# DEVELOPMENT OF A THEORETICAL PACKING MODEL INCORPORATING THE EFFECT OF VIBRATION, SHAPE AND SURFACE TEXTURE

Hetti Arachchige Chamod Kosala Hettiarachchi

(148048E)

Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

February 2018

# DEVELOPMENT OF A THEORETICAL PACKING MODEL INCORPORATING THE EFFECT OF VIBRATION, SHAPE AND SURFACE TEXTURE

Hetti Arachchige Chamod Kosala Hettiarachchi

(148048E)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Civil Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

February 2018

**DECLARATION** 

"I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without

acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other

University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it

does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except

where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and

distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the

right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books)."

Signature:	Date:
The above candidate has carried out research for	or the Doctoral thesis under my supervision.

Name of the supervisor: Prof. W.K. Mampearachchi

Signature of the supervisor: Date:

i

#### **Abstract**

Determination of packing density of a particulate mixture is still an open problem for researchers and scientists. The complex and random nature of particle behavior in a mixture and effect of various external factors have made it more and more complicated to develop theoretical and analytical models to predict the packing density. This study focused on the effect of vibration frequency, particle shape and surface texture on packing density. Initially, laboratory experiments were carried out to determine the use of packing concepts in concrete mixture design for interlocking concrete block pavers (ICBP). The approach found to be successful. However, determination of packing density of aggregate mixtures in laboratory was time consuming and difficult. Hence, the use of packing models to determine the packing density was studied. Validity of existing packing models for the aggregate mixtures was studied and as a result the 3-parameter model was found to be the only model that incorporates loosening effect, wall effect and wedging effect and the percentage error of 3-parameter model found to be lesser than that of Toufar model and compressible packing model. Hence, the 3-parameter model was selected for the modification. The results obtained from experiments were then analyzed and relationships were developed isolating the effect of vibration, surface texture and particle shape. Three effects were combined, and the packing density variations were obtained to incorporate the effects and modify the 3-parameter model. The packing density and vibration shows a 3<sup>rd</sup> order polynomial behavior while shape and surface texture shows a linear relationship with packing density. The developed model was validated for more than 300 independent data. The behavior of loosening effect, wall effect and wedging effect with vibration, surface texture and shape were also analyzed. The wall effect is affected by both surface texture and vibration frequency. The loosening effect is affected only by particle shape and the wedging effect does not affect by any of these factors.

Key words: Packing density, Vibration, Shape, Surface texture, Packing model

#### **DEDICATION**

To my mother who could not get a better sleep for the last 28 years.

To my father who went through all the trouble to made me who I am today.

To my wife who encouraged and supported me through thick and thin.

To my supervisor who believed in me and empowered me to achieve this dream.

To people of Sri Lanka whom I owe a debt of gratitude for paying for my education.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Prof. W.K. Mampearachchi for the continuous support, patience and motivation not only in academic field but also in difficult life situations. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. I could not have imagined having a better supervisor and a mentor for my Ph.D. study.

Besides my supervisor, I would like to thank my progress review committee: Prof. S.M.A. Nanayakkara, Dr. Nihal Somarathna, and Prof. Ashoka Perera, for their insightful comments and encouragement, but also for the hard questions which incented me to widen my research from various perspectives.

I wish to express my sincere thanks to the laboratory staff of Highway Engineering Laboratory and Materials Testing Laboratory of Department of Civil of Engineering, University of Moratuwa. I also express my sincere gratitude to the academic and non-academic staff of Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa for the continuous support and guidance throughout my undergraduate and postgraduate life.

I would like to thank the staff of SMS holdings (Pvt.) Ltd. for giving me the opportunity to use their factory premises and machines to carry out my research work.

I thank my fellow research colleagues for the stimulating discussions, for all the support they have given me to successfully complete my experimental work, and for all the fun we have had in the last four years.

Finally, I must thank my parents for their love and support throughout my life. Thank you both for giving me strength to reach for the stars and chase my dreams. My brothers deserve my wholehearted thanks as well. Also, I would like to thank my loving life partner Shankani for her understanding and support through thick and thin of my journey.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION
Abstract i
DEDICATIONii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTiv
LIST OF FIGURES
LIST OF TABLESxiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONSxv
CHAPTER 1
1 INTRODUCTION
1.1 General
1.2 Objectives2
1.3 Significance of the research
1.4 Thesis overview
CHAPTER 2
2 LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1 Introduction
2.2 Particle optimization methods
2.2.1 Optimization curves
2.2.2 Discrete element models
2.2.3 Particle packing models
2.3 Overview of packing optimization in concrete mixture design14
2.4 Particle packing and its influence on concrete properties

