

## LIST OF REFERENCES

- Abdi, M. R. (2005). Selection of a layout configuration for reconfigurable manufacturing systems using the AHP. ISAHF, Honolulu, Hawaii.
- Abernathy, F. H., Volpe, A., & Weil, D. (2006). The future of the apparel and textile industries: prospects and choices for public and private actors. *Environment and Planning A*, 38(12), 2207-2232.
- Agrawal, A. K., Bhardwaj, P., Kumar, R., & Sharma, S. (2015, March). Particle Swarm Optimization for natural grouping in context of group technology application. In *Industrial Engineering and Operations Management (IEOM), 2015 International Conference on* (pp. 1-8). IEEE.
- Ahkioon, S., Bulgak, A. A., & Bektas, T. (2009). Cellular manufacturing systems design with routing flexibility, machine procurement, production planning and dynamic system reconfiguration. *International Journal of Production Research*, 47(6), 1573-1600.
- Ahmad, S., Bagum, N., Rashed, A., Khalil, A. B. & Iqbal, M., (2012). The impacts of ALB in apparel supply chain, *Asian Journal of Management Sciences and Education*, 1(1), pp12-20
- Ahuja, I. P. S., & Khamba, J. S. (2008). Total productive maintenance: literature review and directions. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 25(7), 709-756.
- Ajenblit, D. A., & Wainwright, R. L. (1998, May). Applying genetic algorithms to the U-shaped assembly line balancing problem. In *Evolutionary Computation Proceedings, 1998. IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence., The 1998 IEEE International Conference on* (pp. 96-101). IEEE.
- Alim, M. A., & Hasan, M. R. (2010). Factors Affecting Supply Chain Management Efficiency in Cross Border Outsourcing-A case study of H&M and it's Outsourcing Operations in Bangladesh.
- Aljuneidi, T. (2013). A Mathematical Approach to the Design of Cellular Manufacturing System Considering Dynamic Production Planning and Worker Assignments (Doctoral dissertation, Concordia University).
- Anbumalar, V., & Raja Chandra Sekar, M. (2015). Methods for solving cell formation, static layout and dynamic layout cellular manufacturing system problems: a review. *Asian Journal of Science and Technology*, Vol.6No.12, pp.2107-2112
- Anbumalar, V., Mayandy, R., & Prasath, K. A. (2014). Implementation and Selection of Optimum Layout Design in Cellular Manufacturing for Process Industry—A Case Study.
- Ang, D., Khadanga, D., & Ho, J. (2014). Reflections on Hybrid Production Layouts. *World*, 4(2).
- Arn, E. A. (1975). Group Technology: An Integrated Planning and Implementation Concept for Small and Medium Batch Production.

- Arnold, J. T. (2011). Introduction to materials management. Pearson Education India.
- Arora, P. K., Haleem, A., & Singh, M. K. (2013). Recent development of cellular manufacturing systems. *Sadhana*, 38(3), 421-428.
- Asgharpour, M. J., & Javadian, N. (2004). Solving a stochastic cellular manufacturing model by using genetic algorithms. *International Journal of Engineering Transactions A*, 17, 145-156.
- Attwood, D. A., Deeb, J. M., & Danz-Reece, M. E. (2004). Ergonomic solutions for the process industries. Gulf Professional Publishing.
- Aus, R. (2011). *Trash to Trend – Using Upcycling in Fashion Design*. Retrieved from: <http://vana.artun.ee/popFile.php?id=2269>.
- Avikal, S., Jain, R., Mishra, P. K., & Yadav, H. C. (2013). A heuristic approach for U-shaped assembly line balancing to improve labor productivity. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 64(4), 895-901.
- Azadeh, A., Haghghi, S. M., & Saedi, H. (2012, December). An integrated simulation-DEA algorithm for layout optimization. In *Computer Systems and Industrial Informatics (ICCSII), 2012 International Conference on* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- Azadeh, A., Moghaddam, M., Nazari-Doust, B., and Jalalvand, F. (2015). Fuzzy and stochastic mathematical programming for optimisation of cell formation problems in random and uncertain states. *International Journal of Operational Research*, Vol.22No.2, pp.129-147.
- Babin, B. J., & Zikmund, W. G. (2015). Exploring marketing research. Cengage Learning.
- Badri, H. M., Deros, B. M., Syahri, M., Saleh, C., & Fitria, A. (2016, February). Implementation Learning and Forgetting Curve to Scheduling in Garment Industry. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* (Vol. 114, No. 1, p. 012068). IOP Publishing.
- Bagheri, M., & Bashiri, M. (2014). A new mathematical model towards the integration of cell formation with operator assignment and inter-cell layout problems in a dynamic environment. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 38(4), 1237-1254.
- Bajic, M. M. (2001). Design of Dynamic Cellular Manufacturing Systems (Doctoral dissertation, University of Adelaide, Department of Mechanical Engineering).
- Balakrishnan, J., & Hung Cheng, C. (2005). Dynamic cellular manufacturing under multiperiod planning horizons. *Journal of manufacturing technology management*, 16(5), 516-530.
- Bangsow, S. (2010). Manufacturing simulation with plant simulation and simtalk: usage and programming with examples and solutions. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Bartholdi III, J. J., & Eisenstein, D. D. (2005). Using bucket brigades to migrate from craft manufacturing to assembly lines. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*, 7(2), 121-129.

- Barzdins, J., & Caplinskas, A. (Eds.). (2013). *Databases and Information Systems: Fourth International Baltic Workshop, Baltic DB&IS 2000 Vilnius, Lithuania, May 1–5, 2000 Selected Papers*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Basu, R., & Wright, J. N. (2005). *Total operations solutions*. Routledge.
- Basu, S. K., Sahu, K. C., & Rajiv, B. (2012). *Industrial Organization and Management*. PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd..
- Baudin, M. (2007). *Working with machines: the nuts and bolts of lean operations with jidoka*. CRC Press.
- Bayram, H., & Şahin, R. (2016). A comprehensive mathematical model for dynamic cellular manufacturing system design and Linear Programming embedded hybrid solution techniques. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 91, 10-29.
- Bellgran, M., & Safsten, E. K. (2009). *Production development: design and operation of production systems*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Benhabib, B. (2003). *Manufacturing: design, production, automation, and integration*. CRC Press.
- Benjaafar, S., & Ramakrishnan, R. (1996). Modelling, measurement and evaluation of sequencing flexibility in manufacturing systems. *International journal of production research*, 34(5), 1195-1220.
- Benjaafar, S., & Sheikhzadeh, M. (2000). Design of flexible plant layouts. *Iie Transactions*, 32(4), 309-322.
- Benjaafar, S., Heragu, S. S., & Irani, S. A. (2002). Next generation factory layouts: research challenges and recent progress. *Interfaces*, 32(6), 58-76.
- Benjaafar, S., Heragu, S. S., & Irani, S. A. (2000). Next Generation Factory Layouts: Research Challenges And Recent Progress. Retrieved from: <http://www.isye.umn.edu/faculty/pdf/NGFL-1.pdf>
- Bernhardt, A. D., McGrath, S., & DeFilippis, J. (2007). *Unregulated work in the global city: Employment and labor law violations in New York City*. Brennan Center for Justice at New York University School of Law.
- Bhangale, J. H., & Mahalle, A. M. (2013). Parametric Studies In Automobile Manufacturing Industry Using Cell Focused Plant Layout Simulation Approach. *European Scientific Journal*, ESJ, 9(9).
- Bhardwaj, V., & Fairhurst, A. (2010). Fast fashion: response to changes in the fashion industry. *The International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, 20(1), 165-173.
- Bidanda, B., Ariyawongrat, P., Needy, K. L., Norman, B. A., & Tharmmaphornphilas, W. (2005). Human related issues in manufacturing cell design, implementation, and operation: a review and survey. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 48(3), 507-523.
- Black, J. T. (2007). Design rules for implementing the Toyota Production System. *International Journal of Production Research*, 45(16), 3639-3664.

- Black, J. T., & Schroer, B. J. (1993). Simulation of an apparel assembly cell with walking workers and decouplers. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 12(2), 170-180.
- Bokhorst, J. A., & Gaalman, G. J. (2009). Cross-training workers in Dual Resource Constrained systems with heterogeneous processing times. *International Journal of Production Research*, 47(22), 6333-6356.
- Bovey, W. H., & Hede, A. (2001). Resistance to organisational change: the role of defence mechanisms. *Journal of managerial psychology*, 16(7), 534-548.
- Bratcu, A. I., & Dolgui, A. (2005). A survey of the self-balancing production lines ("bucket brigades"). *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 16(2), 139-158.
- Brenner, T. (Ed.). (2012). *Computational techniques for modelling learning in economics* (Vol. 11). Springer Science & Business Media.
- Brooks, A. (2015). *Fast Fashion: A cut from Clothing Poverty with exclusive new content*. Zed Books Ltd..
- Bryson, J. (2006). *Managing information services: a transformational approach*. Ashgate Publishing, Ltd.
- Bukchin, Y., Meller, R. D., & Liu, Q. (2006). Assembly system facility design. *IIE Transactions*, 38(1), 53-65.
- Bychkov, I., Batsyn, M., & Pardalos, P. M. (2016, May). Heuristic for Maximizing Grouping Efficiency in the Cell Formation Problem. In *International Conference on Network Analysis* (pp. 11-26). Springer, Cham.
- Cachon, G. P., & Swinney, R. (2011). The value of fast fashion: Quick response, enhanced design, and strategic consumer behavior. *Management Science*, 57(4), 778-795.
- Cagliano, A. C., Mustafa, M. S., Rafele, C., & Zenezini, G. (2014, September). Logistics Performance Measurement for Sustainability in the Fast Fashion Industry. In *HICL (Hamburg International Conference of Logistics), Next Generation Supply Chains-Trends and Opportunities*. Hamburg, epubli GmbH (pp. 113-135).
- Cakmakci, M. (2009). Process improvement: performance analysis of the set-up time reduction-SMED in the automobile industry, *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, Vol. 41, No. 18, pp. 168-179. Available from: Springer [Accessed 06 March 2014]
- Caro, F., & Martinez-de-Albeniz, V. (2015). Fast fashion: business model overview and research opportunities. In *Retail Supply Chain Management* (pp. 237-264). Springer US.
- Case, K., & Newman, S. T. (Eds.). (2004). *Advances In Manufacturing Technology VIII: Proceedings Of The 10th National Conference On Manufacturing Research*. CRC Press.
- Centre for Australia-Asia Studies, Deaking University.
- Chacosky, A. T. (2015). *Allocation of Workers Utilizing Models with Learning, Forgetting, and Various Work Structures*. Rochester Institute of Technology.

- Chakravorty, S. S., & Hales, D. N. (2008). The evolution of manufacturing cells: An action research study. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 188(1), 153-168.
- Chandrasekera, D.E. (2016). Fast fashion is the game for SL – Industry expert. Retrieved from: <http://www.sundaytimes.lk/161016/business-times/fast-fashion-is-the-game-for-sl-industry-expert-212106.html>
- Chang, A. Y. (1999). An attribute approach to the measurement of manufacturing system flexibility (Doctoral dissertation, University of Warwick).
- Chang, C. C. (2013). A Water Flow-like Algorithm for Cell Formation, Cell Layout, and Intracellular Machine Layout Problems. *Journal of Convergence Information Technology*, 8(4).
- Chang, C. C., Wu, T. H., and Wu, C. W. (2013). An efficient approach to determine cell formation, cell layout and intracellular machine sequence in cellular manufacturing systems. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, Vol.66No.2, pp.438-450.
- Chase, R. B., Jacobs, F. R., Aquilano, N. J., & Agarwal, N.K. (2008). *Operations management for competitive advantage*. Irwin/McGraw-Hill.
- Chen, J. C., Chen, C. C., Su, L. H., Wu, H. B., & Sun, C. J. (2012). Assembly line balancing in garment industry. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 39(11), 10073-10081.
- Chen, J. C., Dugger, J., & Hammer, B. (2001). A kaizen based approach for cellular manufacturing system design: A case study.
- Choi, T. M. (Ed.). (2013). *Fast fashion systems: Theories and applications*. CRC Press.
- Chowdary, B. V., & Praveen, P. (2005). Formation of virtual manufacturing cells by incorporating flexibility. *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management*, 6(1), 1.
- Chowdary, B. V., Slomp, J., & Suresh, N. C. (2005). A New Concept of Virtual Cellular Manufacturing. *West Indian Journal of Engineering*, 28(1).
- Christopher, M., Lowson, R., & Peck, H. (2004). Creating agile supply chains in the fashion industry. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 32(8), 367-376.
- Zhelyazkov, G. (2011). Agile Supply Chain: Zara's case study analysis. *Design, Manufacture & Engineering Management*, Strathclyde University Glasgow, Velika Britanija, 2-11.
- Chung, J., & Tanchoco, J. M. A. (2010). The double row layout problem. *International Journal of Production Research*, 48(3), 709-727.
- Ciarniene, R., & Vienazindiene, M. (2014). Agility and Responsiveness Managing Fashion Supply Chain. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 150, 1012-1019.
- Clark, J. P. (2009). *Case studies in food engineering*. Case studies in food engineering. Springer, London.
- Cummins, R. A. (1997). *Comprehensive Quality of Life Scale: Adult (ComQol-A5): Manual*. Centre for Australia-Asia Studies, Deaking University.

- Cunningham K., Schrage L. (2004) The LINGO Algebraic Modeling Language. In: Kallrath J. (eds) *Modeling Languages in Mathematical Optimization*. Applied Optimization, vol 88. Springer, Boston, MA
- Curry, G.L. & Feldman, R.M. (2010) *Manufacturing Systems Modeling and Analysis*, Springer Science & Business Media, 117-128
- Dagli, C. H. (Ed.). (2012). *Artificial neural networks for intelligent manufacturing*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Dal V, Akcagun E, & Yilmaz A. (2013). Using Lean Manufacturing Techniques to Improve Production Efficiency in the Ready Wear Industry and a Case Study. *FIBRES & TEXTILES in Eastern Europe*; 21, 4(100): 16-22.
- Dalfard, V.M. (2013). New mathematical model for problem of dynamic cell formation based on number and average length of intra and intercellular movements. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, Vol.37 No.4, pp.1884-1896.
- Das, S. K. (1993). A facility layout method for flexible manufacturing systems. *International Journal of Production Research*, 31(2), 279–297
- De Carlo, F., Arleo, M. A., Borgia, O., & Tucci, M. (2013). Layout design for a low capacity manufacturing line: a case study. *International Journal of Engineering Business Management*, 5, 35.
- De Carlo, F., Borgia, O., & Tucci, M. (2013). Bucket brigades to increase productivity in a luxury assembly line. *International Journal of Engineering Business Management*, 5, 28.
- De Garmo, E. P., Black, J. T., & Kohser, R. A. (2011). *DeGarmo's materials and processes in manufacturing*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Deep, K., & Singh, P. K. (2016). Dynamic cellular manufacturing system design considering alternative routing and part operation tradeoff using simulated annealing based genetic algorithm. *Sādhanā*, 41(9), 1063-1079.
- Defersha, F. M., & Chen, M. (2006). Machine cell formation using a mathematical model and a genetic-algorithm-based heuristic. *International Journal of Production Research*, 44(12), 2421-2444.
- Deljoo, V., Mirzapour Al-e-hashem, S. M. J., Deljoo, F., & Aryanezhad, M. B. (2010). Using genetic algorithm to solve dynamic cell formation problem. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 34(4), 1078-1092.
- Depince, P., Chablat, D., & Woelk, P. O. (2007). *Virtual Manufacturing: Tools for improving design and production*. arXiv preprint arXiv:0708.0495.
- Dickersbach, J. T. (2009). *Supply Chain Management with SAP APOTM: Structures, Modelling Approaches and Implementation of SAP SCMTM 2008*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Dissanayaka, D. M. I. K., Ranasinghe, T., & Senanayake, C. D. (2016, October). Analysis of efficiency ladders used in apparel manufacturing line performance forecasting. In *Manufacturing & Industrial Engineering Symposium (MIES)* (pp. 1-4). IEEE.

- Doeringer, P., & Crean, S. (2006). Can fast fashion save the US apparel industry?. *Socio-Economic Review*, 4(3), 353-377.
- Drira, A., Pierreval, H., & Hajri-Gabouj, S. (2007). Facility layout problems: A survey. *Annual Reviews in Control*, 31(2), 255-267.
- Du, W., Leung, S. Y. S., & Kwong, C. K. (2015). A multiobjective optimization-based neural network model for short-term replenishment forecasting in fashion industry. *Neurocomputing*, 151, 342-353.
- Dunker, T., Radons, G., & Westkamper, E. (2005). Combining evolutionary computation and dynamic programming for solving a dynamic facility layout problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 165(1), 55-69.
- Dwijayanti, K., Dawal, S. Z. M., & Aoyama, H. (2010). A Proposed study on facility planning and design in manufacturing process. In *International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists 2010, IMECS 2010*.
- Ebrahimi, A., Kia, R., & Komijan, A. R. (2016). Solving a mathematical model integrating unequal-area facilities layout and part scheduling in a cellular manufacturing system by a genetic algorithm. *SpringerPlus*, 5(1), 1254.
- Egilmez, G., Suer, G. A., & Huang, J. (2012). Stochastic cellular manufacturing system design subject to maximum acceptable risk level. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 63(4), 842-854.
- Egilmez, G., & Suer, G. A. (2011). Stochastic manpower allocation and cell loading in cellular manufacturing systems.
- Elavia, S., (2014). "How the Lack of Copyright Protections for Fashion Designs Affects Innovation in the Fashion Industry". Senior Theses, Trinity College, Hartford
- Elbenani, B., & Ferland, J. A. (2012). Cell formation problem solved exactly with the dinkelbach algorithm (Vol. 7). *CIRRELT*.
- El-Kebbe, D. A., & Danne, C. (2009). On Adapting Neural Network to Cellular Manufacturing. In *Complex Systems and Self-organization Modelling* (pp. 83-93). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Eryuruk, S. H., Kalaoglu, F., & Baskak, M. (2008). Assembly line balancing in a clothing company. *Fibres & Textiles in Eastern Europe*, (1 (66)), 93-98.
- Esmailnezhad, B., Fattahi, P., & Kheirkhah, A. S. (2015). A stochastic model for the cell formation problem considering machine reliability. *Journal of Industrial Engineering International*, 11(3), 375-389.
- Ethical Fashion Forum. (2016). Fast fashion, "value" fashion, 2016, Retrieved from <https://www.ethicalfashionforum.com/the-issues/fast-fashion-cheap-fashion>
- Fellows, R. F., & Liu, A. M. (2015). *Research methods for construction*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Fernie, J., & Grant, D. B. (2015). *Fashion Logistics*. Kogan Page.
- Ferreira, E. J., Erasmus, A. W., & Groenewald, D. (2010). *Administrative management*. Juta and Company Ltd.

- Financial Executives International (FEI) (2016). Retrieved from: <https://www.financialexecutives.org/Research.aspx>
- Fontana, G. L., & Miranda, J. A. (2016). The business of fashion in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. *Investigaciones de Historia Económica-Economic History Research*, 12(2), 68-75.
- Forghani, K., Mohammadi, M., & Ghezavati, V. (2013). Designing robust layout in cellular manufacturing systems with uncertain demands. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations*, 4(2), 215-226.
- Fraser, K., Harris, H., & Luong, L. (2007). Team-based cellular manufacturing: A review and survey to identify important social factors. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 18(6), 714-730.
- Fruggiero, F., Lambiase, A., & Negri, F. (2006, June). Design and optimization of a facility layout problem in virtual environment. In *Proceeding of ICAD* (pp. 13-16).
- Gan, D. S. H. Y., & Gromiha, P. G. M. M. (2010). Advanced intelligent computing theories and applications.
- Ghosh, S., & Gagnon, R. J. (1989). A comprehensive literature review and analysis of the design, balancing and scheduling of assembly systems. *The International Journal of Production Research*, 27(4), 637-670.
- Ghosh, T., & Dan, P. K. (2012). An Effective Machine-Part Grouping Algorithm to Construct Manufacturing Cells. arXiv preprint arXiv:1212.5265.
- Ghosh, T., Sengupta, S., Doloi, B., & Dan, P. K. (2014). AI-based techniques in cellular manufacturing systems: a chronological survey and analysis. *International Journal of Industrial and Systems Engineering*, 17(4), 449-476.
- Gilliland, N. (2017). Four factors fuelling the growth of fast fashion retailers. Retrieved from: <https://econsultancy.com/blog/69216-four-factors-fuelling-the-growth-of-fast-fashion-retailers>
- Giri, P. K. & Moulick, S.K. (2016) Comparison of Cell formation techniques in Cellular manufacturing using three cell formation algorithms. *International Journal of engineering Research and Applications*, 1(6), 98-101.
- Glock, R. E., & Kunz, G. I. (2005). *Apparel manufacturing: Sewn product analysis*. Prentice Hall.
- Goldengorin, B., Krushinsky, D., Pardalos, P. M., & Panos, M. (2013). *Cell formation in industrial engineering*. Springer Optimization and Its Applications, Springer.
- Gomes, D. M. G. (2012). *Lean manufacturing and the garment industry: A case study* (Doctoral dissertation, Master's thesis, University of Porto, Porto, Portugal).
- Goncalves, J. F., & Resende, M. G. (2004). An evolutionary algorithm for manufacturing cell formation. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 47(2), 247-273.
- Guercini, S. (2001). Relation between branding and growth of the firm in new quick fashion formulas: analysis of an Italian case. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management: An International Journal*, 5(1), 69-79.



- Guerrero, L. C., Lozano, S., Canca, D., Guerrero, F., Larrañeta, J., & Onieva, L. (2000). Cell formation using sequence information and neural networks.
- Gugnani, A. (2012). How to effectively manage small order quantities, Retrieved from [www.technopak.com](http://www.technopak.com)
- Guo, Z. (2016). *Intelligent Decision-making Models for Production and Retail Operations*. Springer.
- Guo, Z. X., Ngai, E. W. T., Yang, C., & Liang, X. (2015). An RFID-based intelligent decision support system architecture for production monitoring and scheduling in a distributed manufacturing environment. *International journal of production economics*, 159, 16-28.
- Gupta, S. M., & Lambert, A. F. (Eds.). (2007). *Environment conscious manufacturing*. CRC Press.
- Hachicha, W., Masmoudi, F., & Haddar, M. (2008). Principal component analysis model for machine-part cell formation problem in group technology. arXiv preprint arXiv:0803.3343.
- Hafezalkotob, A., Tehranizadeh, M. A., Rad, F., & Sayadi, M. K. (2015). An improved DPSO algorithm for cell formation problem. *Journal of Industrial and Systems Engineering*, 8(2), 30-53.
- Hailemariam, D. A. (2010). *Redesign of the Layout and the Materials Flow of a Production Plant: A Master Thesis Conducted at the Production Plant of Moxba-Metrex*.
- Halim, I., Omar, A. R., Saman, A. M., & Othman, I. (2011). A review on health effects associated with prolonged standing in the industrial workplaces. *IJRRAS*, 8(1), 14-21.
- Hamed, M., Esmaeilian, G. R., Ismail, N., & Ariffin, M. K. A. (2012). Capability-based virtual cellular manufacturing systems formation in dual-resource constrained settings using Tabu Search. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 62(4), 953-971.
- Hamed, M., Ismail, N., Esmaeilian, G., & Ariffin, M. (2012). Virtual cellular manufacturing system based on resource element approach and analyzing its performance over different basic layouts. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations*, 3(2), 265-276.
- Harashima, S., & Ohno, K. (2010). Flexible Production Systems developed and utilized in DENSO CORPORATION and their Evaluation. *Service Robotics and Mechatronics*, 205-210.
- Hassan, M. M. (1995). Layout design in group technology manufacturing. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 38(2-3), 173-188.
- Heilala, J. (1999). *Use of simulation in manufacturing and logistics systems planning*. VTT Manufacturing Technology.
- Hellman, F. I. L. I. P., Lindahl, B. J. Ö. R. N., & Malmberg, J. O. N. A. S. (2011). *Mixed-model assembly line at Volvo Construction Equipment (Doctoral dissertation, MS Thesis, Chalmers University of Technology, Goteborg, Sweden)*.

- Heragu, S. S. (2008). *Facilities design*. CRC Press.
- Hirano, H. (2009). *JIT Implementation Manual: The Complete Guide to Just-in-time Manufacturing. Standardized Operations--Jidoka And Maintenance Safety*. CRC Press.
- Hirano, H. (2016). *JIT Implementation Manual--The Complete Guide to Just-In-Time Manufacturing: Volume 2--Waste and the 5S's*. CRC Press.
- Ho, Y. C., & Moodie, C. L. (1998). Machine layout with a linear single-row flow path in an automated manufacturing system. *Journal of manufacturing systems*, 17(1), 1-22.
- Hobbs, D. P. (2003). *Lean manufacturing implementation: a complete execution manual for any size manufacturer*. J. Ross Publishing.
- Hofstede, Benatallah & Paik (2008). *Business Process Management Workshops: BPM 2007 International Workshops, Revised Selected Papers*, Springer Science & Business Media, pp 110
- Holland, K. (2013). *Lean Layout Fundamentals*. Retrieved from: <http://www.kaizen-news.com/>
- Hosseinpour, F., & Hajihosseini, H. (2009). Importance of simulation in manufacturing. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 51, 285-288.
- Hyer, N., & Wemmerlov, U. (2001). *Reorganizing the factory: Competing through cellular manufacturing*. CRC Press.
- International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 38 No. 3, pp. 481-507
- Iqbal, A. (2010). Balancing and simulating inter-cell and intra-cell moves costs in a cellular manufacturing system. *J Stud Manuf*, 1(1), 48-53.
- Iravani, S. M., Posner, M. J., & Buzacott, J. A. (1997). U-shaped lines with switchover times and costs.
- Irizarry, M. D. L. A., Wilson, J. R., & Trevino, J. (2001). A flexible simulation tool for manufacturing-cell design, II: response surface analysis and case study. *Iie Transactions*, 33(10), 837-846.
- Islam, I., Rahman, M. F., & LeHew, M. L. (2015). Predicting Total Assembling Time for Different Apparel Products Utilizing Learning Curve and Time Study Approaches: A Comparative Case Study.
- Islam, M. A. (2014) Benefits of using Unit Production System (UPS) Line over Conventional Line in the Sewing Section of a Garment Industry. *Proceedings of the 2014 International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management*, Bali, Indonesia, January 7 – 9, 2014
- Islam, M. S., & Liang, G. Q. (2012). Supply Chain Management on Apparel Order Process: A Case Study In Bangladesh Garment Industry. *Asian Journal of Business and Management Sciences*, 2(8), 60-72.

- Islam, M., Mohiuddin, H. M., Mehidi, S. H., & Sakib, N. (2014). An optimal layout design in an apparel industry by appropriate line balancing: A case study. *Global Journal of Research In Engineering*, 14(5).
- Jaakkola, H. (2008). Knowledge cluster systems for knowledge sharing, analysis and delivery among remote sites. *Information Modelling and Knowledge Bases XIX*, 166, 282.
- Jacobs, F. R., Chase, R. B., & Aquilano, N. (2004). *Operations management for competitive advantage*. Boston: Mc-Graw Hill, 64, 70.
- Jaganathan, V. P. (2014). Line balancing using largest candidate rule algorithm in a garment industry: a case study. *International journal of lean thinking*.
- Jain, A. K., Khare, V. K., & Mishra, P. M. (2013). Facility planning and associated problems: a survey. *Innovative systems design and engineering*, 4(6), 1-8.
- Jaramillo, J. R., & McKendall Jr, A. R. (2010). The generalised machine layout problem. *International Journal of Production Research*, 48(16), 4845-4859.
- Jayaswal, S., & Agarwal, P. (2014). Balancing U-shaped assembly lines with resource dependent task times: A Simulated Annealing approach. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 33(4), 522-534.
- Johnson, D. J. (2003). A framework for reducing manufacturing throughput time. *Journal of manufacturing systems*, 22(4), 283.
- Johnson, D. J., & Wemmerlov, U. (2004). Why does cell implementation stop? Factors influencing cell penetration in manufacturing plants. *Production and Operations Management*, 13(3), 272-289.
- Joint Apparel Association Forum (JAAF) (2016), Fast fashion: Manufacturers embrace new production processes. Retrieved from: <http://archive.jaafsl.com/news/1183-fast-fashion-manufacturers-embrace-new-production-processes>
- Joseph, O. A. & Sridharan, R. 2011a. Effects of routing flexibility, sequencing flexibility and scheduling decision rules on the performance of a flexible manufacturing system. *International Journal Of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 56, 291 - 306.
- Jovanovic, V. M., & Mann, M., & Katsioloudis, P. J., & Dickerson, D. L. (2014, June), Enabling Multidisciplinary Perspective in Student Design Project: Fast Fashion and Sustainable Manufacturing Systems Paper presented at 2014 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition, Indianapolis, Indiana. <https://peer.asee.org/20370>
- Joy, A., Sherry, J.F., Venkatesh, A., Wang, J. & Chan, R. (2012). Fast Fashion, Sustainability, and the Ethical Appeal of Luxury Brands, *Fashion Theory*, Vol. 16, Issue 3, pp. 273–296 DOI: 10.2752/175174112X13340749707123
- Kachru, U. (2009). *Production & Operations Management*. Excel Books India.
- Kachwala, T. T., & Mukherjee, P. N. (2009). *Operations management and productivity techniques*. PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd..

