RAINFALL-RUNOFF SIMULATION MODEL BASED ON WATER BALANCE CONCEPT FOR BASINWIDE WATER RESOURCE ASSESSMENT - A CASE STUDY IN UPPER AND LOWER CATCHMENTS OF DEDURU OYA BASIN, SRI LANKA

Jigme Tshewang

(148665R)

Degree of Master of Science in Water Resources Engineering and

Management

Department of Civil Engineering
University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

October 2015

RAINFALL-RUNOFF SIMULATION MODEL BASED ON WATER BALANCE CONCEPT FOR BASINWIDE WATER RESOURCE ASSESSMENT - A CASE STUDY IN UPPER AND LOWER CATCHMENTS OF DEDURU OYA BASIN, SRI LANKA

Jigme Tshewang

(148665R)

Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Water Resources Engineering and Management

Supervised by

Dr. R.L.H. L. Rajapakse

UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Center for South Aisa Water Management (UMCSAWM)

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

October 2015

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person expect where the acknowledgment is made in text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Jigme Tshewang	Date
The above candidate has carried out research	ch for the Master's thesis under my supervision
Dr. R.L.H. L. Rajapakse	Date

ABSTRACT

A rainfall-runoff simulation model based on water balance concept was developed and applied for the water resources assessment in upper and lower catchments of Deduru Oya basin. The model was selected due to its capacity to analyze the spatial variation of runoff generation characteristics, simplicity and limited input data requirement. The model was developed for the entire basin where the model parameters were calibrated, validated and optimized appropriately using monthly hydrological datasets. The calibration run results obtained were found to be acceptable with value of 0.17 for Mean Ratio of Absolute Error (MRAE) and 0.91 for Nash–Sutcliffe Coefficient (NSC) which were used as error estimates. At the same time, the basin was divided into two sub-catchments and modeled separately using refined constant parameter values which have been used for entire basin to check the performance of the model. In this case, incorporation of sub-catchments separately has shown better performance of the model enhancing model accuracy by 2% according to MRAE and same value for NSC. The river gauging station in the downstream of the reservoir is not functioning since the commissioning of the reservoir in 2014. To overcome the issues in decision making due to the lack of continuous observed streamflow data up to date and to study reservoir effect on stream flow, the calibrated and validated model was extended by carrying out a model scenario analysis with the incorporation of the recently commissioned Deduru Oya Reservoir and associated basin conditions as of August, 2015 in an attempt to perform a basin wide water assessment with the objective to overcome the data inadequacies pertaining to required spatial and temporal resolutions in historical precipitation and streamflow time series data. The construction of the reservoir was found to have a significant impact in reducing peak floods in the downstream due to mid-level extreme events by dampening and reducing the peak flood. It was found that due to a similar event in May 2015, the reservoir retention and detention was effective in reducing the associated peak flood by 66.04%. However, the impact on extreme events were found to be reduced due to possible opening of the gates. The results of the extended model were not validated due to unavailability of observed data. However, these results will provide reference and scope for the future research in the same field. The study concluded that the rainfall-runoff modelling is an essential tool for comprehensive assessment and management of water resources and the model can be applied in the same basin with future conditions or in basins with similar characteristics elsewhere.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to the following persons and organizations for their continued support and guidance extended to complete my thesis successfully on time. I would like to express my immense gratitude to my supervisor Dr. R.L.H.L. Rajapakse for his guidance and encouragement throughout this research program. Without his guidance and leading, this work could definitely have been much harder. I am grateful to his dedication and commitment to supervise me constantly and for making himself available throughout the research program despite his busy schedules.

I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. N.T.S. Wijesekera for enlightening us with concepts and knowledge, while providing guidance and approach to conduct the research project effectively through his course modules. Further, I am thankful to him for giving me valuable feedback during the mid-term review presentation and also for giving me the opportunity to present my refined research progress for the second time.

I am thankful to the Department of Meteorology for providing me with the required hydrological datasets on time, and I thank Mr. R.D. Amila Deshapriya, Irrigation Engineer at Wariyapola in Kurunegala district for spending his precious time with me during the field visit to Deduru Oya reservoir location and for providing the data and information of the reservoir and basin as well. Without their genuine support, this thesis work might not have been completed in time.