	2	.4.1	Particle packing density and water demand	17
	2	.4.2	Packing density and cement spacing	18
	2.5	A sui	mmary of existing packing models	21
	2.6	Appl	icability of existing packing models for ICBP	21
	2.7	Deve	lopment of 3-parameter model	26
	2	.7.1	Conventional model with 2 parameters	26
	2	.7.2	The wedging effect	30
	2	.7.3	3-parameter model	31
	2.8	Effec	et of vibration, shape and surface texture on packing density	33
	2	.8.1	Effect of vibration on packing density	33
	2	.8.2	Effect of shape on packing density	36
	2	.8.3	Effect of surface texture on packing density	46
	2.9	Sumi	mary of findings	51
С	HAF	TER 3	3	52
3	M	IETHO	DDOLOGY	52
	3.1	Intro	duction	52
	3.2	Meas	surement of solid volume of particles (V <sub>S</sub> )	52
	3.3	Meas	surement of total volume of the mixture (V <sub>T</sub> )	53
	3.4	Appl	ication of vibration frequency	54
	3.5	Meas	surement of shape factor	55
	3.6	Meas	surement of surface texture of particles using British pendulum test	57
С	HAP	TER 4	4	60
4	V	ALID	ITY OF PACKING MODELS	60

	4.1	Intro	ductionduction	60
	4.2	ICBF	Manufacturing process and characteristics	61
	4.3	Dete	rmination of mix design characteristics	62
	4	.3.1	Optimum Compression and Vibration	63
	4	.3.2	Coarse aggregate to fine aggregate ratio	64
	4	.3.3	Natural sand to manufactured sand proportion	64
	4	.3.4	Water/Cement Ratio	65
	4	.3.5	Effect of cement paste on strength	66
	4.4	Estin	nation of field packing density in laboratory (Laboratory packing)	67
	4.5	Com	parison of packing densities from models and experiments	67
	4.6	Deter	rmination of compressive strength of ICBP	68
	4.7	Sumi	mary of findings	72
	4.8	Reco	mmendations	73
C	HAI	PTER 5	5	74
5	C	OMBI	INED EFFECT OF VIBRATION FREQUENCY, SIZE RATIO AND	
	L	ARGE	E PARTICLE VOLUME FRACTION	74
	5.1	Intro	duction	74
	5.2	Vibra	ation time	75
	5.3	Cont	ainer wall effect	76
	5.4	Anal	ysis and development of model	78
	5	.4.1	Validation of the model	89
	5	.4.2	Cross check of the model	89
	5	.4.3	Limitations of the model	90

	5.5	Design graphs	90
	5.6	Summary of findings and recommendations	93
C	HAP	TER 6	95
6	C	OMBINED EFFECT OF SHAPE, SURFACE TEXTURE AND VIBRATION	
	0	N PACKING DENSITY	95
	6.1	Introduction	95
	6.2	Development of particle packing models	96
	6.3	Effect of particle shape on packing density	96
	6.4	Effect of surface texture on packing density	00
	6.5	Combined effect of vibration, shape and surface texture on packing density1	01
	6.6	Modification of 3-parameter model	02
	6.	6.1 Behavior of wall effect	10
	6.	6.2 Behavior of loosening effect	12
	6.	6.3 Behavior of wedging effect	13
C	HAP	TER 71	15
7	C	ONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS1	15
	7.1	Conclusions	15
	7.2	Limitations of the model and recommendations	16
R	EFEI	RENCES1	17
A	.PPE	NDICES1	26
	App	endix A1	26
	P	acking density results with respect to vibration1	26
	V	ibration model correlation analysis1	28

Design chart tables (Vibration analysis)	134
Appendix B	137
Packing density results with respect to particle shape	137
Shape factor model correlation analysis	137
Appendix C	140
Packing density results with respect to Surface texture (BPN)	140
Surface texture model correlation analysis	141
Appendix D	144
Modified 3-parameter model correlation analysis	144
Appendix E	161
Mix design sample calculation	161

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Ideal packing curves (Fennis & Walraven, 2012)	8
Figure 2.2: Discrete element models creating a particle structure without particles contact	0
Figure 2.3: The volume of a flowable mixture compared to the volume occupied by a stable particle structure containing the same particles (Fennis, 2011)17	7
Figure 2.4: The flow value as a function of $\phi_{mix}/\alpha_t$ for mortar mixtures (Fennis, 2011) 18	8
Figure 2.5: Cube compressive strength of mortars in relation to CSF (Fennis, 2011)20	0
Figure 2.6: The volume occupied by a stable particle structure with coarse and fine fillers (F- Filler, C- Cement)( Fennis, 2011)	0
Figure 2.7: Summary of packing models	2
Figure 2.8: Loosening effect	7
Figure 2.9: Wall effect	7
Figure 2.10: Packing density against volumetric fraction of fine particles (Kwan et al., 2013)	0
Figure 2.11: Wedging effect	2
Figure 2.12: Visual assessment of particle shape (a) Derived from Measurements of sphericity and roundness, (b) Based upon visual observations	8
Figure 2.13: Form triangle of Sneed and Folk (1958)	1
Figure 2.14: Particle Shape as defined by Wadell sphericity (ψ) and Aschenbrenner shape factor (F) (Ozol, 1978)	2.
Figure 2.15: Convexity of a particle4:	
Figure 2.16: Particle packing volume and macro-surface voids and micro-surface voids	
enclosed by packing volume membrane (Lamond & Pielert, 2006)47	7