- Kahraman, C. (2012) *Computational Intelligence Systems in Industrial Engineering: With Recent Theory and Applications*, Springer Science and Business Media, pp.505-508
- Kamrani, A. K., Azimi, M., & Al-Ahmari, A. M. (2013). *Methods in Product Design: New Strategies in Reengineering*. CRC Press.
- Kamrani, A. K., Parsaei, H. R., & Liles, D. H. (Eds.). (1995). *Planning, design, and analysis of cellular manufacturing systems* (Vol. 24). Newnes.
- Kanawaty, G. (Ed.). (1992). *Introduction to work study*. International Labour Organization.
- Kara, Y., Ozguven, C., Yalcin, N., & Atasagun, Y. (2011). Balancing straight and U-shaped assembly lines with resource dependent task times. *International Journal of Production Research*, 49(21), 6387-6405.
- Karger, D.W. and Bayha, F.H., (1987). *Engineered work measurement: the principles, techniques, and data of methods-time measurement background and foundations of work measurement and methods-time measurement, plus other related material*. Industrial Press Inc.
- Karim, S., (2013), Impact of Changeover time on productivity: A case study, *International Journal of Engineering & Technology IJET-IJENS* Vol:13 No:06, Page 42-48
- Kasimbeyli, R., Dincer, C., and Ozpeynirci, S. (2010). Sub-gradient based solution approach for cell formation problem with alternative routes. *International Conference 24th Mini EURO Conference "Continuous Optimization and Information-Based Technologies in the Financial Sector" (MEC EurOPT 2010) June 23–26, 2010, Izmir, Turkey*
- Kelly, M. J., Ortiz, D. J., Folds, D. J., & Courtney, T. K. (1992). Ergonomic challenges in conventional and advanced apparel manufacturing. *International Journal of Human Factors in Manufacturing*, 2(1), 39-54.
- Kentli, A., Dal, V., & Alkaya, A. F. (2013). Minimizing machine changeover time in product line in an apparel industry. *Journal of Textile & Apparel/Tekstil ve Konfeksiyon*, 23(2).
- Khaewsukkho, S. (2008). *New Approaches for Design of High-Mix Low-Volume Facilities* (Doctoral dissertation, The Ohio State University).
- Khan, A. J., & Tidke, D. J. (2013). Designing facilities layout for small and medium enterprises. *International journal of Engineering research and General science* Volume1, (2). Moonis, A., Chung, P., & Hinde, C. (Eds.). (2003). *Developments in Applied Artificial Intelligence: 16th International Conference on Industrial and Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence and Expert Systems, IEA/AIE 2003, Loughborough, UK, June 23-26, 2003, Proceedings* (Vol. 2718). Springer.
- Khannan, M. S. A., Maruf, A., Wangsaputra, R., Sutrisno, S., & Wibawa, T. (2016, February). Cellular Manufacturing System with Dynamic Lot Size Material Handling. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* (Vol. 114, No. 1, p. 012144). IOP Publishing.

- Kia, R., Shirazi, H., Javadian, N., & Tavakkoli-Moghaddam, R. (2013). A multi-objective model for designing a group layout of a dynamic cellular manufacturing system. *Journal of Industrial Engineering International*, 9(1), 8.
- Kincade, D. H., & Kanakadurga, K. S. (2013). An empirical investigation of apparel production systems and product line groups through the use of collar designs. *Journal of Textile and Apparel, Technology and Management*, 8(1).
- Kitaw, D., Matebu, A., & Tadesse, S. (2010). Assembly line balancing using simulation technique in a garment manufacturing firm. *Zede Journal*, 27, 69-80.
- Kohn, J. P. (1998). *Ergonomics process management: a blueprint for quality and compliance*. CRC Press.
- Kono, H. (2000). Characteristics and Problems of Cell Manufacturing System in Assembly Processes: A Viewpoint as an Enabler of Improvement. Fifth International Conference, Asia-Pacific Region of Decision Sciences Institute
- Koufteros, X. A., Vonderembse, M. A., & Doll, W. J. (1998). How to Cut Manufacturing Throughput Time.
- Kovacheva, A. V. (2010). Challenges in Lean implementation: successful transformation towards lean enterprise. Unpublished Masters Thesis). University of Aarhus, Denmark.
- Krajewski, L. J., & Ritzman, L. P. (2005). *Operations management: processes and value chains*. Prentice Hall.
- Kreng, V. B., & Tsai, C. M. (2002). Use of a robustness index for flexible facility layout design in a changing environment. *Asia Pacific Management Review*, 7(4), 427-448.
- Kriengkorakot, N., & Kriengkorakot, P. (2014). Heuristics comparison for u-shaped assembly line balancing in the apparel factory. *KKU ENGINEERING JOURNAL*, 41(2), 155-162.
- Krishnananda Rao, P., 2014. A Multi Stage Heuristic for Manufacturing Cell Formation, *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, 3(2): 91-98
- Kulturel-Konak, S. (2007). Approaches to uncertainties in facility layout problems: Perspectives at the beginning of the 21st Century. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 18(2), 273.
- Kulwiec, R. A. (Ed.). (1985). *Materials handling handbook*. New York et al.: Wiley.
- Kumar, B. S., & Sampath, V. R. (2012). Garment manufacturing through lean initiative-an empirical study on WIP fluctuation in T-shirt production unit. *Eur. J. Sci. Res*, 73(92), 235-244.
- Kumar, S. A., & Suresh, N. (2006). *Production and operations management*. New Age International.
- Kumar, S., & Raj, T. (2016). Selection of material handling equipment for flexible manufacturing system using FAHP. *Int. Jr. Recent Adv. Mech. Eng.(IJMECH)*, 5(1).

- Kumari, R., Quazi, T. Z., & Kumar, R. (2015) Application of Lean Manufacturing Tools in Garment Industry. *International Journal of Mechanical engineering and information technology*, 3(1), 976-982
- Lago, A., Martinez-de-Albeniz, V., Moscoso, P., Vall, A. (2013). *The Role of Quick Response in Accelerating Sales of Fashion Goods*. Retrieved from: [http://webprofesores.iese.edu/valbeniz/RoleQRFashion\\_web.pdf](http://webprofesores.iese.edu/valbeniz/RoleQRFashion_web.pdf) . [Accessed 27 February 2014]
- Lanarolle, G., & Ratnayake, V. (2014) Cellular Manufacturing and Teamwork Concepts in Garment Manufacturing. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM) Volume 16, Issue 6. Ver. III, PP 81-93*
- Lawler, B., & Wilson, H. (2002). *Textiles Technology*. Heinemann.
- Lenin, N., Siva Kumar, M., Ravindran, D., & Islam, M. N. (2014). A tabu search for multi-objective single row facility layout problem. *Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Systems*, 13(01), 17-40.
- Leondes, C. T. (2003). *Computer Aided and Integrated Manufacturing Systems: A 5-volume Set. Computer techniques. Vol. 1 (Vol. 5)*. World Scientific.
- Leonides, C.T. (2012). *Control and Dynamic Systems V46: Manufacturing and Automation Systems: Techniques and Technologies: Advances in Theory and Applications*. Academic Press
- Li, C., Tang, Y., Li, C., & Li, L. (2013). A modeling approach to analyze variability of remanufacturing process routing. *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, 10(1), 86-98.
- Lieber, C. (2017). Is British Fast Fashion Too Fast?. Retrieved from: <https://www.racked.com/2017/5/16/15643246/asos-boohoo-british-fast-fashion>
- Liu, C., Wang, J., & Leung, J. Y. T. (2016). Worker assignment and production planning with learning and forgetting in manufacturing cells by hybrid bacteria foraging algorithm. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 96, 162-179.
- Liu, J. X. (2006). *Focus on Robotics research*. Nova Publishers.
- Luyster, T. and Tapping, D., 2006. *Creating Your Lean Future State*, Productivity Press: 19-24, 44, and 83.
- Lv, C., Liu, S., Wang, S. M., & Cai, B. (2013). The Production System Automatic Layout Based on Simulation. In *Advanced Materials Research (Vol. 819, pp. 393-397)*. Trans Tech Publications.
- Mahadevan, B. (2015). *Operations management: Theory and practice*. Pearson Education India.
- Mahdavi, I., & Mahadevan, B. (2008). CLASS: An algorithm for cellular manufacturing system and layout design using sequence data. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 24(3), 488-497.
- Mahdavi, I., Aalaei, A., Paydar, M. M., & Solimanpur, M. (2010). Designing a mathematical model for dynamic cellular manufacturing systems considering

- production planning and worker assignment. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 60(4), 1014-1025.
- Mahdavi, I., Teymourian, E., Baher, N. T., & Kayvanfar, V. (2013). An integrated model for solving cell formation and cell layout problem simultaneously considering new situations. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 32(4), 655-663.
- Mahesh, O., & Srinivasan, G. (2002). Incremental cell formation considering alternative machines. *International Journal of Production Research*, 40(14): 3291-3310. doi:10.1080/00207540210146189
- Malakooti, B. (2014). *Operations and production systems with multiple objectives*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Marsh, R. F., Meredith, J. R., & McCutcheon, D. M. (1997). The life cycle of manufacturing cells. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 17(12), 1167-1182.
- Masmoudi, F., & Hachicha, W. (2013). A simulation approach for cellular manufacturing design from initial cell formation solutions. *International Journal of Manufacturing Research*, 8(1), 85-102.
- Maurer, M. (2011). *Skill formation regimes in South Asia: A comparative study on the path-dependent development of technical and vocational education and training for the garment industry (Vol. 21)*. Peter Lang.
- McCreery, J. K., Krajewski, L. J., Leong, G. K., & Ward, P. T. (2004). Performance implications of assembly work teams. *Journal of Operations Management*, 22(4), 387-412.
- Mehmood, K., Cherfi, S. S. S., & Comyn-Wattiau, I. (2009). Data Quality through Conceptual Model Quality-Reconciling Researchers and Practitioners through a Customizable Quality Model. *ICIQ*, 2009, 61-74.
- Mehrjoo, M., & Pasek, Z. J. (2014). Impact of product variety on supply chain in fast fashion apparel industry. *Procedia CIRP*, 17, 296-301.
- Mehrotra, N., Syal, M., & Hastak, M. (2005). Manufactured housing production layout design. *Journal of architectural engineering*, 11(1), 25-34.
- Mejia, G., & Velasco, N. (Eds.). (2012). *Production Systems and Supply Chain Management in Emerging Countries: Best Practices: Selected Papers from the International Conference on Production Research (ICPR)*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Meller, R. D., Narayanan, V., & Vance, P. H. (1999). Optimal facility layout design. *Operations Research Letters*, 23(3-5), 117-127
- Memic, M., & Minhas, F. N. (2011). The fast fashion phenomenon: Luxury fashion brands responding to fast fashion. *The Swedish School of Textiles April*.
- Meng, G., Heragu, S. S., & Zijm, H. (2004). Reconfigurable layout problem. *International Journal of Production Research*, 42(22), 4709-4729.
- Michelini, R.C. & Razzoli, R.P. (2013). Robotics in Clothes Manufacture, *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Applications*, 1 (1) pp.17-27

- Michellini, R.C. & Razzoli, R.P. (2013). Robotics in Clothes Manufacture, *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Applications*, 1 (1) pp.17-27
- Mihm, B. (2010). Fast fashion in a flat world: Global sourcing strategies. *The International Business & Economics Research Journal*, 9(6), 55.
- Miltenburg, J. (2001). U-shaped production lines: A review of theory and practice. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 70(3), 201-214.
- Mir, M. S. S., & Rezaeian, J. (2016). A robust hybrid approach based on particle swarm optimization and genetic algorithm to minimize the total machine load on unrelated parallel machines. *Applied Soft Computing*, 41, 488-504.
- Mital, A. (Ed.). (1988). *Recent Developments in Production Research: Collection of Refereed Papers Presented at the Ixth International Conference on Production Research (Vol. 6)*. Elsevier Publishing Company.
- Mital, A., Desai, A. and Mital, A. (2017). *FUNDAMENTALS OF WORK MEASUREMENT: What Every Engineer Should Know*. CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group
- Mittlehauser, M. (1997), Employment trends in textiles and apparel, 1973-2005, *Monthly Labor Review*, August, 1997, 24-35
- Mo, Z. (2015). Internationalization Process of Fast Fashion Retailers: Evidence of H&M and Zara. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 10(3), 217.
- Modrak, V. (Ed.). (2011). *Operations Management Research and Cellular Manufacturing Systems: Innovative Methods and Approaches: Innovative Methods and Approaches*. IGI Global.
- Modrak, V. and Pandian, R.S. (2011) *Operations Management Research and Cellular Manufacturing Systems: Innovative Methods and Approaches*, IGI Global, 69-345
- Mohammadi, M., & Forghani, K. (2017). A hybrid method based on genetic algorithm and dynamic programming for solving a bi-objective cell formation problem considering alternative process routings and machine duplication. *Applied Soft Computing*, 53, 97-110.
- Moin, C. J., Sarwar, F., & Doulah, A. S. (2013). Investigation of a Hybrid Production System for Mass-Customization Apparel Manufacturing. *Journal of Textile and Apparel, Technology and Management*, 8(3).
- Moll, P., Schütte, U., Zöll, K., Molfino, R., Carca, E., Zoppi, M., ... & Montorsi, R. (2009). Automated Garment Assembly and Manufacturing Simulation. In *Transforming Clothing Production into a Demand-driven, Knowledge-based, High-tech Industry* (pp. 9-59). Springer London.
- Mooi, E., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). *A concise guide to market research: The process, data, and methods using IBM SPSS statistics*. month.
- Morad, N. (2000). Genetic algorithms optimization for the machine layout problem. *International Journal of the Computer, the Internet and Management*, 8(1).



- Moradgholi, M., Paydar, M. M., Mahdavi, I., & Jouzdani, J. (2016). A genetic algorithm for a bi-objective mathematical model for dynamic virtual cell formation problem. *Journal of Industrial Engineering International*, 12(3), 343-359.
- Moretta Tartaglione, A., & Antonucci, E. (2013). Value Creation Process in the Fast Fashion Industry: Towards a Networking Approach. In *The 2013 Naples Forum on Service. Service Dominant Logic, Networks & Systems Theory and Service Science: Integrating Three Perspectives for a New Service Agenda* (p. 91).
- Mukerjee, P.N. (2006). *Total Quality Management*. PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd.
- Mungwattana, A. (2000). Design of cellular manufacturing systems for dynamic and uncertain production requirements with presence of routing flexibility (Doctoral dissertation).
- Murugan, M., & Selladurai, V. (2011). Formation of machine cells/part families in cellular manufacturing systems using an ART-modified single linkage clustering approach—a comparative study. *Jordan Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering*, 5(3), 199-212.
- Murugan, M., & Selladurai, V. (2011). Formation of machine cells/part families in cellular manufacturing systems using an ART-modified single linkage clustering approach—a comparative study. *Jordan Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering*, 5(3), 199-212.
- Muther, R. (2002). *Planning Manufacturing Cells Workbook*. Society of Manufacturing Engineers Dearborn, Michigan.
- Mutingi, M., & Onwubolu, G. C. (2012). Integrated cellular manufacturing system design and layout using group genetic algorithms. In *Manufacturing System. InTech*.
- Nabi, F., Mahmud, R., & Islam, M. M. (2015). Improving Sewing Section Efficiency through Utilization of Worker Capacity by Time Study Technique. *International Journal of Textile Science*, 4(1), 1-8.
- Nagurney, A., & Yu, M. (2010). Fashion supply chain management through cost and time minimization from a network perspective.
- Nenni, M. E., Giustiniano, L., & Pirolo, L. (2013). Demand forecasting in the fashion industry: a review. *International Journal of Engineering Business Management*, 5, 37.
- Neumann, C.S.R. & Fogliatto, F.S. (2013) Systematic approach to evaluating and improving the flexibility of layout in dynamic environments, *Management & Production*, 20 (2), 235-254
- Niakan, F. (2015). Design and configuration of sustainable dynamic cellular manufacturing systems (Doctoral dissertation, Lyon, INSA).
- Niakan, F., Baboli, A., Moyaux, T., & Botta-Genoulaz, V. (2016). A bi-objective model in sustainable dynamic cell formation problem with skill-based worker assignment. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 38, 46-62.
- Nicholas, J. (2011). *Competitive Manufacturing Management*. New Delhi: Tata McGraw Hill Education Private Limited. pp. 176-204, 397-399, 754-759

- Nicholas, J. M. (1998). *Competitive manufacturing management: continuous improvement, lean production, customer-focused quality*. McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- Nicholas, J., & Soni, A. (2005). *The portal to lean production: Principles and practices for doing more with less*. CRC Press.
- Nielsen, J. and Levy, J. (1994) Measuring Usability: Preference vs. Performance. *Communications of the ACM*, 37, p. 66-76
- Niroomand, S. (2013). *Studies on different types of facility layout problems (Doctoral dissertation, Eastern Mediterranean University (EMU)-Doğu Akdeniz Üniversitesi (DAÜ))*.
- Nomden, G. (2011). *Virtual cellular manufacturing: relevance and development of heuristics for family-based dispatching (Doctoral dissertation, University of Groningen)*.
- Nomden, G., & Slomp, J. (2003). The Operation of Virtual Manufacturing Cells in Various Physical Layout Situations. In *Proc. GT/CM World Symposium, Columbus, OH* (pp. 255-260).
- Nourie, H., Tang, S. H., Tuah, B. H., Ariffin, M. K. A., & Samin, R. (2013). Metaheuristic techniques on cell formation in cellular manufacturing system. *J Autom Control Eng*, 1(1), 49-54.
- Nunkaew, W., & Phruksaphanrat, B. (2013). Effective fuzzy multi-objective model based on perfect grouping for manufacturing cell formation with set-up cost constrained of machine duplication. *Songklanakarin Journal of Science & Technology*, 35(6).
- Oke, A. (2013). Linking manufacturing flexibility to innovation performance in manufacturing plants. *International Journals of Production Economics*, 143, 242-247. doi:10.1016/j.ijpe.2011.09.014
- Stephens, M. P., & Meyers, F. E. (2013). *Manufacturing facilities design and material handling*. Purdue University Press.
- Oksuz, M. K., & Satoglu, S. I. (2014) Balancing U-Shaped Assembly Lines by Considering Human Factors. *Proceedings of Global Conference on Engineering and Technology Management, Istanbul, Turkey*.
- Oliveira, A. R. S., & Alves, A. C. (2009). Operating modes in manufacturing cells: an action research study. In *5th International Conference on Intelligent Manufacturing & Logistics Systems and Symposium on Group Technology and cellular Manufacturing (GT/CM09), Kitakyushu, 2009*.
- Onwubolu, G. C. (2011). Cell formation in group technology: a similarity order clustering approach. *The South African Journal of Industrial Engineering*, 9(1).
- Orcao, A. I. E., & Perez, D. R. (2014). Global production chains in the fast fashion sector, transports and logistics: the case of the Spanish retailer Inditex. *Investigaciones Geográficas, Boletín del Instituto de Geografía*, 2014(85), 113-127.
- Orcao, A. I. E., & Pérez, D. R. (2014). Global production chains in the fast fashion sector, transports and logistics: the case of the Spanish retailer Inditex. *Investigaciones Geográficas, Boletín del Instituto de Geografía*, 2014(85), 113-127.

- Osorno, S. C. (2015). Consumer behaviour towards the fashion industry. The fast fashion era (Doctoral dissertation).
- Ozgurler, Ş., Endustri, B. Y., Gulsun, B., Guneri, A. F., & Yilmaz, O. (2010, September). Designing A Simple U-Shaped Production Line And Analysis of Effectiveness. In 14th International Research/Expert Conference.
- Pachghare, V., & Dalu, R. (2012). Assembly Line Balancing Methods-A Case Study. *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*, 3-1901.
- Pai, Y. S., Yap, H. J., Dawal, S. Z. M., Ramesh, S., & Phoon, S. Y. (2016). Virtual Planning, Control, and Machining for a Modular-Based Automated Factory Operation in an Augmented Reality Environment. *Scientific reports*, 6, 27380.
- Palmer, B. (2004). Overcoming resistance to change. *Quality Progress*, 37(4), 35.
- Palominos, P., Valdivia, L., & Quezada, L. (2015). A methodology for designing multiple cell manufacturing systems with simultaneous balancing. In 23rd International Conference for Production Research, ICPR 2015. International Foundation for Production Research (IFPR).
- Pan, G. (2014). A quantitative analysis of cellular manufacturing in apparel industry by using simulation. *Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management*, 7(5), 1385-1396.
- Paneru, N. (2011). Implementation of lean manufacturing tools in garment manufacturing process focusing sewing section of men's shirt.
- Papaioannou, G., & Wilson, J. M. (2010). The evolution of cell formation problem methodologies based on recent studies (1997–2008): Review and directions for future research. *European journal of operational research*, 206(3), 509-521.
- Parashar, B. N. (2008). Cellular manufacturing systems: An integrated approach. PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd.
- Patel, J. N., & Patel, S. V. (2014). Approaches to solve cell formation, machine layout and cell layout problem: A Review. *Transactions on Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence*, 2(5), 80-96.
- Paydar, M. M., Saidi-Mehrabad, M., & Teimoury, E. (2014). A robust optimisation model for generalised cell formation problem considering machine layout and supplier selection. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 27(8), 772-786.
- Pheasant, S., & Haslegrave, C. M. (2016). *Bodyspace: Anthropometry, ergonomics and the design of work*. CRC Press.
- Pillai, V. M., Hunagund, I. B., & Krishnan, K. K. (2011). Design of robust layout for dynamic plant layout problems. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 61(3), 813-823.
- Pinheiro, R. G., Martins, I. C., Protti, F., Ochi, L. S., Simonetti, L. G., & Subramanian, A. (2016). On solving manufacturing cell formation via Bicluster Editing. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 254(3), 769-779.

- Prabu, M., & Kalaamani, P. (2012). A Multivariate Simultaneous Part Family Identification & Machine Part Cell Formation. *Programmable Device Circuits and Systems*, 4(1), 1-5.
- Pradhan, T., & Mishra, S. R. (2015). Implementation of Machine Part Cell Formation Algorithm in Cellular Manufacturing Technology Using Neural Networks. *International Journal of Hybrid Information Technology*, 8(2), 173-178.
- Prasath, K. A., & Johnson, R. D. J. (2015). Scrutiny of machine assignment in various intra-cell layout in cellular manufacturing using automation studios. *International journal of applied engineering research and development* ISSN(P): 2250-1584; ISSN(E): 2278-9383 Vol. 5, Issue 4, 1-8
- Praveen, P., Chowdary, B. V., & Deshmukh, S. G. (2006). Design Of Virtual Manufacturing Cells: An Integrated Approach. *West Indian Journal of Engineering*, 29(1).
- Priest, J., & Sanchez, J. (2001). *Product development and design for manufacturing: a collaborative approach to producibility and reliability*. CRC Press.
- Prokopenko, J. (1987). *Productivity management: A practical handbook*. International Labour Organization.
- Protzman, C., Mayzell, G., & Kerpchar, J. (2010). *Leveraging lean in healthcare: Transforming your enterprise into a high quality patient care delivery system*. CRC Press.
- Purvis, L., Naim, M. M., & Towill, D. (2013). Intermediation in agile global fashion supply chains. *International Journal of Engineering, Science and Technology*, 5(2), 38-48.
- PwC HK (2015). *Fashion and apparel*. Retrieved from: <https://www.pwchk.com/en/retail-and-consumer/rc-outlook-201516-re-fashion.pdf>
- Qudeiri, J. A., Umer, U., Khadra, F. A., Hussein, H. M. A., Al-Ahmari, A., Darwish, S., & Abidi, M. H. (2015). Layout design optimization of dynamic environment flexible manufacturing systems. *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, 7(6), 1687814015584252.
- Quirk, T. J., & Palmer-Schuyler, J. (2016). *Excel 2010 for Human Resource Management Statistics*. Springer.
- Rafiee, K., Rabbani, M., Rafiei, H., & Rahimi-Vahed, A. (2011). A new approach towards integrated cell formation and inventory lot sizing in an unreliable cellular manufacturing system. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 35(4), 1810-1819.
- Rafiei, H., and Ghodsi, R. (2013). A bi-objective mathematical model toward dynamic cell formation considering labor utilization. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, Vol.37No.4, pp.2308-2316.
- Rafiei, H., Rabbani, M., Nazaridoust, B., & Ramiyani, S. S. (2015). Multi-objective cell formation problem considering work-in-process minimization. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 76(9-12), 1947-1955.

- Raja, S., & Anbumalar, V. (2016). An effective methodology for cell formation and intra-cell machine layout design in cellular manufacturing system using parts visit data and operation sequence data. *Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering*, 38(3), 869-882.
- Rajput, R. K. (2007). *A textbook of manufacturing technology: Manufacturing processes*. Firewall Media.
- Raman, D., Nagalingam, S. V., & Lin, G. C. (2009). Towards measuring the effectiveness of a facilities layout. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 25(1), 191-203.
- Raminfar, R., Zulkifli, N., & Vasili, M. (2013). A mathematical programming model for cell formation problem with machine replication. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 2013.
- Rao, P. N. (2004). *CAD/CAM: principles and applications*. Tata McGraw-Hill Education.
- Raworth, K. (2004). Trading away our rights: Women working in global supply chains. *Oxfam Policy and Practice: Private Sector*, 1(1), 1-52.
- Reginato, G., Anzanello, M. J., & Kahmann, A. (2016). Mixed assembly line balancing method in scenarios with different mix of products. *Gestão & Produção*, v. 23, n. 2, p. 294-307.
- Renna, P., & Ambrico, M. (2015). Design and reconfiguration models for dynamic cellular manufacturing to handle market changes. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 28(2), 170-186.
- Rezazadeh, H., Mahini, R., & Zarei, M. (2011). Solving a dynamic virtual cell formation problem by linear programming embedded particle swarm optimization algorithm. *Applied Soft Computing*, 11(3), 3160-3169.
- Roberts, G. W. (1994). *Quality Planning, Control, and Improvement in Research and Development (Vol. 44)*. CRC Press.
- Rodriguez Leon, J., Quiroga Mendez, J. E., & Ortiz Pimiento, N. R. (2013). Performance comparison between a classic particle swarm optimization and a genetic algorithm in manufacturing cell design. *Dyna*, 80(178), 29-36.
- Roger, A. & Ruwanpura, K.N. (2016). Living wages: recurring problems of ethical fashion in Sri Lanka?. Retrieved from: <http://discoversociety.org/2016/07/05/living-wages-recurring-problems-of-ethical-fashion-in-sri-lanka/>
- Roslin, E. N., Seang, O. G., & Dawal, S. Z. M. (2008). A Study on Facility Layout in Manufacturing Production Line Using WITNESS. In *Proceedings of The 9th Asia Pasific Industrial Engineering & Management System Conference* (pp. 412-421).
- Rothenberg, J. (1997). A Discussion of Data Quality for Verification, Validation, and Certification (VV&C) of Data to be used in Modeling. *Rand Project Memorandum PM-709-DMSO*, 24-61.

- Roy, N., & Komma, V. R. (2014). Cellular manufacturing through composite part formation: a genetic algorithm approach. In International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management, Bali, Indonesia, January (pp. 7-9).
- Rudolph, S., Gottlob, G., Ian, H., & Van Harmelen, F. (Eds.). (2013). Reasoning Web. Semantic Technologies for Intelligent Data Access: 9th International Summer School 2013, Mannheim, Germany, July 30--August 2, 2013. Proceedings (Vol. 8067). Springer.
- Sabet, E., Yazdani, B., & De Leeuw, S. (2016). Supply chain integration strategies in fast evolving industries. *International Journal of Logistics Management*, 28(1), 29-46.
- Saidi-Mehrabad, M., & Mirnezami-ziabari, S. M. (2011). Developing a Multi-objective Mathematical Model for Dynamic Cellular Manufacturing Systems. *Journal of Optimization in Industrial Engineering*, 1-9.
- Sakhaii, M., Tavakkoli-Moghaddam, R., Bagheri, M., & Vatani, B. (2016). A robust optimization approach for an integrated dynamic cellular manufacturing system and production planning with unreliable machines. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 40(1), 169-191.
- Salvendy, G. (Ed.). (2001). *Handbook of industrial engineering: technology and operations management*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Sarcar, M. M. M., Rao, K. M., & Narayan, K. L. (2008). *Computer aided design and manufacturing*. PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd.
- Sarkar, P. (2015) *Garment Manufacturing Processes, Practices and Technology*. OCS Publications.
- Sauro, J., & Kindlund, E. (2005, April). A method to standardize usability metrics into a single score. In Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems (pp. 401-409). ACM.
- Scheller, H. P. (1995). Dimensions of flexibility in apparel production.
- Schmenner, R. W. (2012). *Getting and staying productive: applying swift, even flow to practice*. Cambridge University Press.
- Schmenner, R.W. (2012) *Getting and Staying Productive: Applying Swift, Even Flow to Practice*, Cambridge University Press, pp 27-151
- Scholz, D., Jaehn, F. and Junker, A. 2010. Extensions to STaTS for practical applications of the facility layout problem. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 204, pp. 463-472.
- Selim, H. M., Askin, R. G., & Vakharia, A. J. (1998). Cell formation in group technology: review, evaluation and directions for future research. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 34(1), 3-20.
- Shabani, M. K., Kalami, S., Farahani, S. M., & Rasekhi, N. (2013). Hybrid Approach of Genetic Algorithm in Case of Planning a Cellular Manufacturing System. *Journal of American Science*, 9(4s).

