

**APPLICATION OF ZIMONT'S TURBULENT
FLAME SPEED CLOSURE FOR COMBUSTION
MODELING OF A SINGLE CYLINDER SPARK
IGNITION ENGINE**

J.K. Lishan Eranga

168039V

Thesis/Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

November 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Author would like to acknowledge the support and guidance given by Dr. R.A.C.P. Ranasinghe who is the supervisor of the present study, National Research council on behalf of funding the research and the staff of University of Moratuwa for providing technical support and laboratory facilities to make this study a success.

Declaration

I declare that this is my own work and does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted in a degree or diploma in any other university or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by any other except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date:

J.K.L. Eranga

Above candidate has carried out research for the Master of Engineering under my supervision.

Signature of the supervisor:

Date:

Dr. R.A.C.P. Ranasinghe,

University of Moratuwa

ABSTRACT

Increasing need to get the maximum power out from fuels while maintaining less amount of toxic emissions has created the requirement of making an optimum IC engine. Numerical simulations play a vital part in determining those design and operating parameters which make that idea of an optimum engine a reality. In the present work applicability of two well-known turbulent flame speed models: Namely Peters and Zimont in premixed charge gasoline spark ignition (SI) engines were evaluated. Their ability to predict the characteristics of premixed turbulent combustion process of an SI engine in the RANS context was first assessed and based on those results Zimont model was used to evaluate the applicability of Smagorinsky-Lilly Large eddy simulation (LES) model in engine simulations. Several simulations were done to identify and implement required modifications to get correct solutions from the LES model.

Combustion of the Ricardo E6 single cylinder test engine was modeled with the above two turbulent flame speed closure models implemented to a commercial computational fluid dynamics (CFD) code. Full cycle simulations, covering all four strokes including the valve motion, spark discharge, flame kernel development and fully developed combustion, were performed using different engine operating conditions. Engine was fueled with gasoline. Obtained results were compared with experimental values obtained using the same operating conditions of the E6 engine to evaluate the prediction ability of the different models. Accordingly, In-cylinder pressure variation and the combustion heat release rate versus crank angle were compared with measured values. In general, predictions, of both models were found to be in reasonable agreement with experiment values, but significant discrepancies could be observed in certain operating conditions.

Keywords: CFD; LES; premixed; RANS; SI engine; turbulent combustion.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement.....	i
Declaration	ii
Abstract	iii
List of figures	vi
List of tables.....	vii
1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 In cylinder flow	2
1.2 Combustion process and geometrical configuration	3
1.3 Objectives of the present study	4
2 Turbulent premixed combustion.....	6
2.1 Interaction between flow and reactions	6
2.2 Regimes of Premixed turbulent combustion	7
3 Modelling procedure: RANS	11
3.1 Reynolds averaged Navier-Stokes equations (RANS)	11
3.2 Conservation equations for reacting flows	13
3.3 C equation model.....	14
3.4 Turbulent flame speed models.....	15
3.4.1 Zimont model	15
3.4.2 Peter's model	18
3.5 Laminar flame speed	19
3.6 Gas density model	20
3.7 Turbulence model	22
3.7.1 K-epsilon model	22
3.8 Early flame propagation speed model	22
3.8.1 Laminar	24
3.8.2 Turbulent curvature.....	24

3.8.3	Herweg and Maly	24
3.8.4	Transition radius.....	25
3.8.5	Selection of numerical schemes	26
4	Simulations procedure	27
4.1	Preparation of the geometry	27
4.2	Meshing process	28
4.3	Test cases and engine parameters	30
5	Results and discussion: RANS.....	36
5.1	Flow inside the chamber.....	36
5.2	Heat release and pressure at spark.....	44
5.2.1	Initial radius.....	44
5.2.2	Early flame propagation speed model.....	45
5.3	Fully developed combustion (RANS)	47
6	Modelling procedure: LES.....	54
6.1	Large eddy simulation (LES)	54
6.1.1	Pros and cons of LES	55
6.2	Turbulent premixed combustion in LES context.....	57
6.2.1	Smagorinsky-Lilly Model	59
6.2.2	Zimont in LES	61
7	Results and discussion: LES	62
8	Conclusion and recommendations	76
9	References.....	78

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1- Regimes of the premixed combustion proposed by Peters.	8
Figure 2- Engine geometry before decomposition.....	27
Figure 3- Engine geometry after decomposition	28
Figure 4- Meshed engine geometry	29
Figure 5- Sectioned view of the mesh.....	29
Figure 6 - Valve motion profiles.....	31
Figure 7- Meshed engine geometry	33
Figure 8- Ricardo E6 engine specifications [82]	34
Figure 9- Velocity contour plot with velocity vectors	37
Figure 10- Velocity contour plot with velocity vectors at $Z = 4.5$ cm.....	37
Figure 11- Velocity contour plot with velocity vectors at $Z = 8.0$ cm.....	38
Figure 12- Velocity contour plot with velocity vectors at $Z = 11.5$ cm.....	38
Figure 13- Velocity vectors at $Z = 8.0$ cm at crank angle.....	39
Figure 14 - Swirling flow near the spark point.....	40
Figure 15- velocity contours on cross sectional plane through the intake valve	40
Figure 16- Temperature variation near the spark point before ignition.....	41
Figure 17- Velocity variation near the spark point before ignition	41
Figure 18- Turbulent kinetic energy variation near the spark point before ignition....	42
Figure 19- Temperature variation near the spark point after ignition.....	42
Figure 20- Velocity variation near the spark point after ignition	43
Figure 21- Turbulent kinetic energy variation	43
Figure 22- Change in heat release rate vs. crank angle.....	44
Figure 23- Heat release rate vs initial radius	46
Figure 24- Case 1 heat release rate comparison.....	48
Figure 25- Case 2 heat release rate comparison.....	48
Figure 26- Case 3 heat release rate comparison.....	49
Figure 27- Case 4 heat release rate comparison.....	49
Figure 28- Case 1 In cylinder pressure vs. crank angle comparison	50
Figure 29- Case2 In cylinder pressure vs. crank angle comparison	50
Figure 30- Case 3 In cylinder pressure vs. crank angle comparison	51
Figure 31- Case 4 In cylinder pressure vs. crank angle comparison	51
Figure 32- Comparison of velocity vectors from RANS and LES simulations.....	56

Figure 33- Evolution of the flow in the cylinder	63
Figure 34- Flow in the cylinder during intake stroke	64
Figure 35 - Evolution of the flow in the cylinder during intake stroke	65
Figure 36- Flow structures inside the cylinder during compression stroke	66
Figure 37 - Variation of mixture fraction across the intake valve plane	67
Figure 38 – Variation of magnitude of velocity across the intake valve plane.....	68
Figure 39– Variation of magnitude of velocity across a plane located closer to	69
Figure 40 - Variation of the sub grid scale flame radius with crank angle.....	70
Figure 41 – Variation of heat release rate for 5 consecutive engine cycles.....	74
Figure 42 - Variation of in cylinder pressure for 5 consecutive engine cycles.....	75

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1- Values of coefficients	18
Table 2- Input parameters for spark model.....	31
Table 3- Ricardo e6 engine specifications	32
Table 4- 4 Cases and their details	33