I am very much thankful to the Engineering Research Unit (ERU) of University of Moratuwa for conducting a workshop providing us guidance on research methodology at the beginning of the course itself.

Finally, I would like to thank the Late Shri Madanjeet Singh and South Asia Foundation (SAF) for providing me with a full-time scholarship with this great opportunity to pursue the Master's Degree in Water Resources Engineering and Management at the UNESO Madanjeet Singh Center for South Asia Water Management (UMCSAWM), Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Contents

DECL	ARATION	i
ABSTI	RACT ii	
ACKN	IOWLEDGEMENT	iii
LIST (OF FIGURES	.viii
LIST (OF TABLES	xi
1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Problem Statement	4
1.2	Objectives of the Study	4
1.2.1	Main objective	4
1.2.2	Specific objectives	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1	Introduction to Rainfall-Runoff Models	6
2.2	Classification of Rainfall-Runoff Models	6
2.2.1	Event based and continuous simulation model	6
2.2.2	Conceptual and hydrodynamic model	7
2.2.3	Lumped and distributed parameter model	7
2.2.4	Models with fitted, physically determined, or empirically derived parameters	7
2.3	Strength and Weakness of Rainfall-Runoff Models	8
2.4	Input Data for Modelling	8
2.5	Parameters for Modelling	10
2.5.1	Runoff coefficient	10
2.5.2	Baseflow contribution to streamflow	11
2.6	Data Length for Modelling	12
2.7	Objective Functions for Parameter Optimization	13

2.7.1	Nash-Sutcliffe Coefficient (NSC)	13
2.7.2	Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)	14
2.7.3	Mean Ratio of Absolute Error (MRAE)	14
2.8	Model Calibration and Validation	15
2.9	Error in a Model	15
2.10	Statistical Tests for Model Performance Evaluation	16
2.11	Uses of Rainfall-Runoff Model	16
2.12	Effect of Upstream Reservoir on Downstream Basin	17
2.13	Seasonal Rainfall in Deduru Oya Catchment	18
2.14	Flood Control Measures	19
2.15	Flood Issues in Deduru Oya Basin	19
3	METHODOLOGY	21
3.1	General	22
3.2	Model Concept	22
3.3	Spreadsheet Model for Model Computation	23
3.4	Catchment Geometric Parameters	23
3.5	Schematic of Reservoir Water Balance Components	23
3.6	Model Structure	24
3.6.1	Hypothetical water reservoir	24
3.6.2	Inflows to the reservoir	26
3.6.3	Outflows from the reservoir	27
3.6.4	Water storage in reservoir	28
3.6.5	Discharge through reservoir sluices	29
3.6.6	Discharge through reservoir spillway	30
3.6.7	Simulated discharge from the reservoir outlet	30
4	DATA COLLECTION AND DATA CHECKING	31

4.1	Study Area	31
4.2	Data Type, Sources and Resolution	32
4.2.1	Rainfall data	33
4.2.2	Thiessen mean rainfall	33
4.2.3	Streamflow	34
4.2.4	Evaporation	35
4.3	Data Checking	35
4.3.1	Station density of rainfall and streamflow	35
4.3.2	Monthly rainfall and streamflow	35
4.3.3	Annual rainfall and streamflow	39
4.3.4	Evaporation	42
4.4	Runoff Coefficient Computation	44
4.4.1	Runoff coefficient calculation based on land use type	44
4.4.2	Runoff coefficient computation using monthly observed streamflow	
	and rainfall	46
5	RESULTS AND ANALYSIS	51
5.1	Parameter Optimization	51
5.1.1	Mean Ratio of Absolute Error (MRAE)	51
5.1.2	Nash-Sutcliffe Coefficient (NSC)	51
5.1.3	Initial Discharge (Delayed groundwater flow)	52
5.1.4	Runoff coefficient and baseflow contribution coefficient	53
5.2	Model Calibration and Validation	57
5.3	Flow Duration Curve for Calibration and Validation Run	59
5.4	Runoff Coefficient Computation using Monthly Simulated Streamflow	
	(exclusive of baseflow) and Rainfall	63