- Shafiq, F., Defersha, F. M., & Moussa, S. E. (2017). A linear programming embedded simulated annealing in the design of distributed layout with production planning and systems reconfiguration. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 88(1-4), 1119-1140.
- Shahnazari-Shahrezaei, P., Tavakkoli-Moghaddam, R., & Kazemipoor, H. (2013). Solving a multi-objective multi-skilled manpower scheduling model by a fuzzy goal programming approach. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 37(7), 5424-5443.
- Sharma, P., Phanden, R. K., & Singhal, S. (2013). A Comparative Analysis of Facility Layout Design and Optimization Techniques. In *Proceedings of the 2nd national conference on Advancement in the Era of Multi Disciplinary Systems* (pp. 1-6).
- Sharma, P., Thakar, G., & Gupta, R. C. (2014). Evaluation of multi criteria assembly line balancing by MCDM approaches: a conceptual review. *International Journal for Quality Research*, 8(1).
- Shen, B. (2014). Sustainable fashion supply chain: Lessons from H&M. *Sustainability*, 6(9), 6236-6249.
- Shih, Y. C., & Goncalves Filho, E. V. (2014). A design procedure for improving the effectiveness of fractal layouts formation. *AI EDAM*, 28(1), 1-26.
- Shiyas, C. R., & Pillai, V. M. (2014). A mathematical programming model for manufacturing cell formation to develop multiple configurations. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 33(1), 149-158.
- ShopperTrak (2015). *Fashion Conversion Benchmarking Report: 2015*. Retrieved from: <http://uk.shoppertrak.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/Fashion-Conversion-Benchmarking-Report-2015.pdf>.
- Shumon, M. R. H., Arif-Uz-Zaman, K., Rahman, A., & Khulna, B. (2010, January). Productivity Improvement through Line Balancing in Apparel Industries. In *Proceedings of the 2010 International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management*.
- Silva, A. L. (2010). Critical analysis of layout concepts: functional layout, cell layout, product layout, modular layout, fractal layout, small factory layout. In *Challenges and Maturity of Production Engineering: Competitiveness of Enterprises, Working Conditions, Environment*. *Proceedings of the XVI International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management*, São Carlos, Brazil (pp. 1-13).
- Silva, S. C., & Alves, A. C. (2006). Detailed design of product oriented manufacturing systems.
- Singh, K. (2007). *Quantitative social research methods*. Sage.
- Singh, L. P. (2016). *Work Study and Ergonomics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Singh, N., & Rajamani, D. (2012). *Cellular manufacturing systems: design, planning and control*. Springer Science & Business Media.

- Sirovetnukul, R., & Chutima, P. (2010). The impact of walking time on U-shaped assembly line worker allocation problems. *Engineering Journal*, 14(2), 53-78.
- Siti Farah Nadiah, T. (2007). Design of an Improved Production Layout for a Shoe Making Factory: A Case Study Of A Company.
- Sly, D. P. (1997, January). Before dynamic simulation: Systematic layout design from scratch. In *Winter Simulation Conference: Proceedings of the 29 th conference on Winter simulation* (Vol. 7, No. 10, pp. 645-648).
- Smithers, R. (2017). UK households binned 300,000 tonnes of clothing in 2016. Retrieved from: <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2017/jul/11/uk-households-binned-300000-tonnes-of-clothing-in-2016>
- So, K. (2013). *Fast fashion: Manufacturers embrace new production processes*. Retrieved from: [http://www.just-style.com/management-briefing/manufacturers-embrace-newproduction-processes\\_id119206.aspx?d=1](http://www.just-style.com/management-briefing/manufacturers-embrace-newproduction-processes_id119206.aspx?d=1)
- Soimart, P., & Pongcharoen, P. (2011). Multi-row machine layout design using artificial bee colony. In *2011 international conference on economics and business information* (Vol. 9, pp. 103-108).
- Solimanpur, M., Vrat, P., & Shankar, R. (2005). An ant algorithm for the single row layout problem in flexible manufacturing systems. *Computers & Operations Research*, 32(3), 583-598.
- Sri Lanka Export Development Board (2017). *Apparel Export Performance*. Retrieved from: <http://www.srilankabusiness.com/apparel/apparel-export-performance.html>
- Srivastava, N. A., & Chatterjee, A. (2014). Skills requirement analysis at the production floor in ready made garment industry. *Asian journal of management sciences & education*, 3(1), 23-34.
- Stevenson, W. J., & Sum, C. C. (2002). *Operations management* (Vol. 8). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- Streiner, D. L., Norman, G. R., & Cairney, J. (2015). *Health measurement scales: a practical guide to their development and use*. Oxford University Press, USA.
- Sudarshan, B., & Rao, D. N. (2014) Productivity improvement through modular line in garment industries. 5th International & 26th All India Manufacturing Technology, Design and Research Conference (AIMTDR 2014), Guwahati, Assam, India
- Suer, G.A., Huang, J. and Maddisetty, S., (2010). Design of dedicated, shared and remainder cells in a probabilistic demand environment. *International journal of production research*, Vol.48No.19, pp.5613-5646.
- Sun, J. (2013, November). Empirical Research on the Influences of Different Layout Designs of HR Management on the Motivation of Employees Based on Fuzzy Comprehensive Evaluation. In *2nd International Conference on Management Science and Industrial Engineering (MSIE 2013)*. Atlantis Press.
- Sundar, R., Balaji, A. N., & Kumar, R. S. (2014). A review on lean manufacturing implementation techniques. *Procedia Engineering*, 97, 1875-1885.



- Suo, X. (2012). Facility layout. In Manufacturing system. InTech.
- Suresh, N. C., & Kay, J. M. (Eds.). (2012). Group technology and cellular manufacturing: a state-of-the-art synthesis of research and practice. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Suresh, N. C., & Kay, J. M. (Eds.). (2012). Group technology and cellular manufacturing: a state-of-the-art synthesis of research and practice. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Syberfeldt, A., & Lidberg, S. (2012, December). Real-world simulation-based manufacturing optimization using cuckoo search. In Proceedings of the Winter Simulation Conference (p. 256). Winter Simulation Conference.
- Tanchoco, J. M. A. (Ed.). (1994). Material flow systems in manufacturing. Chapman & Hall.
- Tempelmeier, H., & Kuhn, H. (1993). Flexible manufacturing systems: decision support for design and operation (Vol. 12). John Wiley & Sons.
- Tilindis, J., & Kleiza, V. (2014). The effect of learning factors due to low volume order fluctuations in the automotive wiring harness production. *Procedia CIRP*, 19, 129-134.
- Tubaileh, A., & Siam, J. (2017). Single and multi-row layout design for flexible manufacturing systems. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, 1-15.
- Tunnukij, T., & Hicks, C. (2009). An Enhanced Grouping Genetic Algorithm for solving the cell formation problem. *International Journal of Production Research*, 47(7), 1989-2007.
- Turker, D., & Altuntas, C. (2014). Sustainable supply chain management in the fast fashion industry: An analysis of corporate reports. *European Management Journal*, 32(5), 837-849.
- Udomsakdigool, A., & Bangsaranthip, S. (2010). Combining ant colony optimization and dynamic programming for solving a dynamic facility layout problem. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 64, 523-527.
- Ulutas, B., & Islier, A. A. (2015). Dynamic facility layout problem in footwear industry. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 36, 55-61.
- UNCTAD (2014). *Recent Trends in International Trade: Implications for Sri Lanka*.
- Vallabhaneni, S. R. (2013). Wiley CIA exam review 2013, internal audit knowledge elements. John Wiley & Sons.
- Vecchi, A., & Buckley, C. (2016). *Handbook of Research on Global Fashion Management and Merchandising*, IGI Global
- Wadhawa, S., & Rao, K. S. (2003). Flexibility and agility for enterprise synchronization: knowledge and innovation management towards flexibility. *Studies in Informatics and Control*, 12(2), 111-128.

- Wang, J., & Kusiak, A. (Eds.). (2000). Computational intelligence in manufacturing handbook. CRC Press.
- Wang, M. J., Hu, M. H., & Ku, M. H. (2005). A solution to the unequal area facilities layout problem by genetic algorithm. *Computers in Industry*, 56(2), 207–220.
- Wang, S., Zuo, X., Liu, X., Zhao, X., & Li, J. (2015). Solving dynamic double row layout problem via combining simulated annealing and mathematical programming. *Applied Soft Computing*, 37, 303-310.
- Weinberg, S. (Ed.). (2007). Good laboratory practice regulations. CRC Press.
- Wemmerlov, U. and Johnson, D.J. (2000), “Empirical findings on manufacturing cell design”,
- Xambre, A. R., & Vilarinho, P. M. (2007). Virtual manufacturing cell formation problem (vmcfp) in a distributed layout. In ICPR19-19th International Conference on Production Research, Valparaiso, Chile.
- Xu, J., Xu, X., & Xie, S. Q. (2011). Recent developments in Dual Resource Constrained (DRC) system research. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 215(2), 309-318.
- Y. Zhao and S. Wallace (2014). “Integrated facility layout design and flow assignment problem under uncertainty.” *INFORMS Journal on Computing* 26(4), 798–808.
- Yan, X. T., Jiang, C., & Eynard, B. (Eds.). (2008). Advanced design and manufacture to gain a competitive edge. Springer.
- Yang, T., & Peters, B.A., (1998) Flexible machine layout design for dynamic and uncertain production environments, *European Journal of Operational Research* 108, 49-64, Elsevier Science B.V.
- Zarea Fazlelahi, F., Pournader, M., Gharakhani, M., & Sadjadi, S. J. (2016). A robust approach to design a single facility layout plan in dynamic manufacturing environments using a permutation-based genetic algorithm. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, 230(12), 2264-2274.
- Zbicinski, I. (2006). Product design and life cycle assessment (Vol. 3). Baltic University Press.
- Zhao, L. & Yang, J. (2011) Clothing workshop production line analysis and improvement research based on IE, *Advanced Material Research*, Vol. 291-294, pp 3147-3151, Trans Tech Publications, Switzerland
- Zhenxiang, W., & Lijie, Z. (2011). Case study of online retailing fast fashion industry. *International Journal of e-Education, e-Business, e-Management and e-Learning*, 1(3), 195.
- Zuo, X., Murray, C. C., & Smith, A. E. (2014). Solving an extended double row layout problem using multiobjective tabu search and linear programming. *IEEE Transactions on automation science and engineering*, 11(4), 1122-1132.

## APPENDIX A: Summary of the literature review on CMS

Assumptions	Authors
<b>Assumptions related with machine data</b>	
Each machine type can perform one or more operations of different products	Niakan et al. (2016), Kia et al. (2014)
Each operation can be performed in different types of machines and possibly with different processing times.	Bayram & Sahin (2016), Dalfard (2013), Niakan et al. (2016), Kia et al. (2014)
Each machine has a limited capacity and several duplicates of the machines are allowed. Machine capacities are expressed in time units (e.g. h, days).	Hamedi et al. (2012), Bayram & Sahin (2016), Dalfard (2013), Niakan et al. (2016)
Time capacity of each machine is definite and fixed in all programming horizon.	Dalfard (2013)
Bounds and quantity of machines in each cell need to be specified in advance and they remain constant over time.	Bayram & Sahin (2016), Asgharpour & Javadian (2004)
All machines have the same dimension. Therefore any machine can be assigned to any location. However, only one machine can be assigned to a location.	Bayram & Sahin (2016)
Time of setting machinery and their failure probability are excluded.	Dalfard (2013), Bayram & Sahin (2016), Asgharpour & Javadian (2004), Sirovetnukul & Chutima (2010)
All machines are of rectangular shape	Dalfard (2013), Srinivas, Reddy, Ramji & Naveend (2014)
All machines are operated in the centre of that space	Srinivas et al. (2014)
The available surface for Machine layout is rectangular in shape	Srinivas, Reddy, Ramji & Naveen (2014)
Machines are not in the same size.	Allahyari & Azab (2015)
The sizes of all machines are equal with the dimensions of 1×1.	Golmohammadi, A. M., Tavakkoli-Moghaddam, Jolai & Golmohammadi, A. H. (2014)
The factory floor is divided into equal grids, and machines are assumed equal space in such a way that each machine just occupies one grid.	Hamedi et al. (2012)
Machines must be located within a given area.	Allahyari & Azab (2015)
In determining machine size and dimensions, the workspace required for operator usage and that needed to enforce between the different machines have been taken into account.	Allahyari & Azab (2015)

A U-line comprises inexpensive and small non-automated machines	Sirovetnukul & Chutima (2010)
Breakdown cost for each machine type is known.	Sakhaii et al. (2016)
The failure rate for each machine type is known.	Sakhaii et al. (2016)
If a machine is purchased in a period, it must stay on the shop floor in the following periods. Removal of machines from the shop floor is not assumed.	Bayram & Sahin (2016)
Since in the first period, there is no machine available to be utilized, it will be required to purchase some machines to meet part demands. In the next periods, if the present time capacity of machines is not enough to satisfy the part demands, some other machines will be purchased and added to the current utilized machines.	Kia et al. (2014)
In each period when there is surplus capacity, idle machines can be removed from the cells and transferred to the machine depot, where the idle machines are kept in order to decrease the machine overhead costs and provide empty locations in cells to accommodate required machines. Whenever it will be necessary to increase the processing time capacity of the system because of high demand volume, those machines can be returned to the cells.	Kia et al. (2014)
Cell reconfiguration involves different situations that are: (1) transferring of the existing machines between different locations of a same cell or different cells, (2) purchasing and adding new machines to cells, and (3) transferring machines between cells and the machine depot because of changing capacity requirements in successive periods.	Kia et al. (2014)
The relocation cost of each machine type is known. All machine types can be moved to the machine depot or any location in the cells. This cost is paid for several situations: (1) to transfer and install a new purchased machine, (2) to relocate a machine between a cell and the machine depot, and (3) to relocate a machine between two different locations of a same cell or different cells. For the sake of simplicity, it is assumed that the unit cost of relocating a machine is the same for all three situations.	Kia et al. (2014)
Replacement cost of machines consists of installation and un-installation costs. When a machine is moved from one location to another, both installation and un-installation costs are incurred. Regardless of the purchase period,	Bayram & Sahin (2016)

installation cost is incurred for every new machine. Both installation and un-installation costs are expressed in terms of money per each relocation.	
The machine relocation cost during the periods is constant and known for a PER machine. This cost includes opening, transferring and resetting the machine.	Golmohammadi et al. (2014)
Cost of investment or purchase of any machine is independent of the work load allocated to it.	Dalfard (2013)
The time value of money is not considered in the CMS model	Asgharpour & Javadian (2004)
<b>Assumptions related with part data</b>	
Demand for each product type is varying in subsequent periods and the demand is known deterministically prior to the design.	Hamedi et al. (2012), Bayram & Sahin (2016), Dalfard (2013)
Demand must be satisfied in a given period hence backorders are not allowed.	Golmohammadi et al. (2014), Bayram & Sahin (2016)
Demand for each product is expressed in number units.	Hamedi et al. (2012), Bayram & Sahin (2016), Dalfard (2013), Golmohammadi et al. (2014)
The operating times for all part type operations on different machine types are known.	Dalfard (2013), Asgharpour & Javadian (2004)
The demand density function for each part type in each period is known.	Dalfard (2013), Asgharpour & Javadian (2004)
Processing capabilities are 100% reliable (i.e. no rework / scrap).	Dalfard (2013), Asgharpour & Javadian (2004)
No inventory is considered.	Golmohammadi et al. (2014), Dalfard (2013),
No queuing in production is allowed.	Dalfard (2013), Asgharpour & Javadian (2004)
Batch size is constant for all products and all periods.	Dalfard (2013), Asgharpour & Javadian (2004)
There is an operation sequence for each product.	Bayram & Sahin (2016), Dalfard (2013), Deep & Singh (2015), Kia et al. (2014), Sirovetnukul & Chutima (2010), Niakan et al. (2016)
In case of subcontracting a certain part, all the operations of that part are made by one supplier; however, different parts can be made by different suppliers.	Mohammadi & Forghani (2016)
The processing routings of parts and the operation sequences in each routing are pre-specified.	Mohammadi & Forghani (2016)

Only one routing must be selected for processing each part type.	Mohammadi & Forghani (2016)
Demand for each period is uncertain.	Mohammadi & Forghani (2016), Niakan et al. (2016)
<b>Assumptions related with material movement</b>	
The cost of carrying items between two locations is proportional to the number of carried products.	Niakan et al. (2016), Bayram & Sahin (2016)
Both inter-cell and intra-cell material handling costs are linearly proportional to the distance between the locations of the machines.	Kia et al. (2014), Golmohammadi et al. (2014)
Parts move inside and outside the cells. Cost of cumulative inter-cell movement is more than that of intra-cell movement.	Dalfard (2013)
The predetermined places (candidate places) are adopted with considering movement inside the cells. Number of the places indicates the maximum number of permissible machinery inside that cell. Dimensions of the cells are not necessarily equal.	Dalfard (2013)
Parts are moved between and inside of cells in batches. The inter-cell and intra-cell material handling cost per batch between and inside of cells is known and constant (independent of quantity of cells)	Dalfard (2013), Golmohammadi et al. (2014)
Inter-cell and intra-cell handling costs are constant for all moves regardless of the distance traveled.	Asgharpour & Javadian (2004)
For each part type, the unit inter- and intra-cell material handling costs per unit distance as well as the unit production and outsourcing costs are known in advance.	Mohammadi & Forghani (2016)
Material handling devices moving the part between machines are assumed to carry only one part at a time	Allahyari & Azab (2015), Kia et al. (2014)
The distance between two machines is calculated through a rectilinear distance.	Kia et al. (2014), Golmohammadi et al. (2014)
There is no physical partitioning between cells and a location can be assigned to different cells in different periods.	Bayram & Sahin (2016)
<b>Assumptions related with operators</b>	
Trained homogeneous skilled workers have the same efficiency and multi- functional skills and are able to operate any processes or machines. They walk in a circle inside the U-line (also called the zone constraint – machines allocated to each worker must be adjacently located within a loop)	Sirovetnukul & Chutima (2010)
A worker is assigned to one station (or one loop) only	Sirovetnukul & Chutima (2010)
A task cannot be split between two or more workers	Sirovetnukul & Chutima

	(2010)
Each worker is able to produce any product in any cycle. Consequently, job sequence is regardless at any period	Sirovetnukul & Chutima (2010)
Learning effect has no consideration since it is assumed that worker performance runs into steady state already	Sirovetnukul & Chutima (2010)
The hiring cost of each operator type is known.	Sakhaii et al. (2016)
The required time for relocation and operator training is assumed to be zero.	Sakhaii et al. (2016)
If an operator is able to work with specific machine or is trained to work with it, this learning effect will be considered in succeeding periods.	Sakhaii et al. (2016)
The minimum and maximum numbers of workers, which can be placed in each cell, are predetermined.	Hamedi et al. (2012)
Only multi-skilled workers, who can handle more than one machine, are considered.	Hamedi et al. (2012)
Each worker has the different level of job skills (multi-level flexibility).	Hamedi et al. (2012)
Workers have a different level of proficiency at performing their assigned tasks (heterogeneous worker flexibility).	Hamedi et al. (2012)
The maximum number of virtual cells, which each worker can be assigned, is predefined.	Hamedi et al. (2012)
The total capacity of workforces can be changed by hiring and firing of workers.	Hamedi et al. (2012)
The cross-training, hiring, and firing costs can be different between workers.	Hamedi et al. (2012)
The cross-training cost will be equal to zero if the worker is currently capable of performing the function and a value of one if the worker is incapable of being cross-trained to perform the function.	Hamedi et al. (2012)
<b>Assumptions related with CMS design</b>	
The number of cells used must be specified in advance and it remains constant over time.	Golmohammadi et al. (2014), Asgharpour & Javadian (2004)
Machine relocation from one cell to another is performed between periods and it requires zero time.	Asgharpour & Javadian (2004)
The machine relocation cost of each machine type is known and it is independent of where machines are actually being relocation.	Dalfard (2013), Asgharpour & Javadian (2004)
The maximum number of cells and the minimum and the maximum number of machines in cells are assumed to be known in advance.	Niakan et al. (2016), Bayram & Sahin (2016)

Positions and shapes of the cells are not predetermined.	Bayram & Sahin (2016)
Splitting of lots is allowed. Namely, an operation of a product can be split between two machines of same or different types, in a given period.	Bayram & Sahin (2016)
Cell's dimensions and orientation are predetermined	Allahyari & Azab (2015)

<b>Input parameters</b>	<b>Authors</b>
<b>Part data</b>	
Production volume/demand quantity	Sakhaii et al. (2016), Mohammadi & Forghani (2016), Shafigh et al. (2015), Bayram & Sahin (2016), Mahdavi, Teymourian et al. (2013), Rafiee et al. (2011), Raminfar et al. (2013), Han, Wang & Lv (2014), Deep & Singh (2015), Dalfard (2013), Rafiei & Ghodsi (2013)
Lower and upper bound for number of parts in a cell	Mahdavi, Teymourian et al. (2013)
Transfer batch size/bundle size	Mahdavi, Teymourian et al. (2013), Dalfard (2013), Niakan et al. (2016), Rafiei & Ghodsi (2013)
Precedence constraints/operation sequence	Sirovetnukul & Chutima (2010)
Number of planning periods in the planning horizon	Sakhaii et al. (2016), Niakan et al. (2016), Rafiei & Ghodsi (2013), Shafigh et al. (2015)
Length of planning period	Shafigh et al. (2015)
Mean arrival rate of parts	Esmailnezhad, Fattahi & Kheirkhah (2015)
Number of parts/product types to be produced	Sakhaii et al. (2016), Niakan et al. (2016), Bayram & Sahin (2016)
Total available time for part types	Hamedi et al. (2012)
Processing time of part operation on specified machine	Sakhaii et al. (2016), Mohammadi & Forghani (2016), Rafiee et al. (2011), Raminfar et al. (2013), Han et al. (2014), Deep & Singh (2015), Dalfard (2013), Bayram & Sahin (2016), Niakan et al. (2016), Sirovetnukul & Chutima (2010)
Number of operations for a part	Bayram & Sahin (2016), Niakan et al. (2016), Sirovetnukul & Chutima (2010)
<b>Machine data</b>	
Lower and upper bound for number of machines in a cell	Mahdavi, Teymourian et al. (2013), Chang, Wu, T. & Wu, C. (2013), Rafiee et al. (2011), Hamedi et al. (2012), Raminfar et al. (2013), Deep & Singh (2015)
Machine capacity in time units	Mohammadi & Forghani (2016), Sakhaii et al. (2016), Rafiee et al. (2011), Raminfar et al. (2013), Deep & Singh (2015), Han et al. (2014), Dalfard (2013), Rafiei & Ghodsi (2013), Bayram & Sahin (2016), Niakan et al. (2016)
Number of machines	Murray et al. (2012), Han et al. (2014), Chang, Wu, T.



	& Wu, C. (2013)
Mean service rate of machines	Esmailnezhad et al. (2015)
Mean time between failures	Esmailnezhad et al. (2015)
Mean time between repairs	Esmailnezhad et al. (2015)
Breakdown rate of one type machine in processing a part type	Rafiei et al. (2011)
Number of machine types	Bayram & Sahin (2016), Niakan et al. (2016)
<b>Dimensional data</b>	
Width of machine	Mohammadi & Forghani (2016)
Length of machine	Mohammadi & Forghani (2016)
Aisle distance between machines in a same cell	Murray et al. (2012)
Aisle distance between cells	Murray et al. (2012), Mohammadi & Forghani (2016)
Horizontal and vertical dimensions of plant floor	Allahyari & Azab (2015)
Number of candidate locations to be a cell/in plant floor	Sakhaii et al. (2016), Bayram & Sahin (2016)
Travelling distances between cells	Mahdavi, Teymourian et al. (2013)
Distance between two candidate cell locations	Sakhaii et al. (2016), Bayram & Sahin (2016), Dalfard (2013)
Maximum number of machines permissible in a cell	Han et al. (2014), Bayram & Sahin (2016), Mohammadi & Forghani (2016)
Minimum number of machines allowable in a cell	Niakan et al. (2016), Bayram & Sahin (2016), Han et al. (2014)
Maximum number of cells	Niakan et al. (2016), Bayram & Sahin (2016), Rafiei & Ghodsi (2013)
<b>Operator related data</b>	
Number of available operators	Sakhaii et al. (2016)
Training cost for individual operators to operate with different individual machines	Sakhaii et al. (2016)
Hiring cost per operator	Sakhaii et al. (2016)
Maximum and minimum number of workers allowed per cell	Hamedi et al. (2012)
Available time for operators	Rafiei & Ghodsi (2013)
<b>Cost related data</b>	
Cost of backtracking and forward movement of unit	Mahdavi, Teymourian et al. (2013)

distance	
Incremental cost of subcontracting	Mohammadi & Forghani (2016)
Production cost of part using defined route	Mohammadi & Forghani (2016)
Intra-cell material handling cost for transporting specific part from specified machines to another	Mohammadi & Forghani (2016)
Inter-cell material handling cost for transporting specific part from specified machines to another	Mohammadi & Forghani (2016)
Unit cost of in-house production	Shafigh et al. (2015)
Unit cost of subcontracting	Rafiee et al. (2011), Deep & Singh (2015), Shafigh et al. (2015)
Unit inventory holding cost	Han et al. (2014), Sakhaii et al. (2016), Shafigh et al. (2015)
Unit backorder cost	Sakhaii et al. (2016), Shafigh et al. (2015)
Material handling cost per unit distance for one unit of product	Bayram & Sahin (2016), Shafigh et al. (2015)
Relocation cost per unit distance for a machine	Shafigh et al. (2015)
Setup cost for processing a sub-lot of product	Shafigh et al. (2015)
Inter-cell part trip unit cost per batch	Sakhaii et al. (2016), Rafiee et al. (2011), Raminfar et al. (2013), Han et al. (2014), Deep & Singh (2015), Rafiei & Ghodsi (2013)
Intra-cell part trip unit cost per batch	Sakhaii et al. (2016), Rafiee et al. (2011), Raminfar et al. (2013), Han et al. (2014), Deep & Singh (2015), Rafiei & Ghodsi (2013)
Replacement cost of one defective item	Rafiee et al. (2011)
Procurement cost of individual machine types	Rafiee et al. (2011), Bayram & Sahin (2016), Deep & Singh (2015)
Installation cost of one type machine	Rafiee et al. (2011), Bayram & Sahin (2016), Deep & Singh (2015)
Removing cost of one type machine	Rafiee et al. (2011), Bayram & Sahin (2016), Deep & Singh (2015)
Operational cost per hour of one type machine	Raminfar et al. (2013), Han et al. (2014), Deep & Singh (2015)
Cost per time unit of corrective repair	Rafiee et al. (2011)
Total cost of preventive	Rafiee et al. (2011)

maintenance for one type machine	
Hiring cost per worker	Hamed et al. (2012)
Firing cost per worker	Hamed et al. (2012)
Overhead cost per machine type in each period	Bayram & Sahin (2016), Deep & Singh (2015), Niakan et al. (2016)
Setup cost of route for part in period	Han et al. (2014)
Salary cost per worker	Niakan et al. (2016)

