

## References

- [1] S. C. M. de S Sirisuriya, L. Ranathunga, S. P. Karunanayaka, and N. A. Abdullah, "Can pedagogical evaluation process of web based E-Learning be automated?," in *2013 8th International Conference on Computer Science Education (ICCSE)*, 2013, pp. 650–655.
- [2] "E-Learning & Instructional Design 101," *The Rapid E-Learning Blog*, 15-Feb-2011. .
- [3] "An Overview of E-learning Development Process | eLearning Content." [Online]. Available: <https://www.commlabindia.com/resources/article/elearning-development-process-overview.php>. [Accessed: 15-Sep-2018].
- [4] S. C. M. de S Sirisuriya, L. Ranathunga, S. P. Karunanayaka, and N. A. Abdullah, "Calibration checklist to use in an automated pedagogical evaluation process of web based E-Learning," in *2013 8th IEEE International Conference on Industrial and Information Systems (ICIIS)*, 2013, pp. 162–167.
- [5] R. C. Clark and R. E. Mayer, *e-Learning and the Science of Instruction: Proven Guidelines for Consumers and Designers of Multimedia Learning*. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- [6] K. Kruse, "Gagne's nine events of instruction: an introduction," *Beginner Basics*, 2010.
- [7] R. E. Mayer and R. Moreno, "A cognitive theory of multimedia learning: Implications for design principles," *Journal of Educational Psychology*, vol. 91, no. 2, pp. 358–368, 1998.
- [8] C. R. Wright, "Developing and reviewing online courses: Items for consideration," *The Association of Learning Technology Online Newsletter*, 2011.
- [9] C. R. Wright, "Developing and Reviewing Online Courses: Items for Consideration," p. 16, 2011.
- [10] US Department of Education, "GUIDE TO ONLINE COURSE DESIGN AND QUALITY STANDARDS," 2006. [Online]. Available: <http://new.ipfw.edu/dotAsset/183314.pdf>.

- [11] J. Laskaris, "15-point Post-Course Evaluation Checklist for eLearning Developers," *eFront Blog*, 22-Jul-2015. [Online]. Available: <https://www.efrontlearning.com/blog/2015/07/15-point-post-course-evaluation-checklist-for-elearning-developers.html>. [Accessed: 23-Sep-2016].
- [12] A. Bickford, "ELearning\_quality\_checklist\_ConnectThinking2013.pdf," 2013. [Online]. Available: [http://www.elearningacademy.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2013/05/ELearning\\_quality\\_checklist\\_ConnectThinking2013.pdf](http://www.elearningacademy.com.au/wp-content/uploads/2013/05/ELearning_quality_checklist_ConnectThinking2013.pdf). [Accessed: 23-Sep-2016].
- [13] "The Ultimate eLearning Course Design Checklist," *eLearning Industry*, 10-Apr-2014. [Online]. Available: <https://elearningindustry.com/the-ultimate-elearning-course-design-checklist>. [Accessed: 23-Sep-2016].
- [14] University of Alaska Fairbanks, "CourseChecklist-Jun12-nolines - Course\_Review\_Checklist.pdf," 2012. [Online]. Available: [https://elearning.uaf.edu/wp-content/uploads/2011/06/Course\\_Review\\_Checklist.pdf?utm\\_campaign=elearningindustry.com&utm\\_source=%2Fa-compact-instructional-design-review-checklist&utm\\_medium=link](https://elearning.uaf.edu/wp-content/uploads/2011/06/Course_Review_Checklist.pdf?utm_campaign=elearningindustry.com&utm_source=%2Fa-compact-instructional-design-review-checklist&utm_medium=link). [Accessed: 23-Sep-2016].
- [15] C. Pappas, "A Compact Instructional Design Review Checklist," *eLearning Industry*, Apr-2013. [Online]. Available: <https://elearningindustry.com/a-compact-instructional-design-review-checklist>. [Accessed: 23-Sep-2016].
- [16] A. Jebaraj, "Instructional Design(ID) Review Checklist," 2010. [Online]. Available: <http://schemaperforms.blogspot.com/2010/03/instructional-designid-review-checklist.html>. [Accessed: 23-Sep-2016].
- [17] "UW-La Crosse Online Course Evaluation Guidelines." [Online]. Available: <https://www.uwlax.edu/uploadedFiles/Academics/online/guidelines.pdf>.
- [18] University of Illinois, "A tool to assist in the design and redesign of online courses." [Online]. Available: <https://icc.edu/faculty-staff/files/ICC-QOCI-Version-4.4-published-05.04.17-2.pdf>.
- [19] B. Zaineab, "Instructional Design Review for Quality eLearning," *Custom Training and E-learning, Anywhere Anytime!*, 14-Oct-2011. [Online]. Available: <http://blog.commlabindia.com/elearning-design/instructional-design-review>. [Accessed: 21-Aug-2016].

