APPLICATION OF EARLY NEGOTIATION AS A DISPUTE AVOIDANCE MECHANISM IN SRI LANKAN ROAD CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

Tittagalla Gamage Saman Susantha Gamage

(149110V)

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

February 2018

APPLICATION OF EARLY NEGOTIATION AS A DISPUTE AVOIDANCE MECHANISM IN SRI LANKAN ROAD CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

Tittagalla Gamage Saman Susantha Gamage

(149110V)

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science in Construction Law and Dispute Resolution

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

February 2018

DECLARATION, COPYRIGHT STATEMENT AND THE STATEMENT OF THE SUPERVISOR

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Further, I acknowledge the intellectual contribution of my research supervisor Ch. QS. Indunil Seneviratne for the successful completion of this research dissertation. I affirm that I will not make any publication from this research without the name(s) of my research supervisor(s) as contributing author(s) unless otherwise I have obtained written consent from my research supervisor(s).

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:	Date:	
The supervisor/s should certify the thesis/dissertation with	the following declaration.	
The above candidate has carried out research for thesis/Dissertation under my supervision.	the Masters/MPhil/PhD	
Signature of the supervisor:	Date:	

DECLARATION, COPYRIGHT STATEMENT AND THE STATEMENT OF THE SUPERVISOR

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Further, I acknowledge the intellectual contribution of my research supervisor Ch. QS. Indunil Seneviratne for the successful completion of this research dissertation. I affirm that I will not make any publication from this research without the name(s) of my research supervisor(s) as contributing author(s) unless otherwise I have obtained written consent from my research supervisor(s).

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature: Date: 18-06-2018

The supervisor/s should certify the thesis/dissertation with the following declaration.

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters/MPhil/PhD thesis/Dissertation under my supervision.

Signature of the supervisor: Date: 18/06/2018

DEDICATION

To my Mother & Father...

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Great assistance was received in various ways from many professionals and organisations for the successful completion of this research study so that I take this opportunity to express my gratitude towards all of them.

First of all, I would like to convey my heartfelt thanks to my supervisor Ch. QS. Indunil Seneviratne the Senior Lecturer, for guiding, supervising, encouraging and corrective advising me on this study, up to the final outcome of this work.

Then I would like to thank Dr. Yasangika Sandanayake, the Head of the Department of Building Economics, and all other staff members of the Department for their advices and support for me to make successful completion of this research project.

Special thanks to Ms. Samurdhi Baduge and Ms. Wathasala Somchandra for their help in various ways such as gathering information required and proof reading of the research.

In the end, I would like to express my gratitude towards all the industry professionals, who greatly support and efforts to accomplish this research successfully by dedicating their time and expanding their cooperation through their partners, colleagues during the study.

ABSTRACT

Conflicts are very common in construction industry, mainly due to the different objectives of the parties which are intended to be acquired through the project. The parties to construction projects should take all reasonable efforts to resolve their conflicts without letting those developing into disputes because unattended conflicts possibly grow fast, spread and create unpalatable side-effects which are not easy to handle. Out of many dispute avoidance mechanisms, early negotiation has identified as an important reactive measure to be used due to many advantages inborn.

Purpose of this research was to improve the effectiveness of early negotiation practice as a disputes avoidance mechanism in Sri Lankan construction industry. A literature review and pilot survey were carried out to identify the factors influencing on negotiation failures at conflict stage. The most significant factors causing failure in early negotiation were identified via questionnaire survey and data analysis. Recommendations to overcome such barriers were identified via interview survey and contents analysis.

The findings of the study reveal that (a) unawareness in contract/legal terms and interpretations, (b) poor skills in dealing with the people, handling negotiation deadlocks and using negotiation tactics, (c) insufficient level of authority for party representatives, and (d) attitude of contractor representatives that "we can easily deal with the Employer are the highly influencing failure factors of early negotiation.

It is concluded that "early negotiation as dispute avoidance mechanism" has to develop in respect of all aspects such as awareness, skills, attitudes and practice. However most important area to be concerned is concluded as "skills" of professionals who plays major role in negotiation table.

Key words: Conflicts, Dispute avoidance, Early negotiations, Road construction projects

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEC	CLARATION, COPYRIGHT STATEMENT AND THE STATEMENT (OF THE
SUF	PERVISOR	i
DEI	DICATION	ii
ACI	KNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABS	STRACT	iv
TAE	BLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST	T OF FIGURES	ix
LIST	T OF TABLES	X
LIST	T OF ABBREVIATIONS	xi
LIST	T OF APPENDICES	xii
CHA	APTER 01	1
INT	RODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Research problem	3
1.3	Aim	3
1.4	Objectives	3
1.5	Scope and limitations	4
1.6	Research methodology	4
1.7	Chapter breakdown	5
CHA	APTER 02	6
NEC	GOTIATION AS A DISPUTE AVOIDANCE MECHANISM	6
2.1	Introduction	6
2.2	Conflicts in construction projects	6
2.3	Construction disputes and its effects	8
2.4	Construction dispute avoidance	9
	2.4.1 Early consideration and allocation of project risks	10
	2.4.2 Communication of potential problems at the earliest opportunity	10