<b>Objective functions</b>	<b>Authors</b>
<b>Cost terms</b>	
Inter-cell and Intra-cell movement cost	Murray et al. (2012), Sakhaii et al. (2016), Rafiee et al. (2011), Kia et al. (2014), Golmohammadi et al. (2014), Shafigh et al. (2015), Deep & Singh (2015), Dalfard (2013), Mohammadi & Forghani (2016), Niakan et al. (2016), Rafiei & Ghodsi (2013), Han et al. (2014), Mahdavi, Teymourian et al. (2013), Allahyari & Azab (2015), Raminfar et al. (2013)
Production cost	Shafigh et al. (2015), Mohammadi & Forghani (2016)
Subcontracting cost	Shafigh et al. (2015), Mohammadi & Forghani (2016), Rafiee et al. (2011), Deep & Singh (2015)
Machine relocation cost	Mohammadi & Forghani (2016), Shafigh et al. (2015), Sakhaii et al. (2016), Bayram & Sahin (2016), Niakan et al. (2016), Kia et al. (2014), Golmohammadi et al. (2014), Dalfard (2013), Rafiei & Ghodsi (2013)
Inventory holding cost	Shafigh et al. (2015), Sakhaii et al. (2016), Rafiee et al. (2011), Han et al. (2014)
Machine setup cost	Shafigh et al. (2015), Rafiee et al. (2011)
Machine breakdown cost	Chung, Wu & Chang (2011), Sakhaii et al. (2016)
Operator hiring cost	Sakhaii et al. (2016), Hamed et al. (2012)
Operator training cost	Sakhaii et al. (2016), Hamed et al. (2012)
Machine procurement cost	Sakhaii et al. (2016), Rafiee et al. (2011), Dalfard (2013), Bayram & Sahin (2016), Kia et al. (2014), Deep & Singh (2015), Niakan et al. (2016), Rafiei & Ghodsi (2013)
Machine operational cost	Bayram & Sahin (2016), Kia et al. (2014), Sakhaii et al. (2016), Rafiee et al. (2011), Dalfard (2013), Deep & Singh (2015), Han et al. (2014)
Corrective repair cost	Rafiee et al. (2011), Sakhaii et al. (2016)
Preventive maintenance cost	Rafiee et al. (2011), Sakhaii et al. (2016)
Operator firing cost	Hamed et al. (2012)
Machine installation cost	Bayram & Sahin (2016)
Machine un-installation	Bayram & Sahin (2016)

cost	
Machine overhead cost	Bayram & Sahin (2016), Niakan et al. (2016)
Process routes setup cost	Han et al. (2014)
Salary cost	Niakan et al. (2016)
Revenue from machine selling	Niakan et al. (2016), Rafiei & Ghodsi (2013)
Overtime cost	Rafiei & Ghodsi (2013)
Cost of moving operators from one cell to another	Rafiei & Ghodsi (2013)
<b>Other</b>	
Minimize number of exceptional elements regarding the production volume of each part	Mahdavi, Teymourian et al. (2013)
Minimize area consumed by cells	Murray et al. (2012)
Minimize deviation of operation times of workers	Sirovetnukul & Chutima (2010)
Minimize walking time	Sirovetnukul & Chutima (2010)
Minimize total inter-cellular movement distance	Chang, Wu, T. & Wu, C. (2013)
Maximize average effective arrival rate	Esmailnezhad et al. (2015)
Minimize total number of voids and exceptional elements in part-machine-worker matrix	Mahdavi, Aalaei et al. (2012)

<b>Constraints</b>	<b>Authors</b>
Each operation of the part is assigned to one cell in each period	Nouri (2016), Deep & Singh (2015), Mohammadi & Forghani (2014), Egilmez, & Suer (2011), Deljoo et al. (2010)
Internal part operation processing is limited to available machine capacity	Nouri (2016), Deep & Singh (2015), Mohammadi & Forghani (2014), Dalfard (2013), Hamedi et al. (2012), Rezazadeh, Mahini, & Zarei (2011), Deljoo et al. (2010)
The required number of each machine type and worker type in each cell with machine or worker duplication is determined	Nouri (2016)
The cell size lies within the upper and lower limits in terms of the number of machines	Nouri (2016), Bayram & Sahin (2016), Khannan et al. (2016),

	Deep & Singh (2015), Mohammadi & Forghani (2014), Dalfard (2013), Mahdhavi, Aalaei et al. (2012), Deljoo et al. (2010)
Ensure that the number of machines/workers in the current period is equal to the number of machines/workers in the previous period, as well as the number of machines/workers transferred in, and minus the number of machines/workers transferred out.	Nouri (2016), Bayram & Sahin (2016), Khannan et al. (2016), Dalfard (2013), Deljoo et al. (2010)
Each part demand can be satisfied in time period through internal production or subcontracting part operation	Deep & Singh (2015), Rezazadeh et al. (2011)
All the consecutive operations of part type consist of equal production quantities, thus a part operation can be internally processed or subcontracted to satisfy the part demand.	Deep & Singh (2015)
Total number of machines of each type assigned to cells is less than or equal to the number of machines of the same type that are available	Khannan et al. (2016), Deep & Singh (2015), Dalfard (2013), Mahdhavi, Aalaei et al. (2012)
Assign each machine to one cell only to avoid machine sharing	Khannan et al. (2016), Bagheri & Bashiri (2014), Shiyas, & Pillai (2014), Dalfard (2013), Hamedi et al. (2012), Deljoo et al. (2010)
Allocates operations of parts to one of the machines capable to perform the operation	Dalfard (2013)
Each employee can be assigned to work at his/her real skill level or at any lower skill level in each shift	Shahnazari-Shahrezaei, Tavakkoli-Moghaddam, & Kazemipoor (2013)
Upper bound on the total number of daily hours worked by each employee	Shahnazari-Shahrezaei et al. (2013)
Lower and upper bound on the total number of hours worked by each employee during the planning period	Shahnazari-Shahrezaei et al. (2013)
Each employee cannot be assigned to work in two successive shifts	Shahnazari-Shahrezaei et al. (2013)
Each employee who is assigned to work in two non-successive shifts of a day should be off for the next day	Shahnazari-Shahrezaei et al. (2013)
Each employee can just be assigned to work at one skill level in each shift of any day	Shahnazari-Shahrezaei et al. (2013)
Employers tend to pay the minimum penalty for assigning the employees at lower skill levels than their real skill	Shahnazari-Shahrezaei et al. (2013)
Employees tend to work equal to working hours that they have specified at the beginning	Shahnazari-Shahrezaei et al. (2013)

of planning	
Ensures that only one routing is selected for each part type	Mohammadi & Forghani (2014)
Machines belonging to the same cell do not overlap in the x-axis and y-axis	Mohammadi & Forghani (2014)
Calculate the length and width of cell based on the dimensions of the machines assigned to it	Mohammadi & Forghani (2014)
Measure the width and length of each machine (in the x- and y-axis, respectively) based on its orientation	Mohammadi & Forghani (2014)
To balance the load among formed cells, the load of each cell should be higher than a percent of overall load of all cells	Hamedi et al. (2012), Rezazadeh et al. (2011)
Each worker will be assigned to only one Cell	Bagheri & Bashiri (2014), Mahdhavi, Aalaei et al. (2012)
Each part type is assigned to only one cell	Khannan et al. (2016), Mahdhavi, Aalaei et al. (2012)
If one part type is required to be processed by one machine type, more than one worker would be able to service this machine type	Mahdhavi, Aalaei et al. (2012)
Lower bound for the number of parts to be allocated to each cell	Mahdhavi, Aalaei et al. (2012)
Limit the minimum number of operators assigned to cell	Mahdhavi, Aalaei et al. (2012)
Ensures that the minimum numbers of operators are hired	Bagheri & Bashiri (2014)
That an operator can be assigned to a machine and a cell, respectively, if has been hired in that period	Bagheri & Bashiri (2014)
Minimum and maximum number of operators required by each machine is restricted	Egilmez et al. (2014), Bagheri & Bashiri (2014)
The maximum and Minimum number of machines that each operator can operate with is restricted	Bagheri & Bashiri (2014)
Trained operator in a period will not need to learn again to work with the same machine.	Bagheri & Bashiri (2014)
Each cell should be assigned to only one candidate location and a location can be opened only for one cell.	Bayram & Sahin (2016), Bagheri & Bashiri (2014)
Ensure that an operation of a given product type can only be processed in a given location if a machine, which is capable of this operation, is assigned to the location.	Bayram & Sahin (2016)
Total number of processed parts cannot exceed the demand for the product	Bayram & Sahin (2016)
Total processing time of the operations routed	Bayram & Sahin (2016), Khannan

to a machine cannot exceed its capacity, which is defined in terms of time	et al. (2016)
Ensure that the total number of incoming products from all other locations to a location for its next operation is equal to the number of products which receive their next operation in the given location	Bayram & Sahin (2016)
Assure that the number of operators assigned to each operation is large enough to achieve the maximum production rate with respect to the risk level	Egilmez et al. (2014)
Total number of product operations processed anywhere in the factory floor must be equal to the total product demand	Bayram & Sahin (2016)
Total number of operators assigned to all operations cannot exceed the total number of operators available for cells	Egilmez et al. (2014)
If a part has not been produced in a period, none of its operation should have been dedicated to a machine, and cell	
One operator is assigned to one machine only	Khannan et al. (2016)
Lower and upper bound for subcontracting quantity for each part in each period	Khannan et al. (2016)
Inventory and backorder level must be zero at the end of periods	Khannan et al. (2016)
Ensures that inventory and backorder cannot happen simultaneously	Khannan et al. (2016)
A task is processed on an equipment type at a workstation only if that equipment is assigned to that workstation	Jayaswal, & Agarwal (2014)

## APPENDIX B: Questionnaire QE 1

### Introduction

Questionnaire (QE 1) was distributed to obtain necessary data on research problem justification, selection of an appropriate layout type, and to select the product category for subsequent stages of the research. It was advised to answer the questions with respect to orders in quantity range of 50 to 4000 pieces per order.

### Questionnaire (QE 1)

**QE 1.1** Machine types used for operations in production department

Semi-automatic machines

Fully automatic machines

**QE 1.2** Rate the problems that are contributing to increased changeover time in production department. Rating scale: 5 – Highly frequent, 1 – Least frequent

Problems	Rating				
	5	3	4	2	1
Increased machine movement between different locations					
Increased machine setting and adjustment					
Increased operator training time					
Increased defect rate					
Raw material delays					
Poor coordination with supporting departments					
Insufficient number of operators					
Machine breakdowns					
Other					

**QE 1.3** Rate the factors to include in layout design for fast fashion orders.

Rating scale: 5 – Highly important, 1 – Least important

Factor	Rating				
	5	4	3	2	1
Reduce total machine setup time					
Minimize machine movements					
Reduce material handling complexity					
Reduce WIP					
Reduce material handling cost					
Increase the ease of supervision					
Other					



**QE 1.4** Select the product category/categories with highest demand for fast fashion orders.

Intimate apparels

Casual wear

Active wear

Outer wear

Sleep wear

Children's wear

Work wear

Other (Please specify):

## **APPENDIX C: Questionnaire QE 2**

### **Introduction**

Summary of the selected design attributes based on the perspective of Factory 1 are used in questionnaire (QE 2). QE 2 was developed to identify the design attributes to include in the developed production layout planning system for fast fashion apparels. It was advised to select the relevant options based on orders in quantity range of 50 to 4000 pieces per order. Furthermore, it was advised to mention if a particular option is not available in current situation and factories consider it as essential to include in developed system. If the factory does not currently use cellular layouts, it was advised to select the options based on existing layout.

The percentages of responses received for each option is indicated.

## Questionnaire (QE 2) and its results

**QE 2.1 Input data:** State the current availability and importance of considering following data in a layout design for fast fashion apparels

Input data	Percentage of responses			
	Currently available		Important	
	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
Length of planning horizon with confirmed order details	98.4	-	98.4	-
Planned number of pieces per day for each part type	99.5	-	99.5	-
Bundle size for part types	99.5	-	99.5	-
SMVs of each operation	99.5	-	99.5	-
Operation sequence	99.5	-	99.5	-
Average time taken to load and unload machines to/from the hand truck	78.1	-	98.3	-
Cost per standard minute value for part types	99.5	-	99.5	-
Production downtime data	84.6	-	99.5	-
Total working minutes per day	99.5	-	99.5	-
Total number of days available to produce each part type in production department	99.5	-	99.5	-
Expected daily production efficiency for each part type	99.5	-	99.5	-
Planned order schedule	99.5	-	99.5	-
Number of working days in considered planning horizon	99.5	-	99.5	-
Average number of turning motions (45 to 90 degrees) when moving materials between layouts				
Maximum number of layouts that can be simultaneously changed by mechanics				
Number of available machines in each machine type	99.5	-	99.5	-
Machine settings required for operations with respective times				
Required minimum distance between adjacent machine rows				
Coordinates of the machine set-up area				
Dimensions of the machines, input/output boxes, and usable area for layouts				
Other (Please mention any additional comments)				

**QE 2.2 Assumptions:** State the current applicability and importance of considering following assumptions in a layout design for fast fashion apparels

Assumption	Percentage of responses			
	Currently applicable		Important	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Adequate number of machines and operators are available for each planning period.	75.1	24.9	88.3	11.7
Multi-skilled pool of operators and mechanics is available to cover up absenteeism.	62.5	37.5	82.7	17.3
SMVs for each operation and each machine setup activity are defined.	95.9	4.1	98.2	1.8
Fixed machine set-up area is provided for machine set-up activities and operator training during changeovers, and to store additional machines.	96.7	3.3	98.2	1.8
Details of the upcoming orders are known in advance.	96.7	3.3	98.2	1.8
Simultaneous processing of multiple part types in a single layout is prohibited.	98.2	1.8	98.2	1.8
All the operators are in stand-up position.	43.4	56.6	79.1	20.9
Machine sharing between operators is not allowed.	88.8	11.2	88.8	11.2
Layout reconfiguration (if any) includes machine setup activities and machine relocations between and/or within the cells. In addition, machines are moved from/to machine set-up area to/from cells.	96.7	3.3	96.7	3.3
Physical partitioning of the cells is prohibited. Furthermore, layout reconfiguration does not require modifications to the buildings. Therefore, other than the machine relocation costs, any physical reconfiguration costs (i.e. changes in lighting and ventilation systems) are not allowed.	98.2	1.8	98.2	1.8
Adequate lighting and environmental conditions required for the operations are provided. Additional light bulbs are attached to the machines when necessary.	87.2	12.8	98.2	1.8
Multiple duplicate machines of each type are available. Existing machines are utilized when developing the layouts.	98.2	1.8	98.2	1.8
Machine working time is reduced due to production downtimes.	98.2	1.8	98.2	1.8
Bundle size for material handling between the machines and/or cells is defined.	71.2	28.8	83.4	16.6
Other (Please mention any additional comments)				

**QE 2.3 Cost terms:** State the current applicability and importance of considering following cost terms in a layout design for fast fashion apparels

Cost terms	Percentage of responses			
	Currently applicable		Important	
	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
Inter-cell machine relocation cost	50.3	-	82.1	-
Intra-cell machine relocation cost	85.5	14.5	96.4	-
Machine set-up cost	79.9	-	96.4	-
Inter-cell material handling cost	51.1	-	82.1	-
Intra-cell material handling cost	85.5	14.5	96.4	-
Cost of deviation between target cycle time per bundle and workstation cycle times	72.3	-	96.4	-
Cost of cycle time deviation between bottleneck workstation and other workstations	72.3	-	96.4	-
Other (Please mention any additional comments)				

**QE 2.4 Constraints:** State the current applicability and importance of considering following constraints in a layout design for fast fashion apparels.

Constraint	Percentage of responses			
	Currently applicable		Important	
	Yes	No	Yes	No
Maximum number of layouts that can be simultaneously changed is limited	98.4	-	98.4	-
Balance the workload between cells	70.2	29.8	81.1	-
Prevent utilizing of machine by exceeding its capacity	95.6	4.4	98.4	-
Use only the available machines on plant floor	82.6	17.4	98.4	-
Ensure non-overlapping of machines, layouts, and gangways	81.1	-	98.4	-
Prevent the developed cells from exceeding available floor dimensions	95.6	-	98.4	-
Improve area utilization	81.8	-	98.4	-
Limit the part processing capability of all machine types based on total machine capacity available for individual machine types	83.6	-	95.6	-
Ensure that the resultant daily efficiency is greater than or equal to planned daily efficiency for each part type assembled in each cell	84.3	-	98.4	-
Ensure that each workstation cycle time is less than or equal to the target cycle time of defined bundle size	88.8	-	98.4	-
Theoretical number of operator/machines should be greater than or equal to resultant number of operators in developed cells	80.1	-	98.4	-
Ensure only one part type is assembled at a time in each cell	79.2	-	98.4	-
Prevent the assignment of a single machine to more than one cell	81.6	-	88.8	-
Assign each operator to a single workstation only	78.5	-	98.4	-
Assign one machine only to a single location		-	98.4	-
Limit the maximum allowable number of operations per operator	92.6	-	98.4	-
Other (Please mention any additional comments)				

## APPENDIX D: Sample of the program code

Sample of the program code of the developed system is given.

MODEL:

SETS:

! Specify number jobs, families, machine types;

JOBS/1 .. 12/:JOBPRIORITY;

FAMILIES/1 .. 3/;

MTYPES/1 .. 5/:THETA,VMPLUS,VMMINUS;

CELLS/1 .. 3/:NOWORKERS;

!-----;

! required processing times;

JOBMTYP(JOBS,MTYPES):PROCTIME;

! required setup times;

FAMMTYPE(FAMILIES,MTYPES):MAJSET;

! job families;

JOBSFAM(JOBS,FAMILIES):SF;

!-----;

! decision variables;

JOBCELL(JOBS,CELLS):XIK;

MTYPECELL(MTYPES,CELLS):MK,NMK,TMK;

FAMCELLMTYPE(FAMILIES,CELLS,MTYPES):ZFKM;

JOBCELLMTYPE(JOBS,CELLS,MTYPES):YIKM;

ENDSETS

STIME>0;

!-----;

DATA:

! import the data from excel;

SF,MAJSET,THETA,PROCTIME,RL,L,MAXW,MINW,ALPHA,

JOBPRIORITY = @OLE('G:\all dirs\papers\wip\virtual  
cells\data13.xls');

! export the data back to excel;

```

@OLE('G:\all dirs\papers\wip\virtual cells\data13.xls')=
NOWORKERS,XIK,YIKM,ZFKM,MK,NMK,TMK,VMPLUS,VMMINUS,STIME;
ENDDATA
!-----;
[OBJECTIVE]MAX=
100*(@SUM(JOBS(I):@SUM(CELLS(K):@SUM(MTYPES(M):PROCTIME(I,M)
* XIK(I,K)))))- 10 * (@SUM(MTYPES(M):VMPLUS(M)))+
@SUM(MTYPES(M):VMMINUS(M));
!-----;
STIME =
(@SUM(JOBS(I):@SUM(CELLS(K):@SUM(MTYPES(M):PROCTIME(I,M)
* XIK(I,K)))));
!-----;
@FOR(JOBS(I):
[CO2] @SUM(CELLS(K):XIK(I,K)) <= 1);
@FOR(JOBS(I)|JOBPRIORITY(I)#EQ#1:
[CO3]@SUM(CELLS(K):XIK(I,K)) = 1);
[CO4]@SUM(CELLS(K):NOWORKERS(K)) <= L;
@FOR(MTYPES(M):
[CO5]@SUM(CELLS(K):NMK(M,K))<=THETA(M));
@FOR(MTYPES(M):@FOR(CELLS(K):
[CO6]MK(M,K)>= NMK(M,K));
@FOR(MTYPES(M):
[CO7]@SUM(CELLS(K):MK(M,K))<= THETA(M) +
VMPLUS(M) - VMMINUS(M));
@FOR(JOBS(I):@FOR(CELLS(K):@FOR(MTYPES(M):
[CO8] XIK(I,K)*PROCTIME(I,M)<= 10000 *
YIKM(I,K,M)));
@FOR(FAMILIES(F):@FOR(CELLS(K):@FOR(MTYPES(M):
[CO9] @SUM(JOBS(I)|SF(I,F)#EQ#1:YIKM(I,K,M))<=
10000 * ZFKM(F,K,M)));
@FOR(CELLS(K):

```



[C10] @SUM(JOBS(I):@SUM(MTYPES(M): PROCTIME(I,M) \*  
 YIKM(I,K,M))) +  
 @SUM(FAMILIES(F):@SUM(MTYPES(M): (ZFKM(F,K,M)+ ALPHA \*  
 (@SUM(JOBS(I)|SF(I,F)#EQ#1:YIKM(I,K,M))-  
 ZFKM(F,K,M)))\*MAJSET(F,M))<=NOWORKERS(K) \*RL);  
 @FOR(CELLS(K):@FOR(MTYPES(M):  
 [C11]@SUM(JOBS(I): PROCTIME(I,M) \* YIKM(I,K,M)) +  
 @SUM(FAMILIES(F): (ZFKM(F,K,M) + ALPHA \*  
 (@SUM(JOBS(I)|SF(I,F)#EQ#1:YIKM(I,K,M))-  
 ZFKM(F,K,M))) \*MAJSET(F,M))<=NMK(M,K) \* RL));  
 @FOR(CELLS(K):@FOR(MTYPES(M):  
 [C12]TMK(M,K)= @SUM(JOBS(I):PROCTIME(I,M) \* YIKM(I,K,M)) +  
 @SUM(FAMILIES(F): (ZFKM(F,K,M) + ALPHA \*  
 (@SUM(JOBS(I)|SF(I,F)#EQ#1:YIKM(I,K,M))-ZFKM(F,K,M)))  
 \*MAJSET(F,M))));  
 @FOR(CELLS(K):  
 [C13] NOWORKERS(K) <= MAXW);  
 @FOR(CELLS(K):  
 [C14] NOWORKERS(K) >= MINW);  
 @FOR(JOBCELL(I,K):  
 [C15]@BIN(XIK(I,K));  
 @FOR(JOBCELLMTYPE(I,K,M):  
 [C16]@BIN(YIKM(I,K,M));  
 @FOR(FAMCELLMTYPE(F,K,M):  
 [C17]@BIN(ZFKM(F,K,M));  
 @FOR(MTYPCELL(M,K):  
 [C18]@GIN(MK(M,K));  
 @FOR(MTYPES(M):  
 [C19]@GIN(VMPLUS(M));  
 @FOR(MTYPES(M):  
 [C20]@GIN(VMMINUS(M));  
 END

## APPENDIX E: MTM data tables

MTM codes and respective TMU values relevant for walking are given.

Source: Mital et al. (2017) and Karger and Bahya (1987)

<b>Motion</b>	<b>MTM code</b>	<b>Distance</b>	<b>TMU</b>
Side step			
Case 1: Complete when leading leg contacts the floor	SSC1	Less than or equal to 30 cm	17.0
		Each additional 1 cm	0.2
Case 2: Lagging leg must contact floor before next motion can be made	SSC2	Less than or equal to 30 cm	34.1
		Each additional 1 cm	0.4
Turn body: 45 to 90 degrees			
Case 1: Complete when leading leg contacts the floor	TBC1		18.6
Case 2: Lagging leg must contact floor before next motion can be made	TBC2		37.2
Walk: Obstructed	W-PO	Per pace or per feet	17.0
Sit	SIT		34.7
Standing from seated position	STD		43.4

## APPENDIX F: Input and output data of system evaluation

### Input data used for Factory 1

Production volume and expected daily efficiency in Factory 1

$t$	$\tau$		
	1	2	3
1	1001	1167	1334
2	948	1106	
3	1015	1184	1353
4	967	1128	1289
5	1046	1220	
6	844	985	1126
7	770	898	1027
8	841	981	1122
Efficiency ladder	30%	35%	40%

Machine types required for operations of part types in Factory 1

$n$	$t$							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	1	2	1	11	11	1	1	11
2	2	10	2	1	1	2	2	1
3	4	1	5	2	2	7	5	4
4	6	4	8	4	4	10	8	2
5	13	4	8	6	5	9	8	5
6	5	7	9	1	5	9	7	3
7	5	7	8	5	6	9	9	8
8	6	9	9	5	8	13	1	10
9	7	10	12	8	1	4	13	7
10	8	12	13	9	1	13	13	10
11	10	13	13	12	6	13	13	13
12	10	13		13	12			13
13	13			13	13			13
14	13				13			13

Machine settings required for operations of part types in Factory 1

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	6	6	1	11	11	2	5	11
2	4	2	9	4	7	6	1	6
3	9	2	3	7	5	9	5	2
4	2	4	9	2	2	4	2	5
5	13	2	2	7	6	2	1	2
6	4	3	2	7	2	2	9	5
7	1	9	3	5	8	5	3	1
8	9	6	5	7	3	9	1	4
9	9	6	12	9	5	2	13	4
10	7	12	13	3	7	13	13	4
11	8	13	13	12	9	13	13	13
12	4	13		13	12			5
13	13			13	13			13
14	13				13			13

SMVs of respective operations of part types in Factory 1

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	0.1582	0.3615	0.3284	0.4517	0.4602	0.3500	0.2749	0.2156
2	0.2340	0.2041	0.1282	0.3512	0.1149	0.4231	0.2780	0.1488
3	0.5666	0.4244	0.3924	0.1118	0.0131	0.1526	0.4572	0.5263
4	0.5559	0.3070	0.4419	0.3860	0.2507	0.2479	0.0225	0.0077
5	0.1962	0.0107	0.0481	0.3379	0.2459	0.3987	0.3682	0.4186
6	0.0504	0.3322	0.0396	0.0249	0.3984	0.1406	0.4549	0.2866
7	0.1752	0.1300	0.3077	0.3574	0.0914	0.3988	0.3217	0.1834
8	0.1297	0.3393	0.0823	0.3199	0.0399	0.1735	0.3802	0.0241
9	0.1545	0.2550	0.4607	0.2621	0.0865	0.2838	0.2796	0.5452
10	0.1363	0.1693	0.0241	0.0585	0.3420	0.2300	0.2095	0.0133
11	0.4398	0.2518	0.2447	0.2459	0.2528	0.2028	0.2437	0.2947
12	0.1038	0.1316		0.1734	0.2019			0.3999
13	0.1751			0.0185	0.2782			0.4663
14	0.1485				0.3085			0.3047

Machine setup times for respective settings on each machine type in Factory 1

<i>i</i>	<i>l</i>									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	1.17	1.07	1.08	3.05	1.73	2.10	0.72	1.98	1.87	
2	0.83	2.39	0.86	2.30	2.59	1.13	1.55	1.18	2.75	
3	1.05	3.02	0.94	2.94	0.95	1.54	1.76	1.21	2.20	
4	0.86	2.05	1.91	1.77	1.17	1.47	3.28	1.07	2.50	
5	1.03	1.88	1.28	0.05	1.35	2.32	1.69	0.51	1.04	
6	1.54	1.03	1.11	1.55	1.58	2.50	1.48	0.65	3.20	
7	1.45	2.00	1.05	0.42	2.69	1.28	3.12	2.06	3.27	
8	1.83	1.01	1.71	0.49	2.76	1.32	2.85	1.12	1.26	
9	0.04	2.16	1.73	1.50	1.26	2.30	0.70	0.81	1.80	
10	1.09	2.41	1.36	0.49	0.70	2.34	1.61	0.62	2.83	
11										0.68
12										0.68

Random numbers generated based on production downtimes in Factory 1

10.774	23.689	34.960	20.385	10.769	4.656	42.158	4.171	39.180
28.177	5.347	6.389	25.312	14.159	15.493	26.146	18.277	18.463
36.687	11.504	34.982	22.801	19.787	32.533	8.933	3.294	37.533
23.361	34.916	29.903	20.915	34.874	25.426	1.181	9.007	14.848
13.523	5.526	29.438	16.404	36.907	18.813	30.015	12.557	0.386
32.935	4.396	23.995	35.131	41.863	25.865	33.426	2.760	37.208
30.226	24.070	9.702	30.323	40.446	10.027	36.392	0.483	10.544
30.564	38.669	23.290	20.552	41.422	32.984	18.536	17.481	5.975
36.003	8.434	41.306	31.994	5.561	1.363	17.258	24.560	6.046
27.456	40.089	21.506	1.572	15.127	16.405	3.516	11.654	36.547
5.588	36.128	32.626	0.018	0.464	3.181	5.357	28.989	13.007
32.923	21.032	34.198	32.336	39.793	1.447	1.164	4.909	37.676
1.291	1.141	6.656	0.129	31.922	20.848	40.622	0.810	35.711
31.529	34.399	13.570	40.796	40.468	38.797	15.138	11.794	37.154
15.460	2.683	4.961	29.951	23.173	1.636	10.110	25.742	41.064
28.664	40.306	16.737	9.610	40.278	37.286	11.857	32.860	33.423
7.832	32.645	11.701	36.996	7.304	26.710	30.866	3.708	37.731
11.585	4.791	18.825	13.263	41.819	34.662	18.030	25.907	27.975
31.565	39.769	22.854	36.896	23.379	41.190	37.603	40.845	14.046

Number of available machines of each type in Factory 1

$i$	Total number of available machines
1	33
2	20
3	12
4	24
5	28
6	22
7	22
8	17
9	29
10	25
11	14
12	16
13	43

Order sequence in Factory 1: 1,2,3,4 to 5,6,7,8

Dimensional input data of Factory 1 (measured in meters)

<b>Input data</b>	<b>Factory 1</b>
$L_{PF}$	65.51
$W_{PF}$	48.62
$\max \{L_{m_{ij}}, L_{oC_k}\}$	1.25
$\max \{W_{m_{ij}}, W_{oC_k}\}$	1.06
$W_{\beta}$	1
$x_D$	95.3
$y_D$	90.35

Other input data used for Factory 1

<b>Input data</b>	<b>Factory 1</b>
$U_{m_{i,j}}$ (min)	5.21
$B_t$ (pieces)	5
$\gamma_t$ (\$)	3.2
$\xi$ (min)	960
$\psi$	2
$\lambda$	11

### Output data of Factory 1

Part family groups in Factory 1

$b$	$t$	$k$
1	1,8	1, 8
2	2,3,6,7	2,3, 6, 7
3	4,5	4,5

Number of machines of each machine type in the dynamic cells in Factory 1

$i$	$k$							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	1	1	2	4	4	1	2	1
2	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	2
3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
4	1	3	0	2	1	1	0	1
5	2	0	1	3	2	0	1	1
6	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	0
7	1	2	0	0	0	2	2	1
8	1	0	5	1	2	0	3	1
9	0	3	3	1	0	4	2	0
10	3	2	0	0	0	3	0	3
11	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
12	0	2	1	1	2	0	0	0
13	4	2	2	2	3	3	4	4