Figure 2.17: Measurement method for characterizing the surface texture of an aggregate
(Wright, 1955)49
Figure 3.1: Measurement of total volume
Figure 3.2: Schematic diagram of experimental setup
Figure 3.3: Dimensions of a particle
Figure 3.4: Selected aggregates for the experiment (a) Shape factor 0.15 (b) Shape factor
0.3 (c) Shape factor 0.45 (d) Shape factor 0.75 (e) Shape factor 0.957
Figure 3.5: British pendulum test apparatus
Figure 3.6: Samples prepared for different surface textures
Figure 3.7: The coated spherical beads
Figure 4.1: Hydraulic machine used in industry
Figure 4.2: 0.45 Power curve and aggregate size distribution at an industrial block
manufacturer62
Figure 4.3: Packing density vs. Vibration
Figure 4.4: Packing density vs. Compression
Figure 4.5: Packing density vs. Fine aggregate percentage (%) in the mix64
Figure 4.6: Results of box test for different Water/Cement ratios65
Figure 4.7: Comparison of packing models
Figure 4.8: Sample ICBP using optimized concrete mixture
Figure 4.9: Gradation of aggregates used in the study and optimized blend72
Figure 5.2: Packing density variation with respect to the large particle diameter to
container diameter ratio (d/D)78
Figure 5.3: Effect of vibration frequency on packing density for various large particle
fractions at size ratio of 0.15.

Figure 5.4: Effect of large particle fraction and size ratio on packing density8	3
Figure 5.5: Variation of A, B, C and D parameters with size ratio	3
Figure 5.6: Variation of $A_1$ , $A_2$ , $A_3$ , $B_1$ , $B_2$ , $D_1$ , $D_3$ with vibration frequency8	7
Figure 5.7: Correlation between model and experimental results	9
Figure 5.8: Model predicted packing density with size ratio	0
Figure 5.9: Design graphs for various vibration frequencies	3
Figure 6.1: Effect of shape on packing density9	7
Figure 6.2: Variation of "m" with respect to large particle fraction9	8
Figure 6.3: Variation of "c" with respect to large particle fraction9	8
Figure 6.4: Correlation of model and experimental results	9
Figure 6.5: Effect of Surface texture on packing density	0
Figure 6.6: Correlation of model and experimental results	1
Figure 6.7: Variation of parameter a3 with respect to Shape factor10	3
Figure 6.8: Variation of parameter b2 and b3 with respect to Shape factor10	3
Figure 6.9: The variation of b <sub>1</sub> with respect to surface texture10	4
Figure 6.10: Variation of W with shape factor	6
Figure 6.11: Variation of X with surface texture	7
Figure 6.12: Variation of Y with vibration frequency	7
Figure 6.13: Variation of Z with vibration frequency	8
Figure 6.14: The correlation between experimental packing density results and model	
predictions10	8
Figure 6.15: Verification of modified 3-parameter model	9

Figure 6.16: The wall effect variation with respect to size ratio for various surface	
textures	111
Figure 6.17: The wall effect variation with respect to size ratio for various vibration	
frequencies	.112
Figure 6.18: The loosening effect variation with respect to size ratio for various shape	e
factors	.113
Figure 6.19: The wedging effect variation with respect to size ratio	.114

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Computer applications and packing models used in the industry	13
Table 2.2: Shape factor variation with particle shape	42
Table 3.1: Vibration analysis data	55
Table 3.2: Shape analysis data	56
Table 3.3: Surface texture analysis data	58
Table 4.1: Effect of cement paste on strength	66
Table 4.2: Comparison of packing models with experimental results	69
Table 4.3: Concrete mix proportions and strengths	70
Table 4.4: Results obtained from field experiments	71
Table 5.1: Time for maximum packing with vibration for various large particle volumers.	
Table 5.2: Packing density values with respect to the large particle diameter to conta diameter ratio (d/D)	
Table 5.3: Particle arrangement before and after vibration	80
Table 5.4: A, B, C and D Parameters (110 Rad/s)	82
Table 5.5: Variation of A, B, C and D sub-parameters (A <sub>1</sub> , A <sub>2</sub> , A <sub>3</sub> , B <sub>1</sub> , B <sub>2</sub> ,D <sub>1</sub> , D	
Table 6.1: Variation of m and c value with large particle fraction	
Table 6.2: Values of sub-parameters	.102
Table 6.3: Values and equations for each sub parameter	.105
Table 6.4: Percentage error of each model at optimum packing	.110
Table 6.5: Percentage error of each model at various fine particle volume fractions	110

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

## **Abbreviation Description**

ICBP Interlocking concrete block pavers

DEM Discrete element models

3D Three dimensional

UHPC Ultra high-performance concrete

W/C Water/Cement

CSF Cement spacing factor

LPDM Linear packing density model

SSM Solid suspension model

CPM Compressible packing model

3PM Three parameter model

SA South Africa

IS Indian Standards

SLS Sri Lanka Standards

FHWA Federal highway administration

BPN British pendulum number

SN Skid number

MTD Mean texture depth