

**PREDICTION OF THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY OF
NATURAL RUBBER COMPOUND FILLED WITH
CARBON BLACK AND GRAPHITE**

E.A. Prabuddha Rangika Batuwatte

(158502C)

This thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree Master
of Science in Polymer Technology

Department of Chemical and Process Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

February 2020

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief. In addition, it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or any other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

E A Prabuddha Batuwatte

Signature: Date:28/02/2020

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis dissertation under my supervision

Name of the supervisor

Dr. Olga Gunapala

Signature of the supervisor:

Date: 28/02/2020

DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate this thesis to my ever loving my family members, my father, mother, little sister, my wife, and my beloved son who lives among the stars right now.

Your loving kindness and unconditional support made me the best I can be! .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I hereby would like to acknowledge the immense guidance received from my thesis supervisor Dr. Olga Gunapala. Your support and direction to produce quality work is highly appreciated. Also Professor Jagath Premachandra, our course coordinator for the post graduate degree in polymer technology 2016 batch, your encouragement, and support throughout the course was truly encouraging. In addition, the support received from Professor Narayana on the numerical and modelling part was highly acknowledged. Professor Rathanasiri's insights and comments on the modelling part were very helpful. Furthermore, postgraduate student of the department Mr. Chathuranga Wickramasinghe, your support on the numerical modelling was very useful and productive. I also take this opportunity to thank all the lecturers and staff of the Moratuwa University and all the external lecturers who guided us throughout the postgraduate course.

Would like to convey my very special thanks to Mr. Anura Liyanage, Mr. Saliya Gunasekara, Mr. Likitha Lasantha, and all the staff at Bogala mines Sri Lanka for also updating on the existing research project and their valuable insights on the project.

In addition, the staff including Mrs. Udara, Mr. Nuwan, and Mr. Asanka in the department of chemical and process that assisted me in various ways during this course.

My loving parents, sister, and my wife who supported me all the way through, your presence in my life is a blessing. That level of unconditional love and caring, cannot be quantified yet enable me to be the best version of me. I am very grateful to all of you and your loving kindness.

Finally, my country, Sri Lanka, Thank you!

ABSTRACT

Rubbers and rubber composites are a vital materials category that has been used in multiple applications in the present day. Improving their characteristics, properties can make rubber material much more versatile in their performance at economically.

Improving the thermal conductivity (TC) of rubber composite is relevant to many aspects. Heat dissipation, heat build-up, curing, manufacturing, and product performance is directly and indirectly affected by the TC of a compound. In this research work, time – temperature data obtained by transient plane source (TPS) method was analysed to obtain TC of natural rubber (NR) and carbon black (CB) rubber compounds with added graphite. TCs were also obtained by two empirical models Lewis – Nielson model and Hashin – Shtrikman model for the same samples.

Four rubber samples were used with varying graphite content from 0 phr (control), 5 phr, 10 phr and 15 phr. From the empirical modelling, modified Lewis-Nielson model (LN model) resulted thermal conductivities of ($\text{Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$) 0.1618, 0.1736, 0.1858 and 0.1983 and Hashin-Shtrikman model (HS model) resulted 0.1619, 0.1655, 0.1688 and 0.1718 for 0 phr (control), 5 phr, 10 phr and 15 phr graphite added samples. For the same respective samples, TPS analysis TCs were obtained as ($\text{Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}$) of 0.1462, 0.1495, 0.1545 and 0.1725. All graphite added rubber samples demonstrated an increase in TC over the control sample (0 phr Gr sample).

In order to further minimise the difference between the TPS results and the empirically model values, a multiplier correction factor was introduced to each model. Minimise the sum of the squared of the errors were set to zero and the multiplier correction factors were obtained for each model as $\lambda_{\text{HS}} = 0.932$ and $\lambda_{\text{LN}} = 0.864$ for HS model and LN model respectively. Predicted TC values from both optimised LN model and HS model reduced its percentage difference between TPS analysed values to less than 5% except the 15 phr Gr sample's TC resulted from HS model which had a 7.10% difference after optimisation.

In order to increase the accuracy of the complex calculations and to improve the sensitivity it is recommended to use powerful mathematical software programs.

Further improvement of the thermal conductivity can be potentially achieved by functionalisation of the graphite particles, decreasing the particle size and its distribution, which gives further future aspects for research. In depth, optimisation and detailed handling of parameters would be beneficial for the accuracy but at the same time this would compromise the time consumption and the simplicity of the computation method followed.

Using the optimised empirical models proposed in this study, TC can be reasonably estimated for NR and CB rubber compounds with added graphite. This understanding would definitely benefit the solid rubber industry

CONTENTS

Declaration	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgement	iv
Abstract	v
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	x
List of Abbreviations	xi
List of Appendices	xii
1 Chapter 1: RESEARCH INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Application of Rubber and Rubber Composites.....	2
1.3 Research Objectives	3
1.4 Rationale of the Study	3
1.5 Significance of the Research	3
1.6 Chapter Organisation.....	4
2 Chapter 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Rubber Materials and Compounding	6
2.1.1 Typical Constituents of A Rubber Formulation.....	6
2.1.2 Natural Rubber (NR).....	7
2.2 Fillers.....	8
2.2.1 Important Filler Properties	9
2.2.1.1 Particle Size	9
2.2.1.2 Surface Area	9
2.2.1.3 Surface Activity	10