Operator assignment to operations in dynamic cells in Factory 1

$n$	$k$							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	1	1,2	1,2	1,2	1,2	1,2	1	1
2	2	3	3	3,4	3	3,4	2	2
3	3,4	4,5	4,5	5	3	5	3,4	3,4
4	5,6	6	6,7	5,6	4	6	4	4
5	7	7	8	7,8	5	7,8	5,6	5,6
6	7	7,8	8	8	6,7	9	7,8	7
7	8	9	9,10	9,10	7	10,11	9	8
8	9	10,11	10	10,11	8	12	10,11	8
9	10	12	11,12	12	8	13	12	9,10
10	11	13	13	12	8,9	14	13	10
11	12,13	14	14	13	10	15	14	11
12	14	15		14	11			12
13	14			14	12			13,14
14	15				13			15

Coordinates of dynamic cell locations in Factory 1

$k$	x	y
1	6.16	2.31
2	18.84	2.31
3	18.84	7.93
4	6.16	7.93
5	6.16	7.93
6	18.84	2.31
7	18.84	7.93
8	6.16	2.31

Machine type at each location in Factory 1

$g$	$k$							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	1	2	1	11	11	1	1	11
2	2	10	1	1	1	2	2	1
3	4	1	2	1	1	7	5	4
4	6	4	2	2	2	7	8	2
5	2	4	5	4	4	10	8	2
6	5	4	8	4	5	10	8	5
7	5	7	8	6	5	10	7	3
8	6	7	8	6	6	9	7	3
9	7	9	8	1	8	9	9	8
10	8	9	9	1	8	9	9	10
11	10	9	8	5	1	9	1	10
12	10	10	9	5	1	13	13	7
13	10	12	9	5	6	4	13	10
14	13	12	12	8	12	13	13	13
15	13	13	13	9	12	13	13	13
16	13	13	13	12	13			13
17	13			13	13			13
18				13	13			



x-coordinate values for machine locations in dynamic cells in Factory 1

<i>g</i>	<i>k</i>							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	1.88	15.19	15.19	1.88	1.88	15.19	15.19	1.88
2	3.13	16.44	16.44	3.13	3.13	16.44	16.44	3.13
3	4.38	17.69	17.69	4.38	4.38	17.69	17.69	4.38
4	5.63	18.94	18.94	5.63	5.63	18.94	18.94	5.63
5	6.88	20.19	20.19	6.88	6.88	20.19	20.19	6.88
6	8.13	21.44	21.44	8.13	8.13	21.44	21.44	8.13
7	9.38	22.69	22.69	9.38	9.38	22.69	22.69	9.38
8	10.63	23.84	23.84	10.63	10.63	23.84	23.84	10.63
9	11.78	23.84	23.84	11.78	11.78	23.84	23.84	11.78
10	11.78	22.69	22.69	11.78	11.78	22.69	22.69	11.78
11	10.63	21.44	21.44	10.63	10.63	21.44	21.44	10.63
12	9.38	20.19	20.19	9.38	9.38	20.19	20.19	9.38
13	8.13	18.94	18.94	8.13	8.13	18.94	18.94	8.13
14	6.88	17.69	17.69	6.88	6.88	17.69	17.69	6.88
15	5.63	16.44	16.44	5.63	5.63	16.44	16.44	5.63
16	4.38	15.19	15.19	4.38	4.38			4.38
17	3.13			3.13	3.13			3.13
18				1.88	1.88			

y-coordinate values for machine locations in dynamic cells in Factory 1

<i>g</i>	<i>k</i>							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1	0.53	0.53	6.15	6.15	6.15	0.53	6.15	0.53
2	0.53	0.53	6.15	6.15	6.15	0.53	6.15	0.53
3	0.53	0.53	6.15	6.15	6.15	0.53	6.15	0.53
4	0.53	0.53	6.15	6.15	6.15	0.53	6.15	0.53
5	0.53	0.53	6.15	6.15	6.15	0.53	6.15	0.53
6	0.53	0.53	6.15	6.15	6.15	0.53	6.15	0.53
7	0.53	0.53	6.15	6.15	6.15	0.53	6.15	0.53
8	0.53	1.69	7.31	6.15	6.15	1.69	7.31	0.53
9	1.69	2.94	8.56	7.31	7.31	2.94	8.56	1.69
10	2.94	4.09	9.71	8.56	8.56	4.09	9.71	2.94
11	4.09	4.09	9.71	9.71	9.71	4.09	9.71	4.09
12	4.09	4.09	9.71	9.71	9.71	4.09	9.71	4.09
13	4.09	4.09	9.71	9.71	9.71	4.09	9.71	4.09
14	4.09	4.09	9.71	9.71	9.71	4.09	9.71	4.09
15	4.09	4.09	9.71	9.71	9.71	4.09	9.71	4.09
16	4.09	4.09	9.71	9.71	9.71			4.09
17	4.09			9.71	9.71			4.09
18				9.71	9.71			

## APPENDIX G: Input data of system validation

Input data used for the system validation for Factory 2 to 6 are given.

### Input data used for Factory 2

Production volume and expected daily efficiency in Factory 2

$t$	$\tau$					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	797	929	929	929		
2	874	1092				
3	735	857				
4	735	857	980			
5	407	475	543	611		
6	570	665	760			
7	500	584	667			
8	687	687	801	916	687	801
9	767	895	895			
10	530	530				
11	742	742	865	989		
12	777	933	933	1088		
Efficiency ladder	25%	30%	30%	35%	40%	40%

Machine types required for operations of part types in Factory 2

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	1	1	12	12	1	14	14	1	12	14	1	1
2	1	1	1	1	7	2	2	1	1	14	1	1
3	3	3	14	1	2	1	2	3	1	2	9	3
4	2	1	2	1	2	9	1	7	1	2	1	7
5	4	2	2	6	9	2	9	2	6	3	9	2
6	5	4	1	3	3	14	2	1	3	3	10	1
7	11	11	3	2	7	8	14	3	2	9	2	3
8	3	11	2	1	2	1	8	9	1	9	11	9
9	13	9	1	6	3	1	1	3	6	1	3	3
10	14	14	6	3	7	9	1	6	3	1	9	11
11	14	14	5	2	2	3	9	11	2	9	14	3
12		14	14	11	14	9	3	3	11	14	14	13
13			11	14	14	11	9	13	14	9		13
14			14	14	3	11	11	13	14	9		14
15			14		3	9	11	14		2		14
16			14		3	13	9	14		9		
17					11	14	13			9		
18					9	14	14			2		
19					3		14			3		
20					14					3		
21					14					9		
22										11		
23										9		
24										14		
25										14		

Machine settings required for operations of part types in Factory 2

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	4	6	12	12	2	14	14	3	12	14	7	7
2	1	7	5	6	3	4	5	6	3	14	1	2
3	1	2	14	7	1	7	4	2	8	7	5	3
4	7	6	3	2	5	8	4	3	3	2	5	1
5	5	4	3	1	5	4	2	5	6	5	4	5
6	1	9	1	12	5	14	6	8	6	1	1	6
7	2	3	2	4	2	1	14	8	3	1	1	7
8	6	1	6	5	1	7	4	5	1	2	1	8
9	12	8	2	3	1	1	2	1	1	1	3	11
10	14	14	5	2	3	4	5	3	2	1	4	8
11	14	14	12	8	7	2	5	5	6	2	14	9
12		14	14	11	14	1	3	1	1	14	14	12
13			11	14	14	2	6	13	14	3		12
14			14	14	4	2	2	13	14	3		14
15			14		1	2	3	14		2		14
16			14		1	12	10	14		4		
17					1	14	12			4		
18					5	14	14			7		
19					12		14			1		
20					14					1		
21					14					2		
22										2		
23										3		
24										14		
25										14		

SMVs of respective operations of part types in Factory 2

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	0.0696	0.2703	0.2400	0.2420	0.0673	0.2182	0.2182	0.0900	0.2420	0.2239	0.4719	0.0900
2	0.2425	0.7094	0.0673	0.0673	0.7328	0.1899	0.2734	0.2800	0.0673	0.2239	0.5071	0.2800
3	0.1904	0.2205	0.1210	0.2278	0.2428	0.2354	0.2030	0.2360	0.1527	0.1983	0.6563	0.2360
4	0.4080	0.2815	0.2847	0.5330	0.3560	0.3151	0.2354	0.4390	0.5330	0.1983	0.1545	0.4390
5	0.4925	0.2073	0.2329	0.6486	0.4232	0.1955	0.3151	0.3700	0.6947	0.1936	0.2194	0.4060
6	0.9930	0.1597	0.2840	0.1511	0.6405	0.2244	0.1966	0.3150	0.1511	0.1936	0.4039	0.3150
7	0.2145	0.2810	0.1973	0.1784	0.3625	0.7359	0.2244	0.1780	0.1674	0.4935	0.3919	0.1780
8	0.3492	0.1576	0.3962	0.2957	0.2370	0.4705	0.7359	0.3930	0.2957	0.5033	0.5174	0.3930
9	0.2014	0.1035	0.4377	0.3760	0.4017	0.3100	0.4602	0.2420	0.3760	0.1368	0.3492	0.2420
10	0.4046	0.4046	0.4159	0.2627	0.6457	0.2851	0.3100	0.4470	0.2627	0.3125	0.2310	0.2460
11	0.4095	0.3663	0.7700	0.1613	0.2044	0.2309	0.2851	0.2460	0.1502	0.3233	0.4046	0.1140
12		0.0529	0.1722	0.4167	0.0367	0.2310	0.2309	0.1140	0.4167	0.1156	0.4181	0.2640
13			0.2407	0.4046	0.1009	0.5209	0.2310	0.2640	0.4046	0.3846		0.2370
14			0.1620	0.2220	0.1775	0.1381	0.5209	0.2370	0.2220	0.3714		0.2100
15			0.4046		0.1695	0.1801	0.1381	0.4100		0.1835		0.2501
16			0.2220		0.3028	0.1907	0.1801	0.3500		0.3332		
17					0.2892	0.4046	0.1907			0.3085		
18					0.3837	0.3672	0.4046			0.1841		
19					0.2140		0.3672			0.2548		
20					0.4046					0.2309		
21					0.2220					0.2310		
22										0.4068		
23										0.1385		
24										0.4046		

25										0.1922		
----	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--------	--	--

Machine setup times for respective settings on each machine type in Factory 2

<i>i</i>	<i>l</i>											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	5.48	1.23	0.89	1.34	0.94	0.85	0.85					
2	6.16	1.74	1.01	1.34	0.94	0.85	0.85					
3	0.81	0.47	1.50	0.98	0.88	0.64		0.74	0.51	1.11	0.42	
4	5.46	1.42	1.02	1.21	0.82	0.65	1.98	0.98	1.78	1.45	0.42	
5	5.46	1.4	1.51	1.10	0.84	0.65	1.98	0.98	1.78	1.45	0.42	
6	5.46	1.38	1.32	0.97	0.86	0.65	1.98	0.98	1.78	1.45	0.42	
7	5.46	1.43	1.22	1.12	2.31	0.65	1.98	0.98	1.78	1.45	0.42	
8	0.81	0.47	1.08	1.02	0.88	0.64		0.88	0.51	1.21	0.42	
9	0.81	0.47	1.23	0.74	0.88	0.64		0.88	0.51	1.21	0.42	
10	9.12	1.88	1.05	1.00		0.58	2.31	1.06	2.73	4.32		
11	0.89	1.02	1.00	1.05							0.42	
12												0.82
13												0.56

Random numbers generated based on production downtimes in Factory 2

8.668	3.826	29.438	38.499	24.950	28.825	3.403	4.100	12.924
10.993	31.108	21.072	35.046	19.806	27.129	36.047	17.570	11.282
41.428	5.064	35.320	23.922	33.214	26.622	30.605	30.840	22.007
9.555	13.507	27.060	12.809	35.849	6.045	39.982	38.554	39.390
18.840	34.271	38.861	27.166	1.593	15.137	16.983	28.755	34.703
37.357	13.945	14.133	12.874	41.271	22.605	29.718	25.118	18.651
29.607	35.836	1.694	12.965	19.309	18.816	37.177	32.055	31.058
37.388	10.237	10.425	39.843	40.537	36.673	11.221	34.307	0.886
13.228	24.108	23.786	6.545	37.583	32.417	1.771	9.451	28.665
8.759	34.154	17.920	40.584	1.718	26.263	13.689	41.497	34.500
15.691	40.556	19.898	4.057	39.232	5.749	1.083	17.488	39.963
6.723	20.440	23.344	14.670	10.225	24.545	1.726	37.003	11.115
36.256	2.495	22.627	28.941	41.231	34.558	3.835	42.269	41.714
17.987	28.456	40.457	17.668	3.037	20.060	0.913	36.591	6.602
26.314	16.423	34.217	23.505	0.975	14.379	35.213	18.992	15.817
18.551	30.377	40.139	41.146	7.289	41.511	22.048	31.284	3.304
17.714	23.141	33.377	24.119	6.848	36.371	14.510	26.861	16.905
9.365	14.879	28.269	38.741	34.943	38.189	10.254	3.108	3.923
25.654	37.763	1.240	3.376	25.885	20.066	40.495	5.659	31.148

Number of available machines of each type in Factory 2

<i>i</i>	Total number of available machines
1	46
2	40
3	40
4	18
5	27
6	23
7	21
8	23
9	39
10	19
11	36
12	18
13	23
14	46



### Input data used for Factory 3

Production volume and expected daily efficiency in Factory 3

$t$	$\tau$					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	455	546	546	637	728	
2	523	627	627	732	836	
3	473	567	567	662		
4	597	716	716			
5	734	880	880	1027		
6	710	852	852	994		
7	571	685	685	799	914	
8	412	494	494	577	659	742
9	527	632	632	737	843	
10	637	764	764	891		
11	1275	1529				
12	373	447	447	522		
13	529	635	635	740		
14	375	450	450	525		
15	418	502	502	586	669	
16	604	724	724	845	966	
17	589	706	706	824	942	
18	620	744	744	868	992	
19	910	1091	1091			
20	779	935	935	1091		
21	546	656	656	765	874	
22	396	475	475	554	634	713
23	468	561	561	655	748	842
Efficiency ladder	25%	30%	30%	35%	40%	45%

Machine types required for operations of part types in Factory 3

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>																						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
1	13	7	1	13	11	1	15	8	13	15	13	3	13	2	13	9	1	3	13	11	3	11	14
2	2	3	14	15	14	8	6	15	6	10	4	4	3	12	2	15	1	3	15	15	1	13	15
3	5	3	15	4	3	3	4	7	3	6	15	14	9	4	7	14	5	5	2	1	4	7	12
4	6	13	3	1	9	10	10	13	15	3	5	10	2	3	4	9	9	9	7	7	13	3	10
5	9	9	1	8	13	4	8	3	9	11	2	12	8	13	4	8	9	14	10	3	7	8	7
6	10	4	6	9	15	5	3	3	11	10	10	12	9	1	15	1	3	4	15	6	12	12	4
7	4	14	8	7	3	9	15	9	11	15	11	1	4	15	1	7	7	4	5	6	6	11	13
8	6	13	13	5	4	5	5	8	7	14	15	13	6	14	10	2	4	11	12	3	6	7	1
9	11	13	3	10	15	1	9	14	5	1	15	9	15	9	10	6	9	8	11	2	1	14	9
10	12	15	9	11	15	1	3	15	12	7		10	10	4	11	13	9	2	11	15	14	10	3
11	12	10	1	15		9	11	3	12	15		9	12	6	11	15	10	15	6	15	10	10	9
12	15	7	11	15		10	14	12	9	15		9	8	1	8	15	14	7	14		8	6	9
13	3	15	11			14	15	4	11			11	14	9	8		15	3	15		12	15	15
14	2	15	4			15	15	5	11			14	15	8	9		15	14	15		12	15	9
15	3		3			15		2	12			9	15	12	10			15			15		15
16	9		8					3	14			11		14	14			15			15		14
17	15		11					6	15			9		14	15								5
18	15		12					15	15			13		9	15								1
19			15					15				15		15									15
20			15									15		15									15

Machine settings required for operations of part types in Factory 3

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>																						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
1	13	8	10	13	11	9	15	5	13	15	11	3	13	2	13	9	8	8	13	11	3	11	11
2	5	1	11	15	11	3	7	15	8	10	2	3	7	1	8	15	1	4	15	15	5	11	15
3	9	3	15	8	4	8	4	6	6	9	15	11	5	5	8	11	9	7	8	9	1	6	6
4	6	11	1	9	6	3	2	11	15	3	4	10	7	4	7	9	3	2	4	10	11	6	10
5	6	2	7	8	11	6	3	2	8	11	2	12	1	11	4	8	7	14	4	1	7	10	7
6	5	5	2	6	15	7	4	6	8	3	10	3	6	8	15	1	1	1	15	6	12	2	4
7	7	11	8	8	1	6	15	9	5	15	11	1	3	15	3	7	7	7	1	4	2	11	11
8	1	11	11	7	4	4	5	8	5	11	15	11	1	11	6	2	1	5	7	2	9	10	5
9	3	11	4	2	15	4	2	11	7	4	15	9	15	9	5	6	2	3	3	2	1	11	9
10	5	15	1	3	15	2	4	15	1	10		10	2	5	1	11	7	2	6	15	11	10	3
11	9	4	1	15		9	4	3	6	15		9	8	2	8	15	8	15	2	15	5	10	10
12	15	8	4	15		6	14	12	9	15		9	5	9	6	15	14	5	14		9	4	9
13	7	15	11			14	15	4	5			11	14	9	5		15	4	15		4	15	15
14	9	15	4			15	15	5	8			11	15	8	8		15	14	15		6	15	9
15	3		1			15		6	9			9	15	12	6			15			15		15
16	4		5					3	14			11		11	14			15			15		11
17	15		11					5	15			9		11	15								5
18	15		12					15	15			11		9	15								6
19			15					15				15		15									15
20			15									15		15									15

SMVs of respective operations of part types in Factory 3

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	0.1800	0.4981	0.1253	0.1443	0.4217	0.0228	0.6617	0.1918	0.1992	0.5728	0.4874	0.4544
2	0.5096	0.2339	0.1612	0.1746	0.4533	0.4932	0.3722	0.5254	0.1305	0.5984	0.0030	0.1535
3	0.4855	0.3466	0.4482	0.5998	0.3298	0.1821	0.1917	0.2952	0.3957	0.3982	0.3508	0.1861
4	0.3407	0.0052	0.2474	0.2437	0.4493	0.0314	0.4698	0.5369	0.6004	0.5768	0.4589	0.6828
5	0.1360	0.6328	0.3212	0.6601	0.4704	0.4695	0.0191	0.1668	0.3042	0.4557	0.3545	0.3116
6	0.4638	0.3730	0.0077	0.5535	0.4348	0.6634	0.4898	0.5432	0.5710	0.3986	0.2135	0.1063
7	0.6607	0.5625	0.4460	0.5545	0.3169	0.1670	0.3005	0.3576	0.4936	0.4837	0.1094	0.3230
8	0.4756	0.4261	0.3481	0.2688	0.0355	0.0364	0.1205	0.3225	0.1132	0.0285	0.2750	0.0628
9	0.2408	0.2303	0.5112	0.0368	0.5389	0.5051	0.2648	0.4632	0.3741	0.4025	0.0073	0.6128
10	0.2400	0.1503	0.0543	0.6157	0.4758	0.1493	0.6251	0.2941	0.0830	0.1144		0.3202
11	0.2042	0.6424	0.4549	0.6534		0.1722	0.5375	0.0519	0.0113	0.2628		0.0928
12	0.2484	0.2249	0.1791	0.3194		0.6190	0.3580	0.3640	0.1169	0.2308		0.1376
13	0.2387	0.5725	0.0519			0.2620	0.5129	0.2829	0.2482			0.3338
14	0.5485	0.6094	0.5445			0.2380	0.1202	0.4777	0.3625			0.4105
15	0.3185		0.2173			0.0429		0.4286	0.6647			0.6613
16	0.2220		0.6596					0.6184	0.1722			0.7450
17	0.4420		0.5595					0.4599	0.3549			0.6255
18	0.3755		0.1126					0.3709	0.2712			0.6768
19			0.0983					0.2410				0.1235
20			0.5476									0.7058

SMVs of respective operations of part types in Factory 3 continued

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>										
	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
1											
2	0.4816	0.0850	0.6217	0.3278	0.4788	0.2102	0.2063	0.1254	0.1923	0.4734	0.3542
3	0.2703	0.1506	0.0612	0.6609	0.4177	0.6497	0.6013	0.3050	0.2404	0.2387	0.0566
4	0.3707	0.5350	0.6087	0.3516	0.2194	0.1479	0.1213	0.0693	0.1745	0.1568	0.5522
5	0.7260	0.6595	0.6237	0.4665	0.5730	0.7222	0.4782	0.1624	0.0114	0.7026	0.0372
6	0.1718	0.7406	0.0895	0.5969	0.0367	0.4194	0.0370	0.7083	0.2098	0.0892	0.2126
7	0.6394	0.2882	0.5127	0.2637	0.2319	0.5567	0.1233	0.3754	0.1532	0.4805	0.6076
8	0.6577	0.2608	0.7086	0.2208	0.3368	0.5900	0.0695	0.3366	0.0470	0.5556	0.5017
9	0.4037	0.0183	0.4843	0.6150	0.1121	0.4891	0.1286	0.1514	0.6105	0.3465	0.1598
10	0.4451	0.5851	0.0667	0.3366	0.2434	0.4994	0.5003	0.1492	0.1660	0.6012	0.1845
11	0.2025	0.4858	0.1870	0.2498	0.3547	0.1576	0.3884	0.6114	0.1301	0.4260	0.6868
12	0.2340	0.2513	0.1672	0.2496	0.1367	0.0058	0.7038	0.1721	0.2916	0.4272	0.4590
13	0.3870	0.6731	0.1066	0.4340	0.6483	0.0682	0.3478		0.0885	0.3572	0.1677
14	0.3016	0.4312	0.2637		0.6229	0.1593	0.6838		0.4803	0.3869	0.5728
15	0.0989	0.6355	0.0848		0.4809	0.3249	0.2582		0.6359	0.0315	0.4275
16	0.0564	0.7239	0.4044			0.6316			0.2145		0.5727
17		0.2123	0.5148			0.5282			0.0503		0.4700
18		0.5295	0.7459								0.1478
19		0.1545	0.6336								0.5907
20		0.0121									0.0076
21		0.2518									0.5022

Machine setup times for respective settings on each machine type in Factory 3

<i>i</i>	<i>l</i>										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	0.92	1.58	0.75	1.44	0.65	0.93	1.77	1.03	1.65	1.22	
2	0.84	1.01	0.85	2.86	0.93	0.85	5.34				
3	6.18	0.98	0.85	1.02		0.59	9.02				
4	5.46	1.33	0.59	1.08	0.99	1.40		0.43	0.90	0.98	
5	1.36	0.81	0.53	1.03	1.02	1.33		3.37	0.53	1.59	
6	0.72	0.95	0.48	1.02	1.01	0.92	0.98	1.78	0.48	1.48	
7	8.79	1.12	0.97		0.87	0.84	0.98	1.78	1.04	1.64	
8	1.40	0.76	0.88		0.98	1.02	0.98	1.78	0.65	1.66	
9	1.33	1.19	0.78	1.15	0.78	1.08	0.88	0.51	0.93	1.56	
10	0.83	1.13	1.03	1.03	0.59		0.88	0.51	1.21	1.32	
11	1.16	1.04	0.74	1.26	0.85		1.01	1.33	0.34	1.90	
12	0.41	0.93	0.85	1.03	0.98	1.04	0.93	1.03	1.02	1.85	
13	1.61	1.47	0.85	0.99	0.88	0.93		4.83	1.03	1.60	
14											0.63

Random numbers generated based on production downtimes in Factory 3

29.148	16.813	19.512	31.478	12.403	6.946	5.994	11.276	23.375
25.097	25.062	19.627	33.914	29.368	29.054	3.552	19.861	3.139
32.247	8.676	23.288	22.303	3.865	3.955	26.310	12.805	21.771
31.189	7.607	23.109	10.201	18.451	14.864	6.585	5.061	20.609
14.789	0.269	21.661	3.348	32.821	17.762	16.090	33.613	33.789
13.080	19.104	5.824	24.996	15.430	9.233	15.915	29.305	18.480
33.355	3.134	12.883	12.950	2.987	33.588	29.890	18.133	9.486
7.818	17.916	14.563	2.585	1.035	33.650	16.611	13.810	31.938
11.389	21.645	15.886	23.484	33.714	18.604	33.153	24.909	15.599
8.872	12.880	20.692	17.424	10.586	29.083	30.098	19.158	10.677
14.382	18.313	4.172	4.574	31.337	15.015	4.176	5.734	17.652
8.124	20.797	14.597	5.720	15.528	0.631	33.420	16.453	15.561
3.417	13.590	9.448	10.268	0.912	17.006	19.111	9.077	26.805
3.356	25.893	14.418	5.016	15.094	25.469	21.346	19.024	15.903
32.991	25.875	7.093	22.650	17.806	20.008	9.361	27.006	25.565
31.736	2.719	7.453	27.479	26.521	4.442	2.981	4.992	5.083
20.692	20.705	29.867	10.280	2.906	5.103	16.534	32.052	4.221
26.430	1.734	10.316	25.828	2.602	24.042	21.316	28.295	8.056
21.086	21.890	13.108	15.149	11.318	10.403	26.145	20.932	22.538
0.135	15.301	13.103	16.499	9.553	33.607	19.827	31.757	29.941
0.157	8.673	2.324	33.938	32.869	1.898	13.551	22.397	5.002
3.734	11.172	4.866	21.143	6.564	7.195	9.173	1.807	7.423
16.892	25.928	23.065	0.312	11.860	26.121	9.118	28.016	11.705
19.196	18.823	31.392	32.086	1.064	6.100	20.256	25.194	17.235
32.290	9.870	21.233	22.543	9.479	27.519	8.103	19.409	25.493

Number of available machines of each type in Factory 3

<i>i</i>	Total number of available machines
1	12
2	13
3	26
4	25
5	17
6	27
7	20
8	19
9	36
10	19
11	27
12	17
13	25
14	21
15	59

### Input data used for Factory 4

Production volume and expected daily efficiency in Factory 4

$t$	$\tau$			
	1	2	3	4
1	435	521	521	608
2	500	600	600	700
3	543	651	651	760
4	583	699	699	816
5	897	1077		
6	434	521	521	608
7	721	865		
8	696	835	835	
9	711	853	853	
10	501	601	601	
11	598	718	718	
12	596	716	716	835
13	639	767	767	
14	581	697	697	813
15	826	991	991	1156
16	674	809	809	944
17	866	1039	1039	
18	1209	1451		
19	762	915		
20	662	794	794	926
21	1070	1284	1284	
22	869	1043	1043	
23	1003	1204	1204	
24	732	878	878	1024
25	786	944	944	1101
26	804	965	965	1125
27	895	1074	1074	
28	1034	1240	1240	
Efficiency ladder	25%	30%	30%	35%



Machine types required for operations of part types in Factory 4

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>																											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
1	11	12	3	10	2	3	12	1	8	2	6	8	1	7	8	4	7	11	9	9	6	11	4	11	6	6	11	3
2	5	7	4	4	4	10	6	12	2	11	7	11	8	1	7	6	9	3	2	12	12	1	2	6	2	5	1	9
3	4	5	6	3	2	10	1	10	6	7	11	6	4	8	5	10	9	1	8	10	7	7	8	12	8	4	8	12
4	5	2	10	1	9	3	1	9	1	8	3	1	10	2	2	6	8	1	7	10	6	9	1	3	10	10	5	7
5	6	6	11	11	11	12	8	6	12	2	1	9	2	5	12	1	2	5	9	3	2	1	5	9	1	2	12	4
6	8	6	2	4	3	11	3	11	3	9	12	9	8	9	11	6	11	2	11	7	10	3	11	5	2	9	8	8
7	2	9	2	7	4	7	11	8	12	5	5	11	6	12	8	5	6	1	5	11	12	6	1	11	9	12	3	10
8	5	7	9	12	12	12	12	7	8	7	3	5	12	8	4	4	11	8	11	8	12	10	5	1	10	5	1	10
9	3	7	7	5	5	9	12	4	12	12	2	6	12	12	7	12	12	4	1	10	2	9	3	8	11	3	8	2
10	8	2	12	12	12	10		9	12	12	12	3	12	12	3	7	3	10	11	7	7	1	12	8	7	6	9	12
11	6	6	12	5	12	10		12			12	1		12	11	11	12	9	12	7	12	9	9	2	12	3	12	12
12	12	11		12		11		12			12	12			12	10	12	6	12	3	12	11	1	10	12	12	12	12
13	12	9		12		2						12			12	6		12		12		12	12	12		12		
14		12				12										12		12		12		12	12	12				
15		12				12										12				12								