5.5	Runoff Coefficient Computation using Annual Rainfall & Simulated	
	Discharge (without baseflow)	66
5.6	Comparison of Runoff Coefficient with and without Baseflow	68
5.7	Scenario Analysis	69
5.7.1	Discharge simulation for sub-catchments	69
5.7.2	Model extension for discharge simulation	72
5.7.3	Flood control by the reservoir	75
6	DISCUSSION	76
6.1	Data Checking	76
6.2	Rainfall and Streamflow Data Error	76
6.3	Catchment Runoff Generation	77
6.4	Model Parameter Optimization	77
6.5	Model Calibration and Validation	78
6.6	Model Performance	78
6.7	Model Extension for the Scenario Analysis	79
6.8	Water Resources Assessment	79
7	CONCLUSIONS	80
8	FURTHER RECOMMENDATIONS	81
REFERI	ENCES	82
Appendi	ix-A: Data checking	88
Appendi	ix-B: Calibration and validation	93
Appendi	ix-C: Discharge simulation for sub-catchments	97
Appendi	ix-D: Comparison of rainfall, simulated discharge (exclusive of baseflow)	
	and runoff coefficient	. 104
Annendi	ix-F: Runoff coefficient of land use types	107

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1: Methodology flowchart for the study	21
Figure 3.2: Schematic of reservoir water balance components	23
Figure 3.3: Area capacity diagram of reservoir	25
Figure 3.4: Visited points in downstream of Deduru Oya basin	27
Figure 3.5: Water elevation and reservoir capacity	29
Figure 4.1: Study area map of Deduru Oya basin	31
Figure 4.2: Thiessen polygon area map of Deduru Oya basin	34
Figure 4.3: Single mass curve for monthly rainfall for year (1990-2013)	36
Figure 4.4: Thiessen mean rainfall and corresponding discharge for year (1990 -	ŕ
Figure 4.5: Thiessen mean rainfall and corresponding discharge for year (1994 -	1997)
Figure 4.6: Thiessen mean rainfall and corresponding discharge for year (1998 –	2001)
Figure 4.7: Thiessen mean rainfall and corresponding discharge for year (2002 –	2005)
Figure 4.8: Thiessen mean rainfall and corresponding discharge for year (2006 –	2009)
Figure 4.9: Thiessen mean rainfall and corresponding discharge for year (2010 –	
Figure 4.10: Thiessen mean rainfall and corresponding discharge for year (19, 2013)	
Figure 4.11: Monthly streamflow for year (1990 – 2013)	40
Figure 4.12: Monthly maximum, minimum and average values of mean discharge year 1990 - 2013	_
Figure 4.13: Classification of mean discharge for year (1990 – 2013)	42

Figure 4.14: Monthly average evaporation for year (1990 – 2013)
Figure 4.15: Monthly maximum, minimum and average evaporation rate for (1990 –
2013)43
Figure 4.16: Comparison of annual rainfall and evaporation for year (1990 $-$ 2013) 43
Figure 4.17: Land use type map of Deduru Oya basin
Figure 4.18: Runoff coefficient frequency for monthly rainfall and
Figure 4.19: Comparison of monthly observed streamflow, Thiessen mean rainfall & runoff coefficient for year (1990 – 2001)
Figure 4.20: Comparison of monthly observed streamflow, Thiessen mean rainfall & runoff coefficient for year (2002 – 2013)
Figure 4.21: Runoff coefficient frequency for annual rainfall and observed streamflow for year $(1990-2013)$
Figure 4.22: Comparison of annual Thiessen rainfall, observe discharge and runoff coefficient (1990 – 2013) $$ 50
Figure 5.1: Calibration run with initial parameter (1990 - 2001)
Figure 5.2: Graphical representation of optimized value of MRAE and runoff coefficient
Figure 5.3: River discharge and Thiessen mean rainfall for calibration run (1990 - 2001)
Figure 5.4: River discharge and Thiessen mean rainfall for validation run (2002 - 2013)
Figure 5.5: Flow duration curve for calibration run (1990 - 2001)
Figure 5.6: Flow duration curve for validation run (2002 - 2013)
Figure 5.7: Monthly water balance for observed and simulated discharge (1990 - 2013)
Figure 5.8: Annual water balance for observed and simulated discharge (1990 - 2013)61
Figure 5.9: Comparison of annual observed and simulated water balance (1990 – 2013)