- [20] N. Legault, “The Ultimate eLearning Design and Development Checklist – Flirting w/ eLearning,” 2012. [Online]. Available: <https://nlegault.ca/2012/03/18/the-ultimate-e-learning-design-and-development-checklist/>. [Accessed: 01-Apr-2016].
- [21] The University of North Dakota, “RUBRIC for Evaluating Online Courses.” [Online]. Available: <https://und.edu/academics/center-for-instructional-and.../bestpracticesrubric.pdf>.
- [22] Athabasca University, “eLearning Rubric.” [Online]. Available: [http://library.athabascau.ca/files/projects/ecampusalberta/quality/eCampusAlberta\\_Quality2.0\\_Brochure\\_Rubric\\_2017\\_FINAL.pdf](http://library.athabascau.ca/files/projects/ecampusalberta/quality/eCampusAlberta_Quality2.0_Brochure_Rubric_2017_FINAL.pdf).
- [23] University of New Mexico’s, “Online Course Standards Rubric.” [Online]. Available: <https://extendedlearning.unm.edu/assets/documents/onlinecoursestandardsrubric.pdf>.
- [24] California State University, “Quality Online Learning and Teaching (QOLT) Rubric Summary.” [Online]. Available: [https://www.calstatela.edu/sites/default/files/groups/Center%20for%20Effective%20Teaching%20and%20Learning/pdf/qolt\\_rubric.pdf](https://www.calstatela.edu/sites/default/files/groups/Center%20for%20Effective%20Teaching%20and%20Learning/pdf/qolt_rubric.pdf).
- [25] S. Hai-Jew, “K-State Rubric for Quality E-Learning,” p. 12.
- [26] University of Nebraska, “UNMC E-Learning Module Rubric.” [Online]. Available: <https://unmc.edu/elearning/resource-center/project-development/Rubric.pdf>.
- [27] Carleton University’s Educational Development Centre, “GUIDELINES FOR EVALUATING ONLINE COURSES.” [Online]. Available: <https://carleton.ca/edc/teachingresources/wp-content/uploads/Online-Course-Quality-Assurance-Form.pdf>.
- [28] Missouri State University, “Course Development Guidelines and Rubric.” [Online]. Available: [https://www.missouristate.edu/.../Course\\_Development\\_Rubric\\_with\\_Disclaimer.docx](https://www.missouristate.edu/.../Course_Development_Rubric_with_Disclaimer.docx).
- [29] “How To Apply Gagné’s 9 Events Of Instruction In eLearning,” *eLearning Industry*, 18-Nov-2015. [Online]. Available: <https://elearningindustry.com/how->