Machine settings required for operations of part types in Factory 4

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>																												
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	
1	10	12	4	10	4	3	12	8	8	2	1	8	1	6	11	3	10	7	3	3	7	3	4	4	4	6	7	10	
2	5	1	4	1	1	10	3	12	1	10	1	10	5	5	11	5	11	8	5	12	7	12	2	2	6	5	2	8	
3	1	2	1	4	4	10	1	10	3	2	10	6	6	7	11	2	5	6	9	6	2	10	2	10	10	10	4	7	
4	5	2	10	1	9	3	1	1	3	8	3	6	10	7	10	6	7	12	5	9	3	6	7	5	7	10	6	8	
5	1	2	10	10	10	12	8	6	12	2	9	2	5	5	8	9	10	12	10	9	4	6	10	9	7	9	12	10	
6	8	4	3	1	3	10	1	10	1	8	12	2	8	7	5	3	5	6	11	12	4	2	5	7	11	7	8	5	
7	4	7	2	2	6	6	10	9	12	4	3	10	1	12	5	11	7	3	7	4	12	5	7	11	11	4	2	10	
8	3	3	7	12	12	12	12	5	9	3	1	2	12	8	7	2	4	6	1	12	3	12	3	9	1	8	8	4	
9	3	8	3	2	1	5	12	4	12	12	3	3	12	12	1	6	10	8	11	6	9	12	1	11	1	4	7	3	
10	8	2	12	12	12	10		4	12	12	12	2	12	12	12	7	8	4	3	12	11	7	2	9	3	9	10	11	
11	2	4	12	6	12	10		12			12	6		12	1	5	6	5	5	7	1	2	4	3	10	9	1	11	
12	12	10		12		10		12			12	12			11	5	1	6	4	4	3	10	4	12	2	7	12	3	
13	12	9		12		2						12			6	8		10		9		9	4	10		5	10	9	
14		12				12										5		9		3		3	6	12			9	7	
15		12				12										2				9									

SMVs of respective operations of part types in Factory 4

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>													
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	0.6736	0.2064	0.7267	0.6066	0.1069	0.5124	0.2268	0.4451	0.5800	0.2369	0.4796	0.0209	0.2127	0.3420
2	0.7669	0.0423	0.5907	0.1962	0.3841	0.7008	0.7584	0.3476	0.4150	0.3404	0.6990	0.2407	0.4987	0.6826
3	0.5592	0.4821	0.1574	0.1884	0.2527	0.5420	0.7440	0.3537	0.4583	0.0004	0.2483	0.5219	0.0101	0.3390
4	0.4916	0.1829	0.4370	0.3221	0.5050	0.2750	0.0613	0.2409	0.6108	0.4796	0.6962	0.4455	0.7625	0.6146
5	0.2010	0.3288	0.2529	0.2096	0.3041	0.2560	0.0097	0.1328	0.2049	0.0866	0.6516	0.2655	0.5099	0.3034
6	0.5880	0.6751	0.6144	0.5646	0.2553	0.1312	0.6605	0.5119	0.1589	0.4903	0.3893	0.2876	0.7484	0.3463
7	0.5769	0.3716	0.5185	0.1731	0.2574	0.3030	0.0671	0.0302	0.1014	0.7143	0.5779	0.3556	0.3989	0.0439
8	0.0393	0.3887	0.4033	0.6174	0.0942	0.5416	0.6605	0.6522	0.3477	0.0653	0.4551	0.1424	0.1104	0.6623
9	0.6834	0.1486	0.2278	0.0447	0.0062	0.2762	0.5399	0.2886	0.2110	0.3227	0.4758	0.7013	0.5778	0.0579
10	0.1085	0.7328	0.4836	0.4096	0.1560	0.2660		0.4098	0.6959	0.2298	0.2823	0.2827	0.6784	0.4719
11	0.6325	0.4695	0.5411	0.6040	0.6737	0.3771		0.2569			0.0378	0.4926		0.3435
12	0.1059	0.1799		0.6293		0.1984		0.1940			0.3714	0.4840		
13	0.7578	0.3651		0.0461		0.6750						0.2524		
14		0.7462				0.4205								
15		0.0521				0.7139								

SMVs of respective operations of part types in Factory 4 continued

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>													
	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
1	0.3482	0.0832	0.4396	0.1999	0.1217	0.2200	0.2074	0.0780	0.2470	0.0936	0.4522	0.0972	0.2941	0.0249
2	0.1293	0.2929	0.0561	0.1563	0.1379	0.3421	0.2389	0.1656	0.4554	0.0516	0.0577	0.2557	0.1529	0.0191
3	0.2869	0.1979	0.2746	0.2607	0.2674	0.0046	0.0102	0.3978	0.0882	0.0716	0.2274	0.3858	0.0154	0.0505
4	0.0373	0.2848	0.0128	0.3406	0.3862	0.3004	0.1507	0.4289	0.0843	0.3165	0.3255	0.4152	0.4367	0.0761
5	0.4314	0.3646	0.4486	0.1633	0.4524	0.4131	0.4041	0.1707	0.3323	0.2063	0.0149	0.2799	0.3404	0.2169
6	0.1585	0.4386	0.3910	0.1305	0.1958	0.3463	0.1902	0.3978	0.0070	0.2098	0.3377	0.0301	0.0097	0.1500
7	0.3787	0.3438	0.1182	0.3405	0.3393	0.4199	0.2031	0.1153	0.2746	0.2656	0.1362	0.0450	0.2888	0.4433
8	0.3611	0.2041	0.0208	0.2387	0.0494	0.1577	0.0937	0.1181	0.3905	0.3628	0.2210	0.0312	0.1460	0.1085
9	0.3830	0.2493	0.2864	0.0687	0.3879	0.2928	0.0665	0.1533	0.1831	0.3486	0.3870	0.3148	0.4080	0.3310
10	0.0951	0.3300	0.1627	0.0581	0.1078	0.3804	0.2799	0.2713	0.1851	0.4097	0.2768	0.4522	0.3279	0.4140
11	0.1643	0.1285	0.0751	0.0643	0.1309	0.0117	0.2809	0.0060	0.0269	0.3188	0.3363	0.2118	0.1585	0.2121
12	0.1893	0.2599	0.3748	0.1820	0.4461	0.3701	0.0282	0.1671	0.0872	0.1775	0.1574	0.3346	0.1513	0.0545
13	0.0590	0.3651		0.0168		0.2866		0.3403	0.0019	0.4309		0.2524	0.1040	0.3708
14		0.3651		0.0029		0.3526		0.2840	0.3167	0.4101			0.1701	0.1295
15		0.3651				0.4538								

Machine setup times for respective settings on each machine type in Factory 4

<i>i</i>	<i>l</i>									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	0.48	1.08	1.40	1.01	3.37	1.36	5.34	1.05	0.83	
2	0.97	1.03	1.33	0.98	1.78	0.72	9.02			
3	7.08	1.02	0.92	1.33		0.59	9.02			
4	5.46	1.33	0.59	1.08	0.99	1.40		0.43	0.90	
5	1.03	1.02	1.40	1.03	1.78	1.33		3.37	0.53	
6	0.85	1.08	1.33	1.02	1.78	0.92		1.78	0.48	
7	0.59	1.03	0.97		0.87	0.84		1.78	1.04	
8	1.40	0.76	0.88		0.98	1.02	0.98	1.78	0.65	
9	1.03	1.19	0.78	1.15	0.78	1.08	0.88	0.51	0.93	
10										0.63
11										0.62

Random numbers generated based on production downtimes in Factory 4

18.112	21.958	3.983	2.166	6.183	9.577	18.182	13.557	12.959
21.726	17.866	19.823	18.773	16.029	3.114	10.805	14.061	4.055
16.896	12.317	21.316	19.613	5.199	1.961	6.462	1.793	12.440
4.155	10.852	14.667	15.797	12.414	1.270	19.947	2.016	15.609
8.294	14.048	18.126	0.856	2.049	23.797	23.086	20.726	11.714
16.976	2.144	15.415	24.943	3.784	8.307	17.816	5.901	12.553
4.988	22.489	9.596	10.069	23.136	17.736	13.388	9.623	15.147
12.812	16.747	20.590	0.488	11.877	1.678	8.752	17.099	16.598
7.370	0.423	7.497	14.181	7.276	6.768	3.244	11.561	20.300
19.562	5.462	9.784	6.563	8.395	14.014	18.438	10.943	24.056
14.379	5.404	21.355	15.893	25.395	16.279	16.638	7.605	1.643
4.067	9.002	17.772	21.227	0.080	10.232	23.724	12.172	13.921
7.958	15.464	0.830	0.232	4.486	20.830	3.141	23.770	3.309
9.941	16.760	1.919	17.817	7.587	14.082	12.630	8.215	1.111
23.446	8.010	18.975	0.113	4.853	14.573	6.260	19.649	25.570
3.815	24.172	16.877	11.968	14.698	19.022	17.997	15.878	24.401
14.011	0.686	1.096	22.638	3.351	8.350	16.001	0.703	4.024
2.578	16.558	18.928	2.266	4.138	8.259	9.746	15.065	5.950
10.951	15.159	19.658	13.135	8.274	11.185	17.302	6.159	13.134

Number of available machines of each type in Factory 4

<i>i</i>	Total number of available machines
1	18
2	32
3	29
4	24
5	27
6	22
7	24
8	23
9	21
10	20
11	23
12	57

### Input data used for Factory 5

Production volume and expected daily efficiency in Factory 5

$t$	$\tau$			
	1	2	3	4
1	523	628	733	
2	993	1191		
3	988	1186		
4	857	1028	1199	
5	712	855	997	
6	885	1062		
7	710	851	993	
8	692	830	968	
9	710	852	994	1136
10	637	764	891	
11	735	882	1029	1176
12	867	1040	1214	
13	1264	1516		
14	957	1148	1339	
15	1011	1214	1416	
16	884	1061	1238	
17	1362	1634		
18	870	1044	1218	
19	1038	1245		
20	870	1044	1218	
21	933	1119	1306	
Efficiency ladder	25%	30%	35%	40%

Machine types required for operations of part types in Factory 5

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>																				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	11	5	1	9	1	13	10	6	15	14	10	5	13	15	1	8	12	6	2	3	7
2	11	7	7	9	15	2	6	8	13	10	3	6	9	1	10	11	6	14	6	4	11
3	11	10	2	2	8	7	10	9	8	6	7	13	10	6	7	2	8	5	7	8	5
4	8	3	11	1	6	8	10	13	8	13	3	4	8	1	15	1	1	4	12	10	7
5	14	4	11	11	8	3	10	7	8	1	15	11	13	13	6	2	4	3	1	6	4
6	11	14	14	12	12	3	11	1	1	14	11	1	13	13	13	12	11	7	12	8	6
7	15	10	5	7	4	2	8	12	10	8	1	10	15	15	8	4	7	14	2	4	14
8	15	1	2	9	11	11	12	13	9	11	5	3	14	5	15	12	12	14	15	3	14
9	7	15	15	6	12	5	11	12	5	5	14	3	10	8	2	13	9	2	13	9	5
10	13	15	15	15	13	15	2	3	9	15	9	13	9	9	5	7	7	9	5	15	5
11	2			15	2	15	3	9	10	15	15	13	15	15	15	11	15	7	15	13	15
12	15				13		15	5	9		15	15	15	15	15	7	15	15	10	12	15
13	15				7		15	11	15			15				15		15	15	15	
14					12			15	1							15			15	15	
15					15			15	15												
16					9				15												
17					15																
18					15																



Machine settings required for operations of part types in Factory 5

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>																				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	2	8	7	2	7	11	2	3	10	11	8	9	7	15	2	7	9	3	5	9	2
2	3	3	7	7	9	9	6	1	11	8	6	3	9	1	3	10	4	7	8	7	10
3	10	8	7	10	4	9	3	4	8	7	4	1	4	4	9	4	7	2	8	4	9
4	9	8	9	3	2	2	3	11	8	1	2	4	4	3	7	5	10	1	8	3	9
5	11	4	5	8	5	10	1	7	8	1	5	10	6	3	2	8	7	8	7	3	8
6	11	11	11	8	12	4	8	1	1	8	10	6	6	3	1	8	6	1	2	5	8
7	15	2	7	5	3	8	8	12	10	8	7	9	15	15	4	4	7	10	2	4	10
8	15	9	10	8	3	3	4	11	9	2	9	6	10	8	15	4	12	10	15	3	10
9	2	15	15	3	3	4	1	10	9	3	11	7	8	10	7	2	1	7	1	8	9
10	11	15	15	15	11	15	5	4	10	3	9	1	4	4	4	2	10	5	1	15	1
11	2			15	2	15	5	4	5	6	15	7	15	15	15	9	15	4	15	13	15
12	15				13		15	7	3		15	15	15	15	15	6	15	15	10	8	15
13	15				7		15	11	15			15				15		15	15	15	
14					12			15	4												
15					15			15	15												
16					9				15												
17					15																
18					15																

SMVs of respective operations of part types in Factory 5

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>													
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	0.2630	0.3140	0.0973	0.5202	0.3338	0.4996	0.5499	0.4539	0.4884	0.3573	0.5573	0.1578	0.0850	0.0685
2	0.5068	0.0905	0.3589	0.4870	0.3952	0.3980	0.1071	0.0694	0.3907	0.5664	0.1504	0.2381	0.2993	0.2541
3	0.5565	0.4795	0.4957	0.5196	0.2082	0.2318	0.2417	0.2460	0.0340	0.4986	0.1009	0.3212	0.1021	0.2424
4	0.4878	0.1922	0.3840	0.2242	0.2870	0.5479	0.1949	0.3765	0.4350	0.1379	0.0243	0.3322	0.1390	0.1581
5	0.5340	0.3782	0.1342	0.5129	0.5254	0.1331	0.3309	0.2312	0.1002	0.2843	0.4456	0.0181	0.1356	0.2092
6	0.2471	0.0281	0.1087	0.2996	0.1971	0.0345	0.1251	0.1783	0.2872	0.4062	0.2355	0.3109	0.1896	0.2058
7	0.2893	0.4883	0.0041	0.1315	0.0139	0.2303	0.0665	0.3647	0.5619	0.3219	0.3583	0.1887	0.2461	0.1675
8	0.4925	0.2756	0.4365	0.2855	0.5011	0.3173	0.1362	0.0987	0.1170	0.4419	0.1760	0.1715	0.0422	0.2358
9	0.4748	0.5161	0.4837	0.0924	0.0882	0.2318	0.1047	0.2520	0.0078	0.3410	0.5628	0.1821	0.2640	0.0423
10	0.4599	0.1380	0.4117	0.0801	0.2658	0.4928	0.4675	0.2141	0.1835	0.1769	0.5541	0.3260	0.1134	0.2686
11	0.5608			0.2090	0.0158	0.1381	0.4621	0.2020	0.3494	0.5255	0.2829	0.0452	0.1045	0.2049
12	0.1238				0.0441		0.1115	0.5398	0.2035		0.4708	0.0785	0.1027	0.3516
13	0.5089				0.2578		0.5157	0.4468	0.2175			0.5089		
14					0.0576			0.0783	0.3577					
15					0.2409			0.3076	0.2457					
16					0.2422				0.1857					
17					0.1508									
18					0.2187									

SMVs of respective operations of part types in Factory 5 continued

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>						
	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	0.1404	0.3300	0.2883	0.2501	0.1122	0.1424	0.2066
2	0.1290	0.3165	0.1550	0.0474	0.1370	0.2289	0.1619
3	0.1983	0.1436	0.0219	0.1201	0.1907	0.2956	0.2708
4	0.2541	0.1012	0.2172	0.1481	0.2617	0.2886	0.1948
5	0.0191	0.3501	0.0841	0.0997	0.0590	0.1315	0.3129
6	0.1183	0.1358	0.2622	0.0412	0.0412	0.1440	0.2207
7	0.2843	0.2621	0.0349	0.2679	0.2395	0.2899	0.1220
8	0.1269	0.2481	0.2768	0.3216	0.2226	0.1607	0.2357
9	0.3049	0.1929	0.0000	0.3378	0.3248	0.1663	0.0569
10	0.3558	0.1764	0.0039	0.1326	0.1030	0.0030	0.3250
11	0.0726	0.3143	0.2191	0.2926	0.3343	0.3299	0.3354
12	0.2747	0.1547	0.1283	0.2945	0.0399	0.3343	0.0273
13		0.2578		0.5157	0.4468	0.2175	
14		0.0576			0.0783	0.3577	

Machine setup times for respective settings on each machine type in Factory 5

<i>i</i>	<i>l</i>										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	0.40	0.65	0.48	1.00	1.02	1.63	0.99	0.58	1.35	0.98	
2	1.55	1.92	0.57	1.68	0.95	1.61	0.87	0.67	0.55	0.96	
3	1.42	1.16	0.34	1.11	1.21	1.53	0.51	0.65	0.51	0.51	
4	5.84	0.66	0.64	1.46	0.97	1.02	0.75	0.78	0.53	1.54	
5	6.22	0.97	0.81	2.03	1.59	2.11	0.99	0.98	0.92	0.95	
6	1.39	0.67	0.73	1.36	1.64	1.06	0.41	0.76	0.46	0.95	
7	1.72	1.91	0.44	2.00	0.99	1.20	0.43	0.75	0.54	0.76	
8	1.37	0.41	0.43	2.10	1.92	1.90	0.58	0.79	0.74	1.82	
9	0.83	1.02	0.59	1.34	1.01	1.20	0.63	1.11	0.72	1.69	
10	2.05	1.20	0.61	1.60	1.12	1.46	0.89	0.75	0.82	0.66	
11	0.98	1.21	0.57	1.68	1.01	1.40	0.41	0.92	0.87	0.85	
12	1.55	0.41	0.61	1.33	1.18	1.06	0.41	0.32	0.85	0.91	
13	1.55	0.41	0.61	1.33	1.18	1.06	0.41	0.32	0.85		
14											0.71

Random numbers generated based on production downtimes in Factory 5

12.459	35.025	19.796	27.340	10.276	42.837	31.938	40.272	12.413
13.681	14.108	39.737	34.017	23.645	2.452	40.456	18.841	10.870
5.057	11.111	14.478	19.787	33.323	30.288	30.367	32.428	36.315
12.522	20.886	20.714	29.138	30.935	24.373	15.962	0.274	1.099
14.938	35.574	18.704	19.718	42.751	29.152	28.572	1.163	12.211
7.552	42.049	21.171	7.097	30.262	31.860	34.359	17.869	29.733
41.924	40.185	23.109	35.591	2.608	18.452	0.845	21.035	26.986
38.811	27.307	9.255	3.008	4.930	20.851	6.304	17.051	1.545
7.707	17.178	26.424	40.276	14.560	26.340	38.877	21.883	8.142
7.770	2.147	0.471	22.873	39.635	8.021	22.468	16.150	39.957
19.551	35.693	33.180	15.259	20.189	0.932	0.537	40.790	26.560
13.315	39.354	15.060	11.884	42.228	7.643	28.502	33.429	17.464
36.474	40.060	13.088	11.087	6.268	19.909	6.815	20.603	8.041
13.783	22.848	4.097	22.769	6.225	9.221	38.480	35.856	4.506
6.986	14.514	17.910	29.068	33.579	1.280	8.475	16.871	14.933
41.905	26.294	12.907	14.659	38.133	33.797	24.483	24.924	31.593
41.717	12.951	33.541	29.550	31.592	21.576	40.342	17.297	42.458
9.545	24.080	1.553	42.439	11.977	26.482	15.289	16.808	27.774
20.659	8.709	0.795	34.597	38.335	24.184	19.081	20.810	13.613

Number of available machines of each type in Factory 5

<i>i</i>	Total number of available machines
1	17
2	25
3	21
4	16
5	20
6	19
7	15
8	18
9	26
10	31
11	32
12	21
13	24
14	17
15	45

### Input data used for Factory 6

Production volume and expected daily efficiency in Factory 6

$t$	$\tau$				
	1	2	3	4	5
1	465	558	651	744	
2	666	799	932		
3	531	638	744	850	
4	618	742			
5	508	609	711		
6	583	700	817	933	
7	662	794	927	1059	
8	732	878	1024		
9	862	1034	1206		
10	666	799	932		
11	479	575	670	766	862
12	969	1163			
13	989	1187	1385		
14	1077	1292			
15	818	981	1145		
16	925	1110	1295		
17	1101	1322			
18	1067	1280	1493		
19	975	1170	1365		
20	1247	1497			
21	997	1196	1395		
Efficiency ladder	25%	30%	35%	40%	45%

Machine types required for operations of part types in Factory 6

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>																				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	1	14	14	2	3	14	14	16	10	4	14	12	1	13	7	13	12	1	11	16	1
2	2	10	5	5	5	6	3	6	5	15	6	4	14	5	3	4	5	15	15	4	8
3	5	9	2	5	2	6	1	3	15	6	4	6	9	6	8	13	7	16	7	15	14
4	7	4	3	7	10	2	8	10	16	12	9	14	11	1	11	11	2	15	9	14	7
5	11	7	4	4	8	13	7	7	9	9	11	6	15	12	1	10	7	2	3	9	4
6	6	14	8	4	16	5	1	6	14	13	16	8	8	15	7	1	13	4	10	7	3
7	12	7	10	16	16	10	11	6	4	5	12	9	10	6	15	5	2	12	6	10	12
8	16	11	2	11	9	5	7	6	5	16	11	12	15	1	3	14	1	8	13	7	2
9	16	4	11	11	1	1	8	12	9	1	11	3	3	16	12	2	12	10	5	4	2
10	3	5	9	12	11	3	16	1	13	4	10	10	7	12	5	10	6	8	10	15	8
11	4	16	13	9	4	4	16	1	16	4	5	13	14	16	15	4	9	16	11	7	2
12	10	15	1	6	12	4		5	16	8	7	16	4	3	6	4	6	4	16	4	16
13	3	16	15	3	11	11		16		16	10	16	12	14	4	16	12	1	16	16	16
14	15	16	16	10	13	12		16		16	16		1	16	16	3	13	7		16	
15	16		16	16	16	16					16		16	16	16	16	16	16			
16	16			16	16	16							16			16	16	16			

Machine settings required for operations of part types in Factory 6

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>																				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	3	10	14	6	5	14	10	16	6	6	14	7	2	11	9	10	5	5	6	16	1
2	9	7	6	6	5	1	9	9	7	10	9	4	14	2	8	12	11	15	15	4	8
3	3	1	9	1	9	16	8	9	10	5	9	2	4	12	3	12	1	16	2	15	14
4	8	1	2	1	6	1	8	7	16	3	8	10	8	6	1	1	8	15	3	14	1
5	6	9	1	2	7	5	5	5	9	5	8	8	15	8	3	4	9	4	11	9	2
6	8	10	3	1	4	1	1	9	10	8	16	4	11	15	4	3	10	1	9	12	8
7	1	1	2	16	16	8	5	5	6	7	4	9	6	10	15	5	6	11	12	12	12
8	16	9	6	6	6	9	4	5	7	16	3	7	15	3	8	14	5	2	7	7	10
9	16	2	3	6	2	9	9	8	4	3	8	4	2	16	5	11	3	8	9	4	8
10	1	3	2	8	2	5	16	5	4	7	6	7	12	12	11	12	5	4	8	15	2
11	9	16	7	3	5	3	16	4	16	7	5	6	14	16	3	8	6	16	10	6	3
12	2	10	1	5	2	7		2	16	3	8	16	6	11	10	11	1	1	16	5	16
13	8	16	15	2	7	3		16		16	7	16	12	14	8	16	5	1	16	16	16
14	15	16	16	9	6	7		16		16	16		3	16	16	3	10	9		16	
15	16		16	16	16	16					16		16	16	16	16	16	16			
16	16			16	16	16							16			16	16	16			

SMVs of respective operations of part types in Factory 6

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>													
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	0.2832	0.4640	0.4246	0.5370	0.0389	0.1314	0.4574	0.0013	0.1988	0.3520	0.5167	0.4520	0.1570	0.2601
2	0.3057	0.6500	0.6052	0.0575	0.6030	0.4458	0.6083	0.5172	0.0049	0.1098	0.4684	0.3109	0.2205	0.3140
3	0.5231	0.4679	0.4561	0.4875	0.1795	0.2725	0.4095	0.1039	0.4295	0.4535	0.5164	0.0416	0.2787	0.3099
4	0.5826	0.1393	0.2214	0.1400	0.4880	0.6415	0.1767	0.0264	0.2440	0.3034	0.5963	0.0750	0.2426	0.2382
5	0.1847	0.2846	0.3413	0.3823	0.5506	0.5482	0.5893	0.0576	0.5674	0.4121	0.4530	0.1364	0.2170	0.0458
6	0.0727	0.3685	0.0711	0.0488	0.6101	0.0475	0.6166	0.2666	0.2234	0.4579	0.3820	0.1698	0.0498	0.1482
7	0.4066	0.5039	0.5717	0.4513	0.5385	0.0267	0.0481	0.4653	0.1660	0.2271	0.6118	0.2840	0.1963	0.0152
8	0.4816	0.1362	0.2996	0.0190	0.2630	0.1989	0.6274	0.2983	0.5360	0.4868	0.4263	0.3739	0.2880	0.2401
9	0.3342	0.4994	0.5851	0.0089	0.5151	0.2762	0.4149	0.4764	0.0338	0.3561	0.4513	0.3003	0.0562	0.0372
10	0.5831	0.0703	0.0451	0.1888	0.4050	0.1245	0.0603	0.4777	0.5818	0.0234	0.1361	0.3610	0.2667	0.1260
11	0.5942	0.0891	0.2778	0.5562	0.3067	0.0412	0.3421	0.1389	0.2001	0.2434	0.0691	0.2663	0.2172	0.0178
12	0.4741	0.1371	0.6155	0.2122	0.1323	0.5615		0.1424	0.1574	0.6466	0.3555	0.0378	0.2048	0.2746
13	0.3999	0.5093	0.2341	0.1250	0.4781	0.6266		0.5624		0.0299	0.3715	0.1620	0.0188	0.2660
14	0.1112	0.0044	0.3255	0.6245	0.3761	0.1332		0.4012		0.2218	0.2430		0.1583	0.0896
15	0.4232		0.3483	0.2815	0.0311	0.5983					0.4185		0.3025	0.2924
16	0.4306			0.5393	0.1555	0.2641							0.2320	



SMVs of respective operations of part types in Factory 6 continued

<i>n</i>	<i>t</i>						
	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	0.3392	0.2157	0.2471	0.1465	0.3145	0.0704	0.1949
2	0.1542	0.3108	0.3019	0.3329	0.2350	0.2886	0.2224
3	0.0919	0.2089	0.0787	0.2810	0.1917	0.0661	0.2912
4	0.2032	0.3427	0.2722	0.0484	0.2842	0.1136	0.2463
5	0.1637	0.1051	0.2275	0.2288	0.0950	0.2075	0.2200
6	0.2139	0.0875	0.3428	0.2579	0.0680	0.1001	0.1407
7	0.2635	0.3201	0.1179	0.2040	0.0581	0.3439	0.2323
8	0.3093	0.1581	0.1894	0.3474	0.3095	0.0676	0.3532
9	0.1148	0.2799	0.2595	0.2720	0.2563	0.1792	0.0385
10	0.0595	0.1596	0.1198	0.0420	0.1492	0.0794	0.0063
11	0.3454	0.0700	0.2102	0.0571	0.1549	0.3001	0.0989
12	0.3440	0.2005	0.1214	0.2888	0.1482	0.0761	0.2664
13	0.3409	0.1785	0.0617	0.0368	0.2962	0.0652	0.1932
14	0.2376	0.1952	0.0139	0.0941		0.1971	
15	0.3422	0.1850	0.1146	0.1333			
16		0.3021	0.1106	0.1087			

Machine setup times for respective settings on each machine type in Factory 6

<i>i</i>	<i>l</i>									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	2.17	1.07	0.85	3.13	2.73	2.10	0.72	1.98	1.87	
2	0.83	3.39	0.86	2.30	2.59	1.13	1.55	1.18	2.75	
3	2.05	3.02	0.94	2.94	0.95	2.54	2.76	1.21	2.20	
4	0.86	3.05	1.91	1.77	1.17	1.47	3.28	1.07	2.50	
5	1.38	1.88	3.28	0.05	3.35	2.32	1.69	0.51	1.04	
6	1.54	1.03	1.11	1.55	1.58	2.50	1.48	0.65	3.20	
7	2.45	2.00	1.85	0.42	2.69	1.28	3.12	2.06	3.27	
8	1.83	1.01	1.71	0.49	2.76	1.32	2.85	1.12	1.26	
9	0.04	2.16	1.73	1.50	1.26	2.30	0.70	0.81	1.80	
10	1.99	3.41	1.36	0.49	0.70	2.34	1.61	0.62	2.83	
11	3.38	3.09	0.86	1.74	1.11	2.52	1.41	0.65	2.16	
12	2.69	2.27	2.32	0.13	3.08	3.20	1.04	3.41	2.30	
13	1.99	3.41	1.03	1.11	0.94	2.94	2.76	1.21	2.20	
14										0.68
15										0.68

Random numbers generated based on production downtimes in Factory 6

21.572	42.435	39.542	17.204	4.222	21.486	15.604	13.208	15.485
12.323	4.454	34.661	13.944	26.809	20.364	33.406	23.318	34.843
37.078	38.560	8.068	12.455	13.137	9.516	2.071	2.770	25.021
21.914	16.754	27.198	22.336	18.690	12.863	3.133	36.474	0.941
15.515	8.624	24.240	8.653	12.517	36.422	40.468	30.392	12.725
21.838	15.436	23.799	15.351	1.406	42.629	0.315	13.807	7.939
42.417	10.851	18.674	1.745	8.816	21.120	32.921	10.205	21.046
41.260	28.287	33.217	3.474	41.578	27.857	34.867	41.191	41.305
11.142	31.365	12.768	9.825	19.347	19.962	33.339	27.124	1.770
10.783	15.785	38.225	14.803	21.788	42.113	33.219	34.651	38.024
24.946	9.601	1.019	9.330	9.947	10.426	40.948	21.592	37.675
10.952	12.046	18.784	11.561	13.880	19.096	10.652	38.470	4.265
34.661	17.457	2.080	40.778	21.122	28.449	29.075	31.059	25.970
31.934	2.930	42.728	25.443	0.551	17.729	42.727	23.944	29.708
20.237	29.543	18.470	33.090	31.353	28.517	26.398	42.802	39.781
34.806	11.394	16.666	18.709	38.872	4.595	36.839	39.819	2.617
13.833	28.209	7.153	3.011	2.058	37.895	30.989	15.628	4.055
34.340	10.677	11.102	23.067	23.201	11.799	4.541	13.807	20.528
35.546	10.650	3.419	22.467	31.338	35.795	23.258	3.724	8.665

Number of available machines of each type in Factory 6

<i>i</i>	Total number of available machines
1	18
2	22
3	20
4	20
5	27
6	24
7	17
8	17
9	21
10	16
11	22
12	17
13	20
14	26
15	24
16	46

Order sequence data of Factory 2 to 6

Factory	Order sequence
2	6, 12, 2, 5, 4, 3 to 7, 11, 9, 8, 10, 1 to 12
3	1,4,6,7,9,2,3,5,8,10,16,22 to 13,15,17,18,19,11,12,14,21,23,20,22
4	1,7,8,9,16,17,18,19,4,2,3,21,24,23,15 to 13,12,11,14,20,22,26,19,5,10,6,21,28,27,25
5	4,3,1,12,15,13,7,8,2,14,17,16 to 11,5,6,12,15,18,9,12,10,21,20,19
6	1,3,4,7,2,9,14,17,20,15,13 to 10,6,8,5,11,18,19,20,21,16

Dimensional input data of Factory 2 to 6 (measured in meters)

Input data	Factory 2	Factory 3	Factory 4	Factory 5	Factory 6
$L_{PF}$	65.51	88.6	95.92	68.54	70.25
$W_{PF}$	48.62	53.8	58.98	40.38	58.72
$\max \{L_{m_{ij}}, L_{oC_k}\}$	1.22	1.2	1.26	1.18	1.25
$\max \{W_{m_{ij}}, W_{oC_k}\}$	1.06	1.1	1.14	1.16	1
$W_\beta$	0.89	1.5	1	1	1
$x_D$	95.3	118.51	110.81	75.69	109.03
$y_D$	70.35	142.74	68.43	84.26	63.97

Other input data used for Factory 2 to 6

Input data	Factory 2	Factory 3	Factory 4	Factory 5	Factory 6
$U_{m_{i,j}}$ (min)	3.21	3.15	2.17	2.82	3.38
$B_t$ (pieces)	8	5	10	10	12
$\gamma_t$ (\$)	4.2	3.6	3.8	4.5	4.1
$\xi$ (min)	960	900	960	960	900
$\psi$	4	4	6	5	6
$\lambda$	12	12	14	10	18

## APPENDIX H: Output data of system validation

Output data of the system validation for Factory 3 to 6 are given hereafter.