	2.4.3	Realistic assessment of the value and impact of a claim	. 11
	2.4.4	Appropriate attitude and commitment	. 11
	2.4.5	Education	. 11
	2.4.6	Early negotiations	. 11
	2.4.7	"Thinking outside the box"	. 12
2.5	Early 1	negotiation as a dispute avoidance mechanism	. 12
2.6	Negoti	ation	. 13
2.7	.7 Negotiation styles		. 13
	2.7.1	Integrating	. 14
	2.7.2	Avoiding	. 14
	2.7.3	Obliging	. 14
	2.7.4	Dominating	. 15
	2.7.5	Compromising	. 15
2.8	Negoti	ation strategies	. 15
2.9	Negoti	ation process	. 17
2.10	O Prerequisites for a successful negotiation		. 18
	2.10.1	Awareness on benefits of avoiding dispute using negotiation	. 18
	2.10.2	Awareness on drawbacks of developing dispute	. 19
	2.10.3	Awareness on contractual and legal provisions	. 19
	2.10.4	Awareness on negotiation tactics	. 20
	2.10.5	Awareness on handling negotiation deadlocks	. 22
	2.10.6	Skills of dealing with the people	. 23
	2.10.7	Skills of using negotiation tactics	. 25
	2.10.8	Skills of handling negotiation deadlocks	. 25
	2.10.9	Representative level of authority	. 26
	2.10.10	Focus on both interest and positions	. 26
	2.10.11	Generate variety of possibilities	. 26
	2.10.12	Use of objective criteria	. 27
2.11	Summ	ary	. 27
СНА	PTER (03	. 29
MET	ODOH	LOGY	. 29
3.1	Introdu	action	. 29

3.2	Resea	rch methodology	29
3.3	Resea	rch philosophy	30
3.4	Resea	rch approach	31
	3.4.1	Literature review and pilot survey	32
3.5	Resea	rch technique	32
	3.5.1	Data collection techniques	33
	3.5.2	Data analysis techniques	34
3.6	Resea	rch process	36
3.7	Sumn	nary	37
CH/	APTER	04	38
DAT	ΓΑ ΑΝ	ALYSIS AND RESEARCH FINDINGS	38
4.1		luction	
4.2		ionnaire survey	
	4.2.1	Professional background of respondents	
	4.2.2	Qualifications and experience of respondents	
	4.2.3	Respondents' involvement in negotiation processes	
4.3	Analy	rsis and findings of questionnaire survey	
	4.3.1	Unawareness	
	4.3.2	Poor skills	45
	4.3.3	Attitudes	46
	4.3.4	Practice	47
	4.3.5	Total RII ranking of negotiation failure factors	48
	4.3.6	Other failure facts	49
4.4	Analy	Analysis and findings of interview survey	
	4.4.1.	Unawareness of contract/legal terms and interpretations	50
	4.4.2.	Poor skills in dealing with the people, handling negotiation deadlocks and	l using
		negotiation tactics	51
	4.4.3.	Insufficient level of authority of party representatives	52
	4.4.4.	Attitude of "we can easily deal with the employer"	52
4.5	Sumn	nary	53

CHA	PTER	05	55
CON	ICLUS!	ON AND RECOMMENDATIONS	55
5.1	Introd	uction	55
5.2	Summ	ary of the study	55
5.3	Concl	usion	57
5.4	Recon	nmendations	57
	5.4.1	Contribution to the knowledge	57
	5.4.2	Implementations to the construction industry	57
5.5	Limita	ations of the study	58
5.6	Future	research	58
REF	ERENC	CES	59
APP	ENDIX	A: QUESTIONNAIRE FOR EXPERT SURVEY	65
APP	ENDIX	B: INTERVIEW GUIDE FOR EXPERT SURVEY	68

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Application of negotiation as a dispute avoidance mechanism	6
Figure 3.2	'Nested' research methodology	30
Figure 3.5.2	Categories of factors cause for failures in negotiation	35
Figure 3.6	Research process	36
Figure 4.2.1	Professional background of respondents	40
Figure 4.2.2 (1)	Educational and professional qualifications of respondents	41
Figure 4.2.2 (2)	Total work experience of respondents	42
Figure 4.2.2 (3)	Respondents' experience in foreign funded road projects	43
Figure 4.3.1	Unawareness	45
Figure 4.3.2	Poor skills	46
Figure 4.3.3	Attitude	46
Figure 4.3.4	Practice	47

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.8	Principled negotiation vs. soft and hard negotiation	15
Table 4.2	Failure factors in negotiation process	38
Table 4.2.1	Professional background of respondents	40
Table 4.2.2 (1)	Educational and professional qualifications of respondents	41
Table 4.2.2 (2)	Total work experience of respondents	42
Table 4.2.2 (3)	Respondents' experience in foreign funded road projects	43
Table 4.3	RII ranking of factors impact on negotiation failure	44
Table 4.3.5	Total RII ranking of negotiation failure factors	48
Table 4.4	Profile of the four experts who provide recommendations	50
Table 4.5	Summary of findings	54

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADR - Alternative Dispute Resolution

CoC - Conditions of Contract

CPD - Continuous Professional Development

DAB - Dispute Adjudication Board

FIDIC - International Federation of Consulting Engineers

RII - Relative Importance Index

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix - A	Questionnaire for expert survey	. 65
Appendix - B	Interview guide for expert survey	. 68