results of sample C (Unsaturated)	57
4.13	Specimen data of sample D (saturated)	58
4.14	Specimen results of sample D (saturated)	59
4.15	Specimen data of sample D (un-saturated)	60
4.16	Specimen results of sample D (Unsaturated)	61
4.17	Summery of test result were obtained from direct shear test	62
5.1	Different methods for Estimation of pullout resistance	64
5.2	Computed matric suction values for each nail level	65
5.3	Location of test nails and sampling	65
5.4	Shear Strength Parameters Used for Estimation of pullout resistance	65
5.5	Measured and Estimated Pullout capacity	66
5.6	Percentage of Deviation	67
5.7	Estimates Pullout capacity for saturated condition	68

5.8	Percentage of estimates pullout capacity for saturated condition as a measured ca	68
5.9	Measured pull out capacity	68
5.10	Effective diameter of the soil nail	69
5.11	Estimated pullout capacities considering measured Effective diameter of nails	70
5.12	Percentage of Deviation	70
5.13a	Pullout Test Results (converted to unit shear resistance)	71
5.13b	Pullout Test Results (converted to unit shear resistance)	72
5.14	Direct Shear Test Results – Test B Saturated	72
5.15	Direct Shear Test Results – Test B Unsaturated (natural condition)	73
5.16	Normalized Direct Shear Test Results – Test B (Saturated)	75
5.17	Normalized Direct Shear Test Results – Test B (Unsaturated)	75
5.18a	Normalized Pullout Test Results (unit shear stress)	76
5.18b	Normalized Pullout Test Results (unit shear stress)	76
5.19	Direct Shear Test Results – Test C Saturated	78
5.20	Direct Shear Test Results – Test C Unsaturated (natural condition) University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	80
5.21	Normalized Direct Shear Test Results Thest & Saturated sertations	82
5.22	Normalized Direct Shear/Test/Results + Trest de Unsaturated	82
5.23	Direct Shear Test Results – Test D Saturated	84
5.24	Direct Shear Test Results – Test D Unsaturated (natural condition)	86
5.25	Normalized Direct Shear Test Results - Test D Saturated	88
5.26	Normalized Direct Shear Test Results – Test D Unsaturated	89

Figure No	<b>Description</b>	Page No
2.1	Components of soil nailing	6
2.2	Construction Sequence of Soil Nailing	6
2.3	Forces acting on a slice (Bishop's method and Janbu's Method)	10
2.4	Relationship of the average pull-out stress with (a) pull-out displacement and (b) dilation angle (Su et al 2007)	14
2.5	Relationship between peak pull-out shear resistance and degree of saturation for CDG with overburden pressure (a) 40kPa (b) 120 kPa (c) 200 kPa (d)300 kPa (after Pradhan et al 2003	16
2.6	Variation of the pull out capacity with matric suction (after Gurupersaud 2010)	18
2.7	Variation of measured pullout resistance for test in which nails were pulled	20
2.8	Comparison of pull-out test and direct shear box test results	21
2.9	Schematic of the test box used (after Gurupersaud (2010))	24
2.10	Set-up used for testing of nails inclined at 15 degrees	25
3.1	Cross Sectional View of the Design Soil Nailing Arrangement	26
3.2	Locations and dimensions of design test nails wa, Sri Lanka.	27
3.3	Details of agrouted stationic Theses & Dissertations	28
3.4	Locations of box samples .lib.mrt.ac.lk	28
3.5	GI pipes connected to each other to form a grid both parallel to the ground and the soil nailing surface	29
3.6	Shaft of the drilling machine	30
3.7	Galvanized soil nail with the PVC	30
3.8	Preparing the 50mm cover with the use of 4" dia. Centralizer	31
3.9	Cement and anti shrinkage grout being mixed in a barrel	31
3.10	Grouting machine placed on the platform	32
3.11	Grouting the holes	32
3.12	Grouted nail	33
3.13	Respective test nail locations	33
3.14	Establishment of the platform and placing planks beside the soil nail	34
3.15	Apparatus used to pull the test nails	35
3.16	Application of pressure to the soil nail through the apparatus	36
3.17	General behavior of the ultimate loading cycle.	36
3.18	Displacement due to loading and unloading in level -A	38