- to-apply-gagnes-9-events-of-instruction-in-elearning. [Accessed: 11-Nov-2018].
- [30] V. Posea, D. Mihaila, S. Trausan-Matu, V. Cristea, and A. Gartner, "Evaluation of Virtual Learning Environments Using Logs and Social Networks," p. 7.
- [31] N. Sonwalkar, "A New Methodology for Evaluation: The Pedagogical Rating of Online Courses," *Syllabus*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 18–21, 2002.
- [32] L. P. Dringus and M. S. Cohen, "An adaptable usability heuristic checklist for online courses," in *Frontiers in Education, 2005. FIE '05. Proceedings 35th Annual Conference*, 2005, pp. T2H-6.
- [33] S. Hammami, "Evaluating E-learning systems using E-traceability systems," *Journal of Computer Science*, vol. 6, no. 2, p. 210, 2010.
- [34] M. Snajder, M. Verlic, P. Povalej, and M. Debevc, "Pedagogical evaluation of e-learning courses - Adapted pedagogical index," p. 11, 2007.
- [35] J. H. L. Koh, "Designing and integrating reusable learning objects for meaningful learning: Cases from a graduate programme," *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, vol. 33, no. 5, Oct. 2017.
- [36] D. S. S. Gautam and M. K. Tiwari, "Components and benefits of E-learning system," *International Research Journal of Computer Science*, vol. 3, no. 01, p. 4, 2016.
- [37] Richard E. Mayer and Ruth C. Clark, "Applying the Segmenting and Pretraining Principles: Managing Complexity by Breaking a Lesson into Parts," in *e-Learning and the Science of Instruction*, Wiley-Blackwell, 2016, pp. 201–218.
- [38] "Typography In eLearning: 5 Key Tips For eLearning Professionals," *eLearning Industry*, 21-Jul-2015. [Online]. Available: <https://elearningindustry.com/typography-in-elearning-5-key-tips-for-elearning-professionals>. [Accessed: 22-Sep-2018].
- [39] "The Importance of Using Keywords in Your Content Marketing - Inbound Now - May 25, 2013," *Inbound Now*, 25-May-2013. [Online]. Available: <https://www.inboundnow.com/the-importance-of-using-keywords-in-your-content-marketing/>. [Accessed: 22-Sep-2018].

- [40] K. Gutierrez, “The Power of White Space to Improve Screen Design in eLearning.” [Online]. Available: <https://www.shiftelearning.com/blog/the-power-of-white-space-to-improve-screen-design-in-elearning>. [Accessed: 22-Sep-2018].
- [41] N. Brown, “4 Graphic Design Tips for eLearning Newbies,” *eLearning Brothers*, 28-Mar-2018. .
- [42] Richard E. Mayer and Ruth C. Clark, “Applying the Multimedia Principle: Use Words and Graphics Rather than Words Alone,” in *e-Learning and the Science of Instruction*, Wiley-Blackwell, 2016, pp. 67–87.
- [43] Richard E. Mayer and Ruth C. Clark, “Applying the Contiguity Principle: Align Words to Corresponding Graphics,” in *e-Learning and the Science of Instruction*, Wiley-Blackwell, 2016, pp. 89–112.
- [44] Richard E. Mayer and Ruth C. Clark, “Applying the Modality Principle: present Words as Audio Narration Rather than On-Screen Text,” in *e-Learning and the Science of Instruction*, Wiley-Blackwell, 2016, pp. 113–130.
- [45] Richard E. Mayer and Ruth C. Clark, “Applying the Redundancy Principle: Explain Visual with words in Audio or Text but not Both,” in *e-Learning and the Science of Instruction*, Wiley-Blackwell, 2016, pp. 131–150.
- [46] Richard E. Mayer and Ruth C. Clark, “Applying the Coherence Principle: Adding Extra Material Can Hurt Learning,” in *e-Learning and the Science of Instruction*, Wiley-Blackwell, 2016, pp. 151–178.
- [47] “The Importance of Designing for Readability | Design Shack.” [Online]. Available: <https://designshack.net/articles/mobile/the-importance-of-designing-for-readability/>. [Accessed: 22-Sep-2018].
- [48] Richardson, Rick T., Drexler, Tara L., Delparte, Donna M., “Color and Contrast in E-Learning Design: A Review of the Literature and Recommendations for Instructional Designers and Web Developers,” *MERLOT Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, vol. 10, no. 4, Dec. 2014.
- [49] “7 Tips For Using Bullets In eLearning,” *eLearning Industry*, 18-Aug-2015. [Online]. Available: <https://elearningindustry.com/7-tips-for-using-bullets-in-elearning>. [Accessed: 23-Sep-2018].