### Part family groups and respective cells of Factory 3 to 6

Factory	<i>b</i>	<i>t</i>	<i>k</i>
3	1	1,4,6,7,9	1,4,6,7,9
	2	13,15,17,18,19	13,15,17,18,19
	3	2,3,5,8,10	2,3,5,8,10
	4	11,12,14,21,23	11,12,14,21,23
	5	16,20	16,20
	6	22	22
4	1	1,7,8,9,11,12,13,14	1,7,8,9,11,12,13,14
	2	3,2,6,10	3,2,6,10
	3	4,5	4,5
	4	19,21	19,21
	5	16,17,18	16,17,18
	6	20,22,26	20,22,26
	7	15,23,24	15,23,24
	8	25,27,28	25,27,28
5	1	1,10	1,10
	2	2,3,4,6	2,3,4,6
	3	5	5
	4	7,8	7,8
	5	9,11	9,11
	6	14,21	14,21
	7	13,18	13,18
	8	12,15,16,17,19,20	12,15,16,17,19,20
6	1	1,3,4,5,6,11	1,3,4,5,6,11
	2	2,8,10,12	2,8,10,12
	3	7,9	7,9
	4	13,15,16,21	13,15,16,21
	5	14,17,18,19	14,17,18,19
	6	20	20

### Output data of Factory 3

Number of machines of each machine type in the dynamic cells in Factory 3

<i>i</i>	<i>k</i>																						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
1	0	0	3	2	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	1	1	3	0	0	1	2	0	2
2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
3	2	2	3	0	4	1	2	4	1	2	0	1	2	1	0	0	2	3	0	4	1	2	1
4	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	1
5	1	0	0	1	0	4	1	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	1
6	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	3	3	1	0
7	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1
8	0	0	2	2	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	0
9	2	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	0	0	5	3	3	1	3	4	1	0	0	0	0	4
10	1	2	0	3	0	2	1	0	0	4	2	2	1	0	3	0	2	0	1	0	1	2	1
11	1	0	3	1	2	0	2	0	4	2	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	4	1	0	2	0
12	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	1	1
13	1	3	1	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	4	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1
14	0	2	1	0	2	1	1	1	2	1	0	2	1	3	1	2	1	2	2	0	1	1	2
15	4	5	3	4	6	2	5	4	3	6	6	2	4	3	3	4	2	3	4	5	2	2	5

Operator assignment to operations in dynamic cells in Factory 3

<i>n</i>	<i>k</i>																	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1	1	1	1	1	1,2	1	1,2	1	1	1,2	1,2,3,4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	2	2	1	1	3,4	1,2	3	1	1	3,4	4	2	2	1	2	2,3	2	2
3	3	3	2	2,3	5,6	3	4	2	2	5,6	5,6,7	2	3	2	3	4	3	3
4	4	3	2	4	7,8	3	5	2	3	7,8	8,9,10	3	4,5	3	4	5	4,5	4,5
5	4	4,5	3	5,6	9,10	4	5	3	4	9,10	11,12,13	4	6	4	5	6,7	5	6
6	5	6	3	7,8	11,12	5,6	6	3	5	11,12	14,15	4	7,8	5	6	8	6	7
7	6	7,8	4	9,10	13,14	7	7	4	6	13,14	16	5	9,10	5	7	9	7	8
8	7	9	4	11	15	7	8	4	7	14	17	5	11	5	8	10,11	8	9
9	8	10	5	11	16,17	8,9	8	5	7	15,16	17	6	11	6	2	12	8	10
10	8	10	6	12,13	18,19	10	9,10	5	8	17		7	12	7	9	13	9	11
11	9	11,12	6	14,15		10	11,12	5	8	18		7	12	8	9	14	10	11
12	9	13	7	16		11,12	13	6	8	19		8						
13	10	14,15	7			13	14,15	6	9			8						
14	11	16,17	8			14	16	7	9			9						
15	12		8			15		8	10			10						
16	12		9					9	11			11						
17	13		10					10	11			12						
18	14		11					11	12			13						
19			11					11				14						
20			11									15						

Operator assignment to operations in dynamic cells in Factory 3 continued

<i>n</i>	<i>k</i>				
	19	20	21	22	23
1	1	1	1	1	1
2	2,3	2	2	2	1
3	4	3	3	2	2
4	5	3	3	3,4	3
5	6	4,5,6	4	5	3
6	6	7,8	5	6	4
7	6	9	5	7	5
8	7	10	6,7	8	6
9	8,9	11	8	9	6
10	10	12,13,14	8	10	7
11	11,12	15	9	11	8
12	13		10	12	9
13	14,15		11,12	13	10
14	4		13,14	5	9
15			15		11
16			15		12
17					12
18					13
19					14
20					14

Coordinates of dynamic cell locations in Factory 3

<i>k</i>	x	y	<i>k</i>	x	y
1	5.95	3.50	13	5.95	3.50
2	18.75	3.50	14	18.75	20.50
3	18.75	12.00	15	5.95	12.00
4	5.95	12.00	16	18.75	46.00
5	18.75	20.50	17	5.95	20.50
6	5.95	20.50	18	5.95	29.00
7	5.95	29.00	19	5.95	37.50
8	18.75	29.00	20	18.75	46.00
9	5.95	37.50	21	18.75	29.00
10	18.75	37.50	22	18.75	54.50
11	18.75	3.50	23	18.75	37.50
12	18.75	12.00			

Machine type at each location in Factory 3

$g$	$k$																						
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
1	13	7	1	13	11	1	15	8	13	15	13	3	13	2	13	9	1	3	13	11	3	11	14
2	2	3	14	15	11	8	6	15	6	15	13	4	3	12	2	15	1	3	15	15	1	13	15
3	5	3	15	4	14	3	4	7	3	10	13	14	9	4	7	15	1	5	2	1	4	7	12
4	6	13	3	1	14	10	4	13	15	10	13	10	9	3	4	14	5	9	7	7	13	3	10
5	9	9	1	1	3	4	10	3	9	6	4	12	2	13	4	14	5	14	10	3	7	3	7
6	10	9	6	8	3	4	8	3	11	6	15	12	8	1	15	9	9	4	15	3	12	8	4
7	4	4	8	8	9	5	8	9	11	3	15	1	9	15	1	9	9	4	5	3	6	12	13
8	6	14	13	9	9	5	3	8	7	3	15	13	4	14	10	8	3	11	5	6	6	11	1
9	11	14	3	7	13	9	15	14	5	11	5	9	6	9	10	1	3	8	12	6	6	7	9
10	12	13	9	7	13	5	15	15	12	11	5	10	3	4	11	7	7	8	11	6	1	14	3
11	12	13	1	5	15	5	5	3	12	10	5	9	15	6	11	7	4	2	11	3	14	10	9
12	15	15	11	10	15	1	9	12	9	10	2	9	15	1	8	2	9	15	11	2	10	10	9
13	3	10	11	10	3	1	3	4	11	15	2	11	10	9	8	6	9	7	11	15	8	6	15
14	2	10	4	10	3	9	11	5	11	15	2	14	12	8	9	13	10	3	6	15	12	15	9
15	3	7	3	11	4	10	11	2	12	14	10	9	8	12	10	15	10	14	14	15	12	15	15
16	9	15	8	15	15	14	14	3	14	1	10	11	14	14	14	15	14	15	14	15	12		14
17	15	15	11	15	15	15	15	6	15	1	11	9	15	14	15		15	15	15		12		5
18	15	15	12	15	15	15	15	15	15	7	15	13	15	9	15		15		15		15		1
19		15	15		15			15		15	15	15		15							15		15
20			15							15	15	15		15									15



x-coordinate values for machine locations in dynamic cells in Factory 3

$g$	$k$															
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	0.20	14.00	14.00	0.20	14.00	0.20	0.20	14.00	0.20	14.00	14.00	14.00	0.20	14.00	0.20	14.00
2	1.40	15.20	15.20	1.40	15.20	1.40	1.40	15.20	1.40	15.20	15.20	15.20	1.40	15.20	1.40	15.20
3	2.60	16.40	16.40	2.60	16.40	2.60	2.60	16.40	2.60	16.40	16.40	16.40	2.60	16.40	2.60	16.40
4	3.80	17.60	17.60	3.80	17.60	3.80	3.80	17.60	3.80	17.60	17.60	17.60	3.80	17.60	3.80	17.60
5	5.00	18.80	18.80	5.00	18.80	5.00	5.00	18.80	5.00	18.80	18.80	18.80	5.00	18.80	5.00	18.80
6	6.20	20.00	20.00	6.20	20.00	6.20	6.20	20.00	6.20	20.00	20.00	20.00	6.20	20.00	6.20	20.00
7	7.40	21.20	21.20	7.40	21.20	7.40	7.40	21.20	7.40	21.20	21.20	21.20	7.40	21.20	7.40	21.20
8	11.35	22.40	22.40	11.35	22.40	11.35	11.35	22.40	11.35	22.40	22.40	22.40	11.35	22.40	11.35	11.00
9	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.00
10	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	21.20
11	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.35	20.00
12	7.40	11.35	11.35	7.40	11.35	7.40	7.40	11.35	7.40	11.35	11.35	11.35	7.40	11.35	7.40	18.80
13	6.20	22.40	22.40	6.20	22.40	6.20	6.20	22.40	6.20	22.40	22.40	22.40	6.20	22.40	6.20	17.60
14	5.00	21.20	21.20	5.00	21.20	5.00	5.00	21.20	5.00	21.20	21.20	21.20	5.00	21.20	5.00	16.40
15	3.80	20.00	20.00	3.80	20.00	3.80	3.80	20.00	3.80	20.00	20.00	20.00	3.80	20.00	3.80	15.20
16	2.60	18.80	18.80	2.60	18.80	2.60	2.60	18.80	2.60	18.80	18.80	18.80	2.60	18.80	2.60	14.00
17	1.40	17.60	17.60	1.40	17.60	1.40	1.40	17.60	1.40	17.60	17.60	17.60	1.40	17.60	1.40	
18	0.20	16.40	16.40	0.20	16.40	0.20	0.20	16.40	0.20	16.40	16.40	16.40	0.20	16.40	0.20	
19		15.20	15.20		15.20			15.20		15.20	15.20	15.20		15.20		
20			14.00							14.00	14.00	14.00		14.00		

x-coordinate values for machine locations in dynamic cells in Factory 3 continued

<i>g</i>	<i>k</i>						
	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
1	0.20	0.20	0.20	14.00	14.00	14.00	14.00
2	1.40	1.40	1.40	15.20	15.20	15.20	15.20
3	2.60	2.60	2.60	16.40	16.40	16.40	16.40
4	3.80	3.80	3.80	17.60	17.60	17.60	17.60
5	5.00	5.00	5.00	18.80	18.80	18.80	18.80
6	6.20	6.20	6.20	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00
7	7.40	7.40	7.40	21.20	21.20	21.20	21.20
8	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.00	22.40	11.00	22.40
9	11.35	11.35	11.35	11.00	11.35	11.00	11.35
10	11.35	11.35	11.35	21.20	11.35	21.20	11.35
11	11.35	11.35	11.35	20.00	11.35	20.00	11.35
12	7.40	7.40	7.40	18.80	11.35	18.80	11.35
13	6.20	6.20	6.20	17.60	22.40	17.60	22.40
14	5.00	5.00	5.00	16.40	21.20	16.40	21.20
15	3.80	3.80	3.80	15.20	20.00	15.20	20.00
16	2.60	2.60	2.60	14.00	18.80		18.80
17	1.40	1.40	1.40		17.60		17.60
18	0.20	0.20	0.20		16.40		16.40
19					15.20		15.20
20							14.00

y-coordinate values for machine locations in dynamic cells in Factory 3

<i>g</i>	<i>k</i>															
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	0.55	0.55	9.05	9.05	17.55	17.55	26.05	26.05	34.55	34.55	0.55	9.05	0.55	17.55	9.05	43.05
2	0.55	0.55	9.05	9.05	17.55	17.55	26.05	26.05	34.55	34.55	0.55	9.05	0.55	17.55	9.05	43.05
3	0.55	0.55	9.05	9.05	17.55	17.55	26.05	26.05	34.55	34.55	0.55	9.05	0.55	17.55	9.05	43.05
4	0.55	0.55	9.05	9.05	17.55	17.55	26.05	26.05	34.55	34.55	0.55	9.05	0.55	17.55	9.05	43.05
5	0.55	0.55	9.05	9.05	17.55	17.55	26.05	26.05	34.55	34.55	0.55	9.05	0.55	17.55	9.05	43.05
6	0.55	0.55	9.05	9.05	17.55	17.55	26.05	26.05	34.55	34.55	0.55	9.05	0.55	17.55	9.05	43.05
7	0.55	0.55	9.05	9.05	17.55	17.55	26.05	26.05	34.55	34.55	0.55	9.05	0.55	17.55	9.05	43.05
8	1.70	0.55	9.05	10.20	17.55	18.70	27.20	26.05	35.70	34.55	0.55	9.05	1.70	17.55	10.20	44.20
9	2.90	1.70	10.20	11.40	18.70	19.90	28.40	27.20	36.90	35.70	1.70	10.20	2.90	18.70	11.40	45.40
10	4.10	2.90	11.40	12.60	19.90	21.10	29.60	28.40	38.10	36.90	2.90	11.40	4.10	19.90	12.60	46.55
11	5.30	4.10	12.60	13.80	21.10	22.30	30.80	29.60	39.30	38.10	4.10	12.60	5.30	21.10	13.80	46.55
12	6.45	5.30	13.80	14.95	22.30	23.45	31.95	30.80	40.45	39.30	5.30	13.80	6.45	22.30	14.95	46.55
13	6.45	6.45	14.95	14.95	23.45	23.45	31.95	31.95	40.45	40.45	6.45	14.95	6.45	23.45	14.95	46.55
14	6.45	6.45	14.95	14.95	23.45	23.45	31.95	31.95	40.45	40.45	6.45	14.95	6.45	23.45	14.95	46.55
15	6.45	6.45	14.95	14.95	23.45	23.45	31.95	31.95	40.45	40.45	6.45	14.95	6.45	23.45	14.95	46.55
16	6.45	6.45	14.95	14.95	23.45	23.45	31.95	31.95	40.45	40.45	6.45	14.95	6.45	23.45	14.95	46.55
17	6.45	6.45	14.95	14.95	23.45	23.45	31.95	31.95	40.45	40.45	6.45	14.95	6.45	23.45	14.95	
18	6.45	6.45	14.95	14.95	23.45	23.45	31.95	31.95	40.45	40.45	6.45	14.95	6.45	23.45	14.95	
19		6.45	14.95		23.45			31.95		40.45	6.45	14.95		23.45		
20			14.95							40.45	6.45	14.95		23.45		

y-coordinate values for machine locations in dynamic cells in Factory 3 continued

<i>g</i>	<i>k</i>						
	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
1	17.55	26.05	34.55	43.05	26.05	49.15	34.55
2	17.55	26.05	34.55	43.05	26.05	49.15	34.55
3	17.55	26.05	34.55	43.05	26.05	49.15	34.55
4	17.55	26.05	34.55	43.05	26.05	49.15	34.55
5	17.55	26.05	34.55	43.05	26.05	49.15	34.55
6	17.55	26.05	34.55	43.05	26.05	49.15	34.55
7	17.55	26.05	34.55	43.05	26.05	49.15	34.55
8	18.70	27.20	35.70	44.20	26.05	50.30	34.55
9	19.90	28.40	36.90	45.40	27.20	51.50	35.70
10	21.10	29.60	38.10	46.55	28.40	52.65	36.90
11	22.30	30.80	39.30	46.55	29.60	52.65	38.10
12	23.45	31.95	40.45	46.55	30.80	52.65	39.30
13	23.45	31.95	40.45	46.55	31.95	52.65	40.45
14	23.45	31.95	40.45	46.55	31.95	52.65	40.45
15	23.45	31.95	40.45	46.55	31.95	52.65	40.45
16	23.45	31.95	40.45	46.55	31.95		40.45
17	23.45	31.95	40.45		31.95		40.45
18	23.45		40.45		31.95		40.45
19					31.95		40.45
20							40.45

**Output data of Factory 4**

Number of machines of each machine type in the dynamic cells in Factory 4

<i>i</i>	<i>k</i>																											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	2	0	1	2	1	2	0	1	0	5	1	0	0	3	2	1	1	0	2	0
2	1	3	3	0	5	2	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	2	1	0	2	1	1	0	3	0	2	1	2	1	0	2
3	1	0	4	1	1	2	2	0	1	0	3	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	2	1	1	0	2	1	1
4	1	0	1	3	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1
5	4	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	4	1	0	2	2	0
6	2	4	1	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	1	2	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	2	3	0	0
7	0	3	1	1	0	1	0	2	0	3	2	0	0	1	2	1	2	0	1	3	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	1
8	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	1	0	1	3	3	2	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	4	1
9	0	2	1	0	2	1	0	2	0	3	0	2	0	1	0	0	2	1	2	1	0	3	0	1	1	1	1	1
10	0	0	1	2	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	3	1	1	0	1	2	2	0	3
11	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	2	0	1	1	2	0	0	2	1	3	1	3	1	0	1	1	2	1	0	1	0
12	2	4	2	6	4	4	5	3	5	2	4	2	5	4	4	3	3	2	2	4	5	2	2	3	2	3	3	4

Operator assignment to operations in dynamic cells in Factory 4

<i>n</i>	<i>k</i>																			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
1	1	1	1,2	1,2	1	1	1	1	1,2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1,2	1	1	1
2	2,3	1	2,3	3	1,2	2	2,3	2	3	2	2,3	1	2	2,3	2	1	3	2	1	2
3	4	2	4	3	3	3	4,5	3	4,5	2	4	2	2	4	3	2	4	3	2	2
4	5	3	5	4	4,5	4	5	4	6,7	3,4	5,6	3	3,4	5,6	4	3	4	4,5	3	3
5	6	3	6	5	6,7	5	5	4	8	4	7	4	5	7	5,6	4	5,6	6	4	4
6	7	4,5	7,8	5,6	8	5	6,7	5,6	9	5,6	8	5	6,7	8	7	5	7,8	7	5	5
7	8	6	8,9	7	9	6	7	6	9	7,8,9	9	6	8	9	8	6	9	8,9	6	6
8	8	7	10	7,8	10	7	8,9	7,8	10	9	10	7	8	9,10	9	7	9	10	7	7
9	9	8	4	9	10	8	10,11	9	11	10	11	7,8	9,10	10	10	8	10	11	8	8
10	6	8,9	11	9	10	8		10	12,13	11	12	9	11,12	11	4	9	11	11	9	9
11	10	10	12	10,11	11,12	9		11			12	10		12	11	10	11	11	9	9
12	10	11		12,13		9		12			13	11			12	10		12		10
13	11	11		13		10						12			12	11		12		11
14		12,13				11										12				12
15		13				12										13				13

Operator assignment to operations in dynamic cells in Factory 4 continued

<i>n</i>	<i>k</i>							
	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
1	1	1	1	1	1,2	1	1	1
2	2	1	2,3	1	3	2	2	1
3	3	2,3	4	1	3	3	2	1
4	3	4,5	4	2	4	4,5	3,4	2
5	4,5	6	5,6	3	4	6	5	3
6	6	7,8	6	4	5	7	5	4
7	7	9	7	5	6	7	6	5,6
8	8	9	8,9	6	7	7	7	2
9	8	10	10	7	8	8	8,9	7,8
10		11	11	8	9	9,10	10	9,10
11		11			10	11		11
12		12			11	12		
13						13		
14								
15								

Coordinates of dynamic cell locations in Factory 4

<i>k</i>	x	y	<i>k</i>	x	y
1	4.62	2.4	15	40.94	2.4
2	15.43	9.34	16	29.98	3.03
3	15.43	2.4	17	29.98	10.09
4	16	16.85	18	29.98	17.15
5	16	14.57	19	29.35	23.58
6	15.43	2.4	20	29.98	3.03
7	4.62	8.2	21	40.94	19.8
8	4.62	14	22	29.98	10.09
9	4.62	19.8	23	40.94	8.2
10	15.43	8.2	24	40.94	14
11	4.62	8.2	25	40.94	2.4
12	4.62	14	26	29.98	17.15
13	4.62	19.8	27	40.94	8.2
14	4.62	8.2	28	40.94	14

Machine type at each location in Factory 4

<i>g</i>	<i>k</i>																											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
1	11	12	3	10	2	3	12	1	8	2	6	8	1	7	8	4	7	11	9	9	6	11	4	11	6	6	11	3
2	5	7	3	10	2	10	6	12	8	11	7	11	8	1	7	6	7	3	2	12	12	1	2	6	6	5	1	9
3	5	5	3	4	2	10	6	10	2		7	6	4	1	5	10	9	1	8	10	7	7	2	12	2	4	8	12
4	4	2	3	3	2	3	1	9	6	7	11	1	10	8	2	6	9	1	7	10	6	7	8	3	8	10	5	7
5	5	6	4	1	4	12	1	6	6	7	3	9	10	2	12	1	8	1	9	3	2	9	1	9	10	10	5	4
6	6	6	6	11	4	11	1	11	1	8	3	9	2	2	12	6	2	5	11	7	2	9	5	5	1	2	12	8
7	8	6	10	4	2	7	8	11	1	2	1	11	8	5	11	5	2	2	5	11	10	1	5	11	2	9	8	10
8	2	9	11	4	9	12	3	8	12	2	12	5	8	9	8	4	11	1	11	8	12	3	11	1	9	12	3	10
9	5	7	11	7	9	9	3	7	3	9	5	6	6	12	4	12	11	1	1	10	12	3	1	8	10	5	1	10
10	3	7	2	12	11	10	11	7	12	9	3	5	12	8	7	7	6	8	11	7	2	6	5	8	11	3	8	2
11	8	2	2	12	11	10	12	4	8	9	2	3	12	8	3	11	11	4	12	7	7	10	5	2	7	6	8	2
12	6	2	2	5	3	11	12	9	12	5	12	1	12	12	11	10	12	10	12	3	12	9	3	10	12	6	9	12
13	12	6	9	12	4	2	12	12	12	7	12	12	12	12	12	6	3	9		12	12	1	12	12	12	3	12	12
14	12	11	7	5	12	2	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12		12		12	12	12		12	12	12
15		9	12	5	5	12				12						12	12	12		12		12				12		
16		12	12	12	12	12																						
17		12		12	12																							
18		12		12	12																							



x-coordinate values for machine locations in dynamic cells in Factory 4

$g$	$k$													
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	0.57	10.18	10.18	10.18	10.18	10.18	0.57	0.57	0.57	10.18	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57
2	1.71	11.32	11.32	11.32	11.32	11.32	1.71	1.71	1.71	11.32	1.71	1.71	1.71	1.71
3	2.85	12.46	12.46	12.46	12.46	12.46	2.85	2.85	2.85	12.46	2.85	2.85	2.85	2.85
4	3.99	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	13.6	3.99	3.99	3.99	13.6	3.99	3.99	3.99	3.99
5	5.13	14.74	14.74	14.74	14.74	14.74	5.13	5.13	5.13	14.74	5.13	5.13	5.13	5.13
6	6.27	15.88	15.88	15.88	15.88	15.88	6.27	6.27	6.27	15.88	6.27	6.27	6.27	6.27
7	7.47	17.02	17.02	17.02	17.02	17.02	7.47	7.47	7.47	17.02	7.47	7.47	7.47	7.47
8	7.47	19.36	19.36	18.16	18.16	19.36	7.47	7.47	7.47	19.36	7.47	7.47	7.47	7.47
9	6.27	19.36	19.36	10.89	10.89	19.36	6.27	6.27	6.27	19.36	6.27	6.27	6.27	6.27
10	5.13	19.36	17.02	10.89	10.89	17.02	5.13	5.13	5.13	17.02	5.13	5.13	5.13	5.13
11	3.99	19.36	15.88	10.89	10.89	15.88	3.99	3.99	3.99	15.88	3.99	3.99	3.99	3.99
12	2.85	17.02	14.74	18.16	18.16	14.74	2.85	2.85	2.85	14.74	2.85	2.85	2.85	2.85
13	1.71	15.88	13.6	17.02	17.02	13.6	1.71	1.71	1.71	13.6	1.71	1.71	1.71	1.71
14	0.57	14.74	12.46	15.88	15.88	12.46	0.57	0.57	0.57	12.46	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57
15		13.6	11.32	14.74	14.74	11.32				11.32				
16		12.46	10.18	13.6	13.6	10.18				10.18				
17		11.32		12.46	12.46									
18		10.18		11.32	11.32									

x-coordinate values for machine locations in dynamic cells in Factory 4 continued