Figure 5.10:	Runoff coefficient frequency for monthly rainfall and	54
Figure 5.11:	Comparison of monthly observed streamflow (without baseflow), rainfall and runoff coefficient (1990-2001)	54
Figure 5.12:	Comparison of monthly observed streamflow (without baseflow), rainfall & runoff coefficient (2002-2013)	55
Figure 5.13:	Runoff coefficient frequency for annual rainfall	57
Figure 5.14:	Comparison of annual rainfall, discharge (without baseflow) and runoff coefficient (1990 – 2013)	58
Figure 5.15:	Comparison of runoff coefficient with and without baseflow (1990 – 2001)	58
Figure 5.16:	Comparison of runoff coefficient with and without baseflow (2002 – 2013)	59
Figure 5.17:	Sub-catchments map of Deduru Oya basin	70
Figure 5.18	: Hydrograph for observed discharge of entire basin and simulated discharge for upper sub-catchment (1990 – 2013)	70
Figure 5.19:	Hydrograph for observed discharge of entire basin and sum of two sub- catchments discharge (1990 – 2013)	71
Figure 5.20:	Simulated discharge from 1990 to August, 2015	72
Figure 5.21:	Simulated discharge with rainfall from January to October, 2014	73
Figure 5.22	: Comparison of simulated discharge with previous years' observed discharge	73
Figure 5.23:	Comparison of rainfall discharge with and without reservoir	75

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1: Depth - area - capacity of the reservoir	25
Table 4.1: Data availability and source for rainfall, streamflow and evaporation	32
Table 4.2: Theissen polygon areas and weight for each rain gauge station	33
Table 4.3: Location of gauging station for rainfall, evaporation and streamflow	34
Table 4.4: Station density of rainfall and stream gauging station	35
Table 4.5: Thiessen mean and arithmetic annual rainfall with observed discharge	39
Table 4.6: Time exceedance (%) corresponding to mean discharge (1990 - 2013)	41
Table 4.7: Land use type and area coverage for Deduru Oya basin	45
Table 4.8: Runoff coefficient calculation based on land use type in the basin	46
Table 4.9: Runoff coefficient for monthly rainfall and observed streamflow for year (1990 - 2013)	47
Table 4.10: Runoff coefficient for annual rainfall and streamflow (1990-2013)	49
Table 5.1: Values of parameters and error estimates for optimizing initial discharge	52
Table 5.2: Ranges of parameter value for optimization	54
Table 5.3: Optimized values of Mean Ratio of Absolute Error (MRAE)	55
Table 5.4: Optimized values of Nash-Sutcliffe Coefficient (NSC)	55
Table 5.5: Optimization of runoff coefficient with constant baseflow contribution coefficient	56
Table 5.6: Results for calibration and validation run	58
Table 5.7: High and low flow errors for calibration run (1990 – 2001)	60
Table 5.8: High and low flow error for validation run (2002 - 2013)	60
Table 5.9: Annual observed and simulated water balance (1990 – 2013)	62
Table 5.10: Runoff coefficient for monthly streamflow without baseflow and rainfall	63
Table 5.11: Runoff coefficient for annual rainfall & simulated discharge (without baseflow)	66

Table 5.12	: Runoff coefficient frequency for annual rainfall & simulated discharge	
	(without baseflow)	. 67
Table 5.13:	: Area coverage of sub-catchments in Deduru Oya basin	. 70
Table 5.14	: Difference in model performance for discharge simulations considering entire basin and sub-catchments	. 71
Table 5.15:	: Comparison of simulated discharge with observed discharge from January	
	to October, 2014	.73
Table 5.16:	: Discharge through gates and sluices of reservoir	. 74
Table 5.17:	: Difference in discharge due to presence of existing reservoir	. 75