- [50] “5 Important Rules of Typography for E-Learning Designers,” *E-Learning Heroes*. [Online]. Available: <https://community.articulate.com/articles/e-learning-typography>. [Accessed: 23-Sep-2018].
- [51] Department of Computer Science, Faculty of Computing, General Sir John Kotelawala Defence University, Sri Lanka *et al.*, “An Analysis to Identify the Most Suitable Readability Formula for Sri Lankan Context: Case Study Computer Science Students,” presented at the The International Conference on Global Education and E – learning, 2018, pp. 1–8.
- [52] “‘Technically speaking’: The importance of language in e-learning,” *We the Talent*, 14-Oct-2010. [Online]. Available: <https://www.wethetalent.co/education-learning/technically-speaking-the-importance-of-language-in-e-learning/>. [Accessed: 23-Sep-2018].
- [53] K. Gutierrez, “Power-writing for eLearning Professionals: 6 Secrets to Great Web Content.” [Online]. Available: <https://www.shiftelearning.com/blog/bid/341195/Power-writing-for-eLearning-Professionals-6-Secrets-to-Great-Web-Content>. [Accessed: 23-Sep-2018].
- [54] “Proofreading in eLearning,” *BrightCarbon*, 27-Oct-2016. [Online]. Available: <https://www.brightcarbon.com/blog/proofreading-in-elearning/>. [Accessed: 23-Sep-2018].
- [55] A. Littlejohn, I. Falconer, and L. McGill, “Characterising effective eLearning resources,” *Computers & Education*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 757–771, Apr. 2008.
- [56] “7 Tips To Create The Perfect Learning Unit For An eLearning Course,” *eLearning Industry*, 21-Feb-2015. [Online]. Available: <https://elearningindustry.com/7-tips-to-create-the-perfect-learning-unit-for-an-elearning-course>. [Accessed: 29-Apr-2018].
- [57] Y. Ozarslan and O. Ozan, “Self-Assessment Quiz Taking Behaviour Analysis in an Online Course,” *European Journal of Open, Distance and E-Learning*, vol. 19, no. 2, Jan. 2016.
- [58] “Active Online Learning: Working with Exercises and Assignments in the Learning Journal,” *iversity Blog*, 22-Mar-2017. [Online]. Available: <https://iversity.org/blog/active-online-learning/>. [Accessed: 24-Sep-2018].

- [59] “Assignments: Marking – Using a rubric | E-Learning Unit.” [Online]. Available: <https://elearning.qmul.ac.uk/guide/marking-an-assignment-using-a-rubric/>. [Accessed: 24-Sep-2018].
- [60] “9 Ways to Make Your Course Easier to Navigate,” *TeachOnline*, 22-Apr-2016. [Online]. Available: <https://teachonline.asu.edu/2016/04/online-course-navigation/>. [Accessed: 24-Sep-2018].
- [61] “7 Best Practices For eLearning Course Navigation,” *eLearning Industry*, 30-Jan-2016. [Online]. Available: <https://elearningindustry.com/7-elearning-course-navigation-best-practices>. [Accessed: 24-Sep-2018].
- [62] “Linking to External Content in eLearning,” *eLearning*, 29-Dec-2016. [Online]. Available: <https://elearning.adobe.com/2016/12/linking-to-external-content-in-elearning/>. [Accessed: 24-Sep-2018].
- [63] M. Parkes, C. Reading, and S. Stein, “The competencies required for effective performance in a university e-learning environment,” *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, vol. 29, no. 6, Dec. 2013.
- [64] D. Y. Shee and Y.-S. Wang, “Multi-criteria evaluation of the web-based e-learning system: A methodology based on learner satisfaction and its applications,” *Computers & Education*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 894–905, Apr. 2008.
- [65] K. Kostolányová, “Use of Adaptive Study Material in Education in E-Learning Environment.,” *Electronic Journal of e-Learning*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 172–182, 2014.
- [66] Sirisuriya Choolangika, Ranathunga Lochandaka, Karunanayaka Shironika, Abdullah Aniza, “An Analysis to Identify the Most Suitable Readability Formula for Sri Lankan Context: Case Study Computer Science Students,” presented at the International Conference on Global Education and E – Learning, 2017.
- [67] “Flesch–Kincaid readability tests,” *Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia*. 23-Jan-2015.
- [68] G. H. McLaughlin, “SMOG grading: A new readability formula,” *Journal of reading*, vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 639–646, 1969.