<i>g</i>	<i>k</i>													
	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
1	36.59	25.63	25.63	25.63	25.63	25.63	36.59	25.63	36.59	36.59	36.59	25.63	36.59	36.59
2	37.85	26.89	26.89	26.89	26.89	26.89	37.85	26.89	37.85	37.85	37.85	26.89	37.85	37.85
3	39.11	28.15	28.15	28.15	28.15	28.15	39.11	28.15	39.11	39.11	39.11	28.15	39.11	39.11
4	40.37	29.41	29.41	29.41	29.41	29.41	40.37	29.41	40.37	40.37	40.37	29.41	40.37	40.37
5	41.63	30.67	30.67	30.67	30.67	30.67	41.63	30.67	41.63	41.63	41.63	30.67	41.63	41.63
6	42.89	31.93	31.93	31.93	33.13	31.93	42.89	31.93	42.89	42.89	42.89	31.93	42.89	42.89
7	45.35	8.13	8.13	8.13	33.13	8.13	45.35	8.13	45.35	45.35	45.35	8.13	45.35	45.35
8	45.35	8.13	8.13	8.13	30.67	8.13	45.35	8.13	45.35	45.35	45.35	8.13	45.35	45.35
9	42.89	8.13	8.13	8.13	29.41	8.13	42.89	8.13	42.89	42.89	42.89	8.13	42.89	42.89
10	41.63	31.93	31.93	31.93	28.15	31.93	41.63	31.93	41.63	41.63	41.63	31.93	41.63	41.63
11	40.37	30.67	30.67	30.67	26.89	30.67	40.37	30.67	40.37	40.37	40.37	30.67	40.37	40.37
12	39.11	29.41	29.41	29.41	25.63	29.41	39.11	29.41	39.11	39.11	39.11	29.41	39.11	39.11
13	37.85	28.15	28.15	28.15		28.15	37.85	28.15	37.85	37.85	37.85	28.15	37.85	37.85
14	36.59	26.89	26.89	26.89		26.89	36.59	26.89	36.59	36.59		26.89	36.59	36.59
15		25.63	25.63	25.63		25.63		25.63				25.63		

y-coordinate values for machine locations in dynamic cells in Factory 4

$g$	$k$													
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	0.57	8.08	0.57	14.33	14.33	0.57	5.11	12.23	18.03	5.11	12.23	5.11	0.57	18.03
2	0.57	8.08	0.57	14.33	14.33	0.57	5.11	12.23	18.03	5.11	12.23	5.11	0.57	18.03
3	0.57	8.08	0.57	14.33	14.33	0.57	5.11	12.23	18.03	5.11	12.23	5.11	0.57	18.03
4	0.57	8.08	0.57	14.33	14.33	0.57	5.11	12.23	18.03	5.11	12.23	5.11	0.57	18.03
5	0.57	8.08	0.57	14.33	14.33	0.57	5.11	12.23	18.03	5.11	12.23	5.11	0.57	18.03
6	0.57	8.08	0.57	14.33	14.33	0.57	5.11	12.23	18.03	5.11	12.23	5.11	0.57	18.03
7	1.83	8.08	0.57	14.33	14.33	0.57	6.37	13.43	19.23	5.11	13.43	6.37	1.83	19.23
8	2.97	9.28	1.83	14.33	14.33	1.83	7.51	14.57	20.37	6.37	14.57	7.51	2.97	20.37
9	4.11	10.42	2.97	15.53	15.53	2.97	8.71	16.4	22.2	7.51	16.4	8.71	4.11	22.2
10	4.11	11.56	4.11	16.67	16.67	4.11	8.71	16.4	22.2	8.71	16.4	8.71	4.11	22.2
11	4.11	12.7	4.11	17.81	17.81	4.11	8.71	16.4	22.2	8.71	16.4	8.71	4.11	22.2
12	4.11	13.9	4.11	19.01	19.01	4.11	8.71	16.4	22.2	8.71	16.4	8.71	4.11	22.2
13	4.11	13.9	4.11	19.01	19.01	4.11	8.71	16.4	22.2	8.71	16.4	8.71	4.11	22.2
14	4.11	13.9	4.11	19.01	19.01	4.11	8.71	16.4	22.2	8.71	16.4	8.71	4.11	22.2
15		13.9	4.11	19.01	19.01	4.11				8.71				
16		13.9	4.11	19.01	19.01	4.11				8.71				
17		13.9		19.01	19.01									
18		13.9		19.01	19.01									

y-coordinate values for machine locations in dynamic cells in Factory 4 continued

<i>g</i>	<i>k</i>													
	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
1	0.57	0.57	7.63	14.69	22.37	0.57	17.97	7.63	6.37	12.17	0.57	14.69	6.37	12.17
2	0.57	0.57	7.63	14.69	22.37	0.57	17.97	7.63	6.37	12.17	0.57	14.69	6.37	12.17
3	0.57	0.57	7.63	14.69	22.37	0.57	17.97	7.63	6.37	12.17	0.57	14.69	6.37	12.17
4	0.57	0.57	7.63	14.69	22.37	0.57	17.97	7.63	6.37	12.17	0.57	14.69	6.37	12.17
5	0.57	0.57	7.63	14.69	22.37	0.57	17.97	7.63	6.37	12.17	0.57	14.69	6.37	12.17
6	0.57	0.57	7.63	14.69	23.57	0.57	17.97	7.63	6.37	12.17	0.57	14.69	6.37	12.17
7	1.77	1.77	8.83	15.89	24.83	1.77	19.17	8.83	7.57	13.37	1.77	15.89	7.57	13.37
8	3.03	3.03	10.09	17.15	27.29	3.03	20.43	10.09	8.83	14.63	3.03	17.15	8.83	14.63
9	4.23	4.29	11.35	18.41	27.29	4.29	22.89	11.35	10.03	15.83	4.23	18.41	10.03	15.83
10	4.23	5.49	12.55	19.61	27.29	5.49	22.89	12.55	10.03	15.83	4.23	19.61	10.03	15.83
11	4.23	5.49	12.55	19.61	27.29	5.49	22.89	12.55	10.03	15.83	4.23	19.61	10.03	15.83
12	4.23	5.49	12.55	19.61	27.29	5.49	22.89	12.55	10.03	15.83	4.23	19.61	10.03	15.83
13	4.23	5.49	12.55	19.61		5.49	22.89	12.55	10.03	15.83	4.23	19.61	10.03	15.83
14	4.23	5.49	12.55	19.61		5.49	22.89	12.55	10.03	15.83		19.61	10.03	15.83
15		5.49	12.55	19.61		5.49		12.55				19.61		

### Output data of Factory 5

Number of machines of each machine type in the dynamic cells in Factory 5

<i>i</i>	<i>k</i>																				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	1	2	0	2	1	1	2	0	1	0	0
2	1	0	4	2	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	2	2	0	0
3	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0
4	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	1
5	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	4
6	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	2
7	1	1	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	3	2	1	0	1
8	1	0	0	0	3	2	1	1	3	1	0	0	1	1	2	2	1	0	0	2	0
9	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	2	3	0	2	0	3	2	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
10	0	4	0	0	0	0	5	0	3	2	2	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
11	4	0	3	2	2	1	2	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	1
12	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	0	2	2	0
13	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	1	1	0	4	4	2	1	1	0	0	2	2	0
14	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	3
15	4	3	4	2	4	3	3	2	5	3	5	3	5	5	7	2	2	3	6	4	3

Operator assignment to operations in dynamic cells in Factory 5

<i>n</i>	<i>k</i>															
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	1	1,2	1	1,2	1	1,2	1,2	1,2	1,2	1	1,2	1	1	1	1	1,2
2	2	2	1,2	3,4	2	3,4	2	3	3	2,3	3	2	2,3	2	2	3
3	3	3,4	3,4	5,6	3	5	3	3	3	4,5	3	3,4	4	3	3	4
4	4	5	5,6	7	4	6,7	4	4	4	6	3	5,6	5	4	4,5	4
5	5	6,7	7	8,9	5,6	8	5	5	5	7	4,5	7	6	5	6	5,6
6	6	7	8	10	7	8	6	6	5	8	6	8,9	7	6	7	7
7	7	8,9	9	11	7	9	6	7	6,7	9	7	10	8,9	7	8,9	8
8	8	10	10,11	12	8,9	10	7	8	8	10	8	11	10	8	10	9,10
9	9	11,12	12,13	13	9	11	7	8	8	11	9,10	12	11,12	9	11,12	11
10	10	12	14,15	13	10	12,13	8,9	9	8	6	11,12	13,14	12	10,11	13,14	12
11	11			14	10	13	10,11	10	9	12,13	13	15	13	12	15	13
12	11				10		12	11,12	10		14	16	14	13,14	16,17	14
13	12				11		12,13	13	11			17,18				15
14					11			14	12							16
15					12			14	13							
16					13				14							
17					14											
18					14											

Operator assignment to operations in dynamic cells in Factory 5 continued

<i>n</i>	<i>k</i>				
	17	18	19	20	21
1	1,2	1	1	1	1
2	3	2	2	2	2
3	4	2	3	3	3,4
4	5,6	3	4	4	5
5	7	4	5	5	6,7
6	8,9	4	5	6	8
7	10	5	6	7	9
8	11,12	6,7	7	8	10
9	12	8,9	8,9	9	11
10	13	10	10	9	12,13
11	13	11	11,12	10,11	14,15
12	14	12	13	12,13	15
13		13,14	14,15	14	
14			13	15,16	

Coordinates of dynamic cell locations in Factory 5

<i>k</i>	x	y	<i>k</i>	x	y
1	15.72	9.02	12	15.72	2.93
2	4.71	2.34	13	4.71	25.61
3	4.71	8.02	14	4.71	19.34
4	4.71	2.34	15	15.72	26.79
5	5.3	13.88	16	15.72	33.65
6	4.71	8.02	17	15.72	2.93
7	15.72	8.02	18	4.71	33.06
8	15.72	8.02	19	15.72	26.79
9	15.72	2.34	20	15.72	33.65
10	15.72	9.02	21	4.71	19.34
11	15.72	2.34			

Machine type at each location in Factory 5

$g$	$k$																				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	11	5	1	9	1	13	10	6	15	14	10	5	13	15	1	8	12	6	2	3	7
2	11	5	7	9	15	13	10	6	15	10	10	6	9	1	10	8	12	14	6	4	11
3	11	7	7	9	8	2	6	8	13	10	3	13	9	6	7	11	6	5	7	8	5
4	8	10	2	9	6	2	10	9	8	6	7	13	10	1	15	2	8	4	12	10	5
5	14	10	2	2	8	7	10	13	8	6	3	4	8	13	15	1	1	3	1	6	4
6	11	3	11	2	8	8	10	7	8	13	15	4	13	13	6	2	1	7	12	8	6
7	15	4	11	1	12	8	11	1	1	1	15	11	13	15	13	2	4	14	2	4	6
8	15	4	11	11	4	3	8	12	10	14	11	1	15	5	8	12	11	14	15	3	14
9	7	14	14	11	11	3	12	13	10	8	1	1	15	8	8	4	11	14	13	9	14
10	13	10	5	12	11	2	11	12	9	11	5	10	14	9	15	12	7	2	13	15	5
11	2	10	2	7	12	11	2	3	5	5	14	3	10	9	2	12	12	2	5	13	5
12	15	1	2	9	13	5	2	9	9	15	14	3	10	15	2	13	12	9	15	13	15
13	15	15	15	6	2	15	3	5	10	15	9	13	9	15	5	7	9	7	15	12	15
14		15	15	15	13	15	3	5	9	15	9	13	15	15	5	11	7	15	10	12	15
15		15	15	15	7	15	15	11	15		15	13	15		15	7	7	15	15	15	
16			15		12		15	15	1		15	15			15	15	15	15	15	15	
17					15		15	15	15		15	15			15	15	15		15	15	
18					9				15			15									
19					15																
20					15																



x-coordinate values for machine locations in dynamic cells in Factory 5

<i>g</i>	<i>k</i>										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	12.19	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	0.59	11.01	11.01	11.01	12.19	11.01
2	13.37	1.77	1.77	1.77	1.77	1.77	12.19	12.19	12.19	13.37	12.19
3	14.55	2.95	2.95	2.95	2.95	2.95	13.37	13.37	13.37	14.55	13.37
4	15.73	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.13	14.55	14.55	14.55	15.73	14.55
5	16.91	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.31	15.73	15.73	15.73	16.91	15.73
6	18.09	6.49	6.49	6.49	6.49	6.49	16.91	16.91	16.91	18.09	16.91
7	20.44	7.67	7.67	7.67	7.67	7.67	18.09	18.09	18.09	20.44	18.09
8	20.44	10.02	10.02	10.02	8.85	10.02	19.27	19.27	19.27	20.44	19.27
9	18.09	10.02	10.02	10.02	11.2	10.02	11.20	11.20	11.20	18.09	11.20
10	16.91	7.67	7.67	7.67	11.2	7.67	11.20	11.20	11.20	16.91	11.20
11	15.73	6.49	6.49	6.49	11.2	6.49	19.27	19.27	19.27	15.73	19.27
12	14.55	5.31	5.31	5.31	11.2	5.31	18.09	18.09	18.09	14.55	18.09
13	13.37	4.13	4.13	4.13	8.85	4.13	16.91	16.91	16.91	13.37	16.91
14		2.95	2.95	2.95	7.67	2.95	15.73	15.73	15.73	12.19	15.73
15		1.77	1.77	1.77	6.49	1.77	14.55	14.55	14.55		14.55
16			1.77		5.31		13.37	13.37	13.37		13.37
17					4.13		12.19	12.19	12.19		12.19
18					2.95				11		
19					1.77						
20					0.59						

x-coordinate values for machine locations in dynamic cells in Factory 5 continued

<i>g</i>	<i>k</i>									
	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	11.01	0.59	0.59	11.01	11.01	11.01	0.59	11.01	11.01	0.59
2	12.19	1.77	1.77	12.19	12.19	12.19	1.77	12.19	12.19	1.77
3	13.37	2.95	2.95	13.37	13.37	13.37	2.95	13.37	13.37	2.95
4	14.55	4.13	4.13	14.55	14.55	14.55	4.13	14.55	14.55	4.13
5	15.73	5.31	5.31	15.73	15.73	15.73	5.31	15.73	15.73	5.31
6	16.91	6.49	6.49	16.91	16.91	16.91	6.49	16.91	16.91	6.49
7	18.09	8.84	8.84	18.09	18.09	18.09	8.84	18.09	18.09	8.84
8	20.44	8.84	8.84	20.44	20.44	20.44	8.84	20.44	20.44	8.84
9	20.44	8.84	6.49	20.44	20.44	20.44	8.84	20.44	20.44	6.49
10	20.44	8.84	5.31	20.44	20.44	20.44	8.84	20.44	20.44	5.31
11	18.09	6.49	4.13	18.09	18.09	18.09	8.84	18.09	18.09	4.13
12	16.91	5.31	2.95	16.91	16.91	16.91	8.84	16.91	16.91	2.95
13	15.73	4.13	1.77	15.73	15.73	15.73	6.49	15.73	15.73	1.77
14	14.55	2.95	0.59	14.55	14.55	14.55	5.31	14.55	14.55	0.59
15	13.37	1.77		13.37	13.37	13.37	4.13	13.37	13.37	
16	12.19			12.19	12.19	12.19	2.95	12.19	12.19	
17	11.01			11.01	11.01	11.01		11.01	11.01	

y-coordinate values for machine locations in dynamic cells in Factory 5

<i>g</i>	<i>k</i>										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
1	11.94	0.58	6.26	0.58	11.94	6.26	6.26	6.26	0.58	11.94	0.58
2	11.94	0.58	6.26	0.58	11.94	6.26	6.26	6.26	0.58	11.94	0.58
3	11.94	0.58	6.26	0.58	11.94	6.26	6.26	6.26	0.58	11.94	0.58
4	11.94	0.58	6.26	0.58	11.94	6.26	6.26	6.26	0.58	11.94	0.58
5	11.94	0.58	6.26	0.58	11.94	6.26	6.26	6.26	0.58	11.94	0.58
6	11.94	0.58	6.26	0.58	11.94	6.26	6.26	6.26	0.58	11.94	0.58
7	13.11	0.58	6.26	0.58	11.94	6.26	6.26	6.26	0.58	13.11	0.58
8	14.29	1.75	7.43	1.75	11.94	7.43	6.26	6.26	0.58	14.29	0.58
9	15.46	2.93	8.61	2.93	13.1	8.61	7.43	7.43	1.75	15.46	1.75
10	15.46	4.10	9.78	4.10	14.3	9.78	8.61	8.61	2.93	15.46	2.93
11	15.46	4.10	9.78	4.10	15.5	9.78	9.78	9.78	4.10	15.46	4.10
12	15.46	4.10	9.78	4.10	16.7	9.78	9.78	9.78	4.10	15.46	4.10
13	15.46	4.10	9.78	4.10	15.46	9.78	9.78	9.78	4.10	15.46	4.10
14		4.10	9.78	4.10	15.46	9.78	9.78	9.78	4.10	15.46	4.10
15		4.10	9.78	4.10	15.46	9.78	9.78	9.78	4.10		4.10
16			9.78		15.46		9.78	9.78	4.10		4.10
17					15.46		9.78	9.78	4.10		4.10
18					15.46				4.10		
19					15.46						
20					15.46						

y-coordinate values for machine locations in dynamic cells in Factory 5 continued

<i>g</i>	<i>k</i>									
	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	17.58	23.25	17.58	24.26	31.30	17.58	30.12	24.26	31.30	17.58
2	17.58	23.25	17.58	24.26	31.30	17.58	30.12	24.26	31.30	17.58
3	17.58	23.25	17.58	24.26	31.30	17.58	30.12	24.26	31.30	17.58
4	17.58	23.25	17.58	24.26	31.30	17.58	30.12	24.26	31.30	17.58
5	17.58	23.25	17.58	24.26	31.30	17.58	30.12	24.26	31.30	17.58
6	17.58	23.25	17.58	24.26	31.30	17.58	30.12	24.26	31.30	17.58
7	17.58	24.43	18.75	24.26	31.30	17.58	31.29	24.26	31.30	18.75
8	18.75	25.61	19.93	25.43	32.47	18.75	32.47	25.43	32.47	19.93
9	19.93	26.79	21.10	26.61	33.65	19.93	33.65	26.61	33.65	21.10
10	21.11	27.96	21.10	27.79	34.83	21.11	34.83	27.79	34.83	21.10
11	22.28	27.96	21.10	28.96	36.00	22.28	36.00	28.96	36.00	21.10
12	22.28	27.96	21.10	28.96	36.00	22.28	36.00	28.96	36.00	21.10
13	22.28	27.96	21.10	28.96	36.00	22.28	36.00	28.96	36.00	21.10
14	22.28	27.96	21.10	28.96	36.00	22.28	36.00	28.96	36.00	21.10
15	22.28	27.96		28.96	36.00	22.28	36.00	28.96	36.00	
16	22.28			28.96	36.00	22.28	36.00	28.96	36.00	
17	22.28			28.96	36.00	22.28		28.96	36.00	

### Output data of Factory 6

Number of machines of each machine type in the dynamic cells in Factory 6

$i$	$k$																				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	2	0	1	0	0	2	2	1	1	1	2	0	0	1
2	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	4
3	2	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	1
4	1	2	1	2	1	2	0	0	1	3	1	1	1	0	1	3	0	2	0	4	1
5	1	1	1	2	1	2	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
6	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	4	0	1	1	2	0	3	1	0	2	0	1	0	0
7	1	2	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	1	1	4	1
8	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	2
9	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0
10	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	2	0	1	2	2	0
11	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	3	0	0
12	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	3	1	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	1
13	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	4	0	2	0	0
14	0	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
15	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	3	0	0	3	1	2	0
16	3	3	2	3	4	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	2	4	2	4	2	3	3	3	2

Operator assignment to operations in dynamic cells in Factory 6

<i>n</i>	<i>k</i>																				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1,2	1	1
2	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2,3	2	2	2	2,3	3	2,3	2
3	2	3	3	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	3	4,5	2	3	3	4	4	4	3,4
4	3	4	3	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	4	6	3	4	4	5	5,6	5	5
5	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	5	7	4	5	5	6	7	6	6
6	4	5	4	3	5	3	4	2	3	3	3	2	5	7	5	5	6,7	7	7	7	7
7	5	6	5	4	6	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	5	7	6	6	8	8	8	8,9	8
8	6	7	5	4	6	4	5	3	3	3	4	3	6	8	7	7	9	9,10	9,10	10	9,10
9	7	8	6	4	7	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	7	9	8	8	10	11	11	11	11
10	8	7	6	5	7	4	6	4	4	4	4	4	8	9	8	9	11	5	12	12	11
11	9	7	7	5	8	5	6	5	4	4	5	5	9	9	9	9	12	12	13	13,14	11
12	10	9	7	6	8	5		5	5	5	5	5	10	10	10	10	13	13	14	15	12
13	11	10	8	6	9	6		5		5	5	5	10	11	11	11	13	14	15,16	15	13
14	11	10	8	6	9	6		6		5	6		7	12	12	12	14	14		16	
15	12		9	7	10	7					6		11	13	13	13	14	15			
16	13			7	10	7															

Coordinates of dynamic cell locations in Factory 6

$k$	$x$	$y$	$k$	$x$	$y$
1	5.5	2.25	12	16.88	7.75
2	16.88	2.25	13	17.5	17.25
3	5.5	7.75	14	2.2	17.875
4	5.5	13.25	15	17.5	24.75
5	5.5	7.75	16	17.5	17.25
6	5.5	2.25	17	2.2	24.625
7	16.25	13.25	18	2.2	17.875
8	16.88	7.75	19	2.2	24.625
9	16.25	13.25	20	2.2	31.375
10	16.88	2.25	21	17.5	24.75

Machine type at each location in Factory 6

$g$	$k$																				
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	1	14	14	2	3	14	14	6	10	4	14	12	1	13	7	13	12	1	11	16	1
2	2	10	5	5	5	6	3	3	5	15	6	4	14	5	3	4	5	15	11	4	8
3	2	9	2	5	2	6	1	10	15	6	4	6	9	5	8	13	7	15	15	4	14
4	5	4	3	7	10	2	8	7	16	12	9	14	11	6	11	11	2	16	7	15	14
5	7	7	3	4	8	13	7	6	9	9	9	6	15	6	1	10	7	15	9	14	7
6	11	14	4	4	16	5	1	6	14	13	11	8	8	1	7	1	13	2	9	9	4
7	6	7	8	16	16	10	11	6	4	5	16	9	10	12	15	5	13	4	3	7	3
8	12	11	10	11	9	5	7	12	5	16	12	12	15	15	3	14	2	12	10	10	12
9	16	4	2	11	1	1	8	1	9	1	11	3	3	6	12	2	1	8	6	10	2
10	3	5	11	12	11	3	16	1	13	4	11	10	7	1	5	10	13	8	13	7	2
11	3	16	9	9	4	4	16	5	16	4	10	13	14	16	15	4	6	10	13	4	2
12	4	15	13	6	12	4		16	16	8	10	16	4	12	6	4	9	8	5	15	8
13	10	16	1	3	11	11		16		16	5	16	12	16	4	16	6	16	10	7	2
14	15	16	16	10	13	12				16	7		1	3	16	3	12	4	11	7	16
15	16		16	16	16	16					16		16	14	16	16	13	1	16	4	16
16	16			16	16	16							16	16		16	16	7	16	16	
17														16			16	16	16	16	
18																		16			



x-coordinate values for machine locations in dynamic cells in Factory 6

$g$	$k$											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	0.63	12.63	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.63	12.63	12.63	12.63	12.63	0.63	12.63
2	1.88	13.88	1.88	1.88	1.88	1.88	13.88	13.88	13.88	13.88	1.88	13.88
3	3.13	15.13	3.13	3.13	3.13	3.13	15.13	15.13	15.13	15.13	3.13	15.13
4	4.38	16.38	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.38	16.38	16.38	16.38	16.38	4.38	16.38
5	5.63	17.63	5.63	5.63	5.63	5.63	17.63	17.63	17.63	17.63	5.63	17.63
6	6.88	18.88	6.88	6.88	6.88	6.88	20.00	18.88	20.00	18.88	6.88	18.88
7	8.13	20.00	8.13	8.13	8.13	8.13	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	8.13	20.00
8	10.50	20.00	10.50	10.50	10.50	10.50	17.63	20.00	17.63	20.00	10.50	20.00
9	10.50	18.88	10.50	10.50	10.50	10.50	16.38	18.88	16.38	18.88	10.50	18.88
10	8.13	17.63	8.13	8.13	8.13	8.13	15.13	17.63	15.13	17.63	8.13	17.63
11	6.88	16.38	6.88	6.88	6.88	6.88	13.88	16.38	13.88	16.38	6.88	16.38
12	5.63	15.13	5.63	5.63	5.63	5.63		15.13	12.63	15.13	5.63	15.13
13	4.38	13.88	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.38		13.88		13.88	4.38	13.88
14	3.13	12.63	3.13	3.13	3.13	3.13				12.63	3.13	
15	1.88		1.88	1.88	1.88	1.88					1.88	
16	0.63			0.63	0.63	0.63						

x-coordinate values for machine locations in dynamic cells in Factory 6 continued

<i>g</i>	<i>k</i>								
	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	12.63	0.63	12.63	12.63	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.63	12.63
2	13.88	1.88	13.88	13.88	1.88	1.88	1.88	1.88	13.88
3	15.13	3.13	15.13	15.13	3.13	3.13	3.13	3.13	15.13
4	16.38	4.38	16.38	16.38	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.38	16.38
5	17.63	5.63	17.63	17.63	5.63	5.63	5.63	5.63	17.63
6	18.88	6.88	18.88	18.88	6.88	6.88	6.88	6.88	18.88
7	20.13	8.13	20.13	20.13	8.13	8.13	8.13	8.13	20.13
8	22.50	10.50	22.50	22.50	10.50	10.50	10.50	10.50	22.50
9	22.50	10.50	22.50	22.50	10.50	10.50	10.50	10.50	22.50
10	20.13	10.50	20.13	20.13	10.50	10.50	10.50	10.50	20.13
11	18.88	8.13	18.88	18.88	8.13	8.13	8.13	8.13	18.88
12	17.63	6.88	17.63	17.63	6.88	6.88	6.88	6.88	17.63
13	16.38	5.63	16.38	16.38	5.63	5.63	5.63	5.63	16.38
14	15.13	4.38	15.13	15.13	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.38	15.13
15	13.88	3.13	13.88	13.88	3.13	3.13	3.13	3.13	13.88
16	12.63	1.88		12.63	1.88	1.88	1.88	1.88	
17		0.63			0.63	0.63	0.63	0.63	

y-coordinate values for machine locations in dynamic cells in Factory 6

$g$	$k$											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	0.50	0.50	6.00	11.50	6.00	0.50	11.50	6.00	11.50	0.50	11.50	6.00
2	0.50	0.50	6.00	11.50	6.00	0.50	11.50	6.00	11.50	0.50	11.50	6.00
3	0.50	0.50	6.00	11.50	6.00	0.50	11.50	6.00	11.50	0.50	11.50	6.00
4	0.50	0.50	6.00	11.50	6.00	0.50	11.50	6.00	11.50	0.50	11.50	6.00
5	0.50	0.50	6.00	11.50	6.00	0.50	11.50	6.00	11.50	0.50	11.50	6.00
6	0.50	0.50	6.00	11.50	6.00	0.50	12.63	6.00	12.63	0.50	11.50	6.00
7	0.50	1.63	6.00	11.50	6.00	0.50	13.87	9.50	13.87	1.63	11.50	9.50
8	1.63	2.88	9.50	12.63	9.50	1.63	15.00	10.75	15.00	2.88	12.63	10.75
9	2.88	4.00	10.75	13.88	10.75	2.88	15.00	9.50	15.00	4.00	13.88	9.50
10	4.00	4.00	9.50	15.00	9.50	4.00	15.00	9.50	15.00	4.00	15.00	9.50
11	4.00	4.00	9.50	15.00	9.50	4.00	15.00	9.50	15.00	4.00	15.00	9.50
12	4.00	4.00	9.50	15.00	9.50	4.00		9.50	15.00	4.00	15.00	9.50
13	4.00	4.00	9.50	15.00	9.50	4.00		9.50		4.00	15.00	9.50
14	4.00	4.00	9.50	15.00	9.50	4.00				4.00	15.00	
15	4.00		9.50	15.00	9.50	4.00					15.00	
16	4.00			15.00	6.00	4.00					15.00	

y-coordinate values for machine locations in dynamic cells in Factory 6 continued

<i>g</i>	<i>k</i>								
	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	15.63	15.50	21.13	15.63	22.38	15.50	22.38	29.13	21.13
2	15.63	15.50	21.13	15.63	22.38	15.50	22.38	29.13	21.13
3	15.63	15.50	21.13	15.63	22.38	15.50	22.38	29.13	21.13
4	15.63	15.50	21.13	15.63	22.38	15.50	22.38	29.13	21.13
5	15.63	15.50	21.13	15.63	22.38	15.50	22.38	29.13	21.13
6	15.63	15.50	21.13	15.63	22.38	15.50	22.38	29.13	21.13
7	15.63	15.50	21.13	15.63	22.38	15.50	22.38	29.13	21.13
8	16.63	16.63	22.13	16.63	23.38	16.63	23.38	30.13	22.13
9	17.88	17.88	23.38	17.88	24.63	17.88	24.63	31.38	23.38
10	19.00	19.13	23.50	19.00	25.88	19.13	25.88	32.63	23.50
11	19.00	20.25	23.50	19.00	27.00	20.25	27.00	33.75	23.50
12	19.00	20.25	23.50	19.00	27.00	20.25	27.00	33.75	23.50
13	19.00	20.25	23.50	19.00	27.00	20.25	27.00	33.75	23.50
14	19.00	20.25	23.50	19.00	27.00	20.25	27.00	33.75	23.50
15	19.00	20.25	23.50	19.00	27.00	20.25	27.00	33.75	23.50
16	19.00	20.25		19.00	27.00	20.25	27.00	33.75	
17		20.25			27.00	20.25	27.00	33.75	