3.19	Displacement due to loading and unloading in level -B	38
3.20	Displacement due to loading and unloading in level -C	39
3.21	Displacement due to loading and unloading in level -D	39
3.22	Extracted soil nails	40
4.1	Locations of test nails and undisturbed sampling	42
4.2	The process of obtaining undisturbed sample	43
4.3	Five faces of the soil sample extracted	44
4.4	Sealing the surface of the undisturbed soil sample	44
4.5	Tagged, packed undisturbed soil sample ready to be transported to the lab	45
4.6	Particle size distribution curves for sample B, C and D	47
4.7	Bulk density test	48
4.8	Shear stress Vs horizontal displacement	50
4.9	Shear stress Vs Normal Stress	51
4.10	Shear stress Vs horizontal displacement	52
4.11	Shear stress ( $\tau$ ) Vs Normal Stress ( $\sigma$ )	53
4.12	Shear stress Vs horizontal displacement or ivioratuwa, Sri Lanka.	54
4.13	Shear stress V. Normat Stress ic Theses & Dissertations	55
4.14	Shear stress vs horizontal displacement lk	56
4.15	Shear stress ( $\tau$ ) Vs Normal Stress ( $\sigma$ )	57
4.16	Shear stress Vs horizontal displacement	58
4.17	Shear stress Vs Normal Stress	59
4.18	Shear stress Vs horizontal displacement	60
4.19	Shear stress ( $\tau$ ) Vs Normal Stress( $\sigma$ )	61
5.1	Assumed matric Suction variations with ground elevation	63
5.2	Comparison of theoretical and measured pullout capacity	67
5.3	Measured pullout capacity with overburden height	69
5.4	Comparison of pullout test and direct shear test result –Test B (saturated)	73
5.5	Comparison of pullout test and direct shear test result (Test B-unsaturated)	74
5.6	Comparison of normalized stresses of pullout and direct shear test result Test B - Saturated	77
5.7	Comparison of normalized stresses of pullout and direct shear test result – Test B unsaturated	77
5.8	Comparison of pullout test and direct shear test result ( Test C - saturated)	79

5.9	Comparison of pullout test and direct shear test result (Test C - unsaturated)	81
5.10	Comparison of normalized stresses of pullout and direct shear test result -Test C -Saturated	83
5.11	Comparison of normalized stresses of pullout and direct shear test result - Test C - unsaturated	83
5.12	Comparison of pullout test and direct shear test result ( Test D - saturated)	85
5.13	Comparison of pullout test and direct shear test result ( Test D - unsaturated)	87
5.14	Comparison of normalized stresses of pullout and direct shear test result Test D -saturated	90
5.15	Comparison of normalized stresses of pullout and direct shear test result Test D unsaturated	90



# List of Symbols

$(\mathbf{u}_{a} - \mathbf{u}_{w})$	Matric suction
(on — u a)	Net normal stress
As	Surface area of the nail
Deq	Equivalent width of flat reinforcement
Ks	Coefficient of lateral earth pressure
Q(ua-uw)	Capacity of soil nails due to the contribution of matric suction
$Q_{\mathrm{f}}$	Capacity of soil nails installed in saturated soils
C'	Soil cohesion
$C_{\mathbf{a}}$	Soil adhesion at the grout/soil interface
$\mathbf{d_{i}^{d}}$	Minimum grain diameter of the corresponding fraction
$\mathbf{d_i}^{\mathrm{g}}$	Maximum grain diameter of the corresponding fraction
$\mathbf{k}_{\Theta}$	Coefficient of lateral earth pressure with respect to soil nail inclination
q <sub>s</sub>	Shaft capacity of piles
δ	Interface frigition angley of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
<b>9</b> s	Saturated Follower variet Rossest & Dissertations
<b>1</b> *	Coefficient of apparent Hidtion of soil ( $\mu^* = \tan \emptyset$ and $c' = 0$ for granularsoil)
σ'n	Effective normal stress
σ' <sub>v</sub>	Vertical stress calculated at the mid-depth of the nail in the resistance zone
σ'z	Effective overburden stress
$\Gamma_{ m US}$	Shear strength of unsaturated soils
ø'	Angle of internal friction of soil
<b>Ø</b> <sup>b</sup>	The angle of shearing resistance with respect to matric suction
$\Delta_{ullet} \mathbf{g_i}$	Fraction weight in parts of the total weight
cu	Coefficient of uniformity
D.	NI 'I I' and a Cal
D	Nail diameter (m)
de	Dominant particle size diameter, mm
e	Void ratio
$f_{\mathbf{b}}$	Coefficient of roughness
<b>f</b> c	Coefficient defined by ca/c'
fs .	Coefficient defined by δ /Ø
Ls	Embedment depth of soil nail (m)

## List of Symbols Abbreviations

**m** Soil parameter related to residual water content

**n** Soil parameter related to the slope at the inflection point of the SWCC

**P** Nnail perimeter

Sr Residual degree of saturation

*Tpull-out* Failure load at which pull-out failure occurs (kN)

Wr Residual gravimetric water contentWs Saturated gravimetric water content

**w**w Gravimetric water content

ψ Dilation angle.

 $C(\psi)$  Correction factor that forces the SWCC through a suction of 1,000,000 kPa

and zero water content.

S Degree of saturation

β Bjerrum –Burland coefficient

Volumetric water content

**K** Fitting parameter used for obtaining a best-fit between the measured and

predicted values.

A Pull-out fasterversity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

R Parameter dependent on the degree of saturation (varies from 0 to 1)

www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

# List of Abbreviations

SW Well-graded sand SP Poorly graded sand

CDG Completely decomposed granite

CFEM Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual

CU Consolidated undrained

DAS Data Acquisition System

FHWA Federal Highway Administration

GSD Grain size distribution
GWT Ground-water table

HSS Hollow Steel Section

NATM New Austrian Tunneling Method

M Silty sand

SPT Standard penetration test

SWCC Soil-water characteristic curve

## List of Symbols Abbreviations

TYP Typical

USCS Unified soil classification system

WWM Welded wire mesh