2.2.2	Carbon Black (CB) as a Filler	10
2.3	Thermal Conductivity.....	11
2.3.1	Heat Conduction Mechanism in Polymers and Polymer Composites .	12
2.3.2	Thermal Conductivity of Polymer Composites.....	12
2.3.3	Thermal Conductivity and Hybrid Fillers	13
2.3.4	Factors Affecting Thermal Conductivity in Polymer Composites.....	14
2.4	Empirical Prediction of Thermal Conductivity of Polymer Composites	14
2.4.1	Maxwell model.....	16
2.4.2	Rayleigh Model.....	16
2.4.3	The Lewis-Nielson Model.....	17
2.5	Transient Plain Source Method	19
2.5.1	TPS Theory and Modelling.....	20
3	Chapter 3: METHODOLOGY	25
3.1	Research Plan	25
3.2	TPS Analysed Route	25
3.3	Empirical Route.....	26
3.3.1	Modified Lewis – Nielson model.....	28
3.3.2	Hashin-Shtrikman’s Model (HS model)	29
4	Chapter 4: RESULTS and DISCUSSION	30
5	Chapter 5: CONCLUSION	48
6	Chapter 6: FUTURE RESEARCH.....	49
	Bibliography	51
	Appendices	57
	Appendix A: Calculation of the D (τ) Dimensionless Specific Time Function.....	57
	Appendix B: Values Obtained for D (τ) Dimensionless Specific Time Function .	59
	Appendix C : Empirical Results from Lewis - Nielson Model.....	80

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Global rubber production from 2002 to 2018	1
Figure 1-2: Distribution of the world natural rubber applications	2
Figure 2-1: Natural rubber polymer structure (poly-cis-1,4-isoprene)	8
Figure 2-2: Classification of fillers considering the function and typical particle reference.....	9
Figure 2-3: Schematic diagram of a polymer (a) crystalline and amorphous structure of a polymer (b) structure of a polymer chain.....	12
Figure 2-4: Maxwell model for dilute concentration of spherical filler particles in a matrix	16
Figure 2-5: Rayleigh model for cubic (a) and cylindrical (b) filler arrangement in a matrix	17
Figure 2-6: Schematic of the TPS	19
Figure 2-7: Iterative process of the TPS model	23
Figure 3-1: Summary representation of the research plan	25
Figure 4-1: Temperature –time profile received from the TPS analysis of the rubber samples.....	32
Figure 4-2: Time vs dimensionless time parameter (τ) variation	34
Figure 4-3: τ vs $D(\tau)$ variation	35
Figure 4-4: $D(\tau)$ vs ΔT of Control (0 phr Gr) sample.....	37
Figure 4-5: $D(\tau)$ vs ΔT of 5 phr Gr sample.....	38
Figure 4-6: $D(\tau)$ vs ΔT of 10 phr Gr sample.....	38
Figure 4-7: $D(\tau)$ vs ΔT of 15 phr Gr sample.....	39
Figure 4-8: TC values obtained from TPS analysis and empirical modelling	41
Figure 4-9: Percentage improvement of TC relative to the control sample by each method.....	42
Figure 4-10: TC obtained before optimisation of modelled values.	45
Figure 4-11: TC obtained after optimisation of modelled values.	45

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Typical ingredients and concentration of rubber formulation	7
Table 2-2: Classification of CB	11
Table 2-3: Thermal conductivities of typical thermally conductive fillers.....	13
Table 2-4: Well-known models for predicting TC.....	15
Table 2-5: Shape factor “A” for common filler types.....	18
Table 2-6: Maximum packing fractions for different arrangements.....	18
Table 3-1: Material properties.....	26
Table 3-2: Weights (g) in of the rubber-compounding recipe for the experiment.....	27
Table 3-3: Volume and volume fractions of fillers.....	27
Table 3-4: Volume fraction of Gr and ETC from modified Lewis – Nielson model	28
Table 3-5: Volume fraction of Gr and ETC from Hashin-Shtrikman’s model	29
Table 4-1: Time - temperature variation of the samples measured.....	31
Table 4-2: Time dependent τ and $D(\tau)$ values calculated and the corresponding temperature difference for the test samples	33
Table 4-3: Linear regression line equation and corresponding R^2 values.....	37
Table 4-4: Numerical values of the parameters used in the experiment	39
Table 4-5: Summary of TC results found for both TPS analysis (k_{TPS}) and empirical models (HS – Hashin – Shtrikman, LN – Modified Lewis Nielson)	40
Table 4-6: Summary of percentage difference among the thermal conductivity results from the TPS analysis and empirical models (HS and LN model)	44
Table 4-7: Summary of the sum of the squares values after optimisations	44
Table 4-8: Summary of the final TC values after optimisation of the two empirical models in comparison with the experimental values k_{TPS}	46
Table 4-9: Summary of percentage difference between the TC results against the k_{TPS} and empirical model values after optimisation	47

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

1. NR – Natural rubber
2. CB – Carbon black
3. TC – Thermal conductivity
4. Gr – Graphite
5. phr – Parts per 100 rubber parts
6. GRG – General rubber goods
7. ETC – Effective thermal conductivity
8. TPS – Transient plane source

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Calculation of the D (τ) Dimensionless Specific Time Function

Appendix B: Values Obtained for D (τ) Dimensionless Specific Time Function

Appendix C : Empirical Results from Lewis - Nielson Model