ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY OF A HYBRID ENERGY SYSTEM FOR KALPITIYA PENINSULA FROM SELECTED TECHNOLOGIES

K. R. Atapattu

(158275F)

Degree of Master of Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

March 2020

ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY OF A HYBRID ENERGY SYSTEM FOR KALPITIYA PENINSULA FROM SELECTED TECHNOLOGIES

Kavinda Rukshan Atapattu

(158275F)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

March 2020

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any other university or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

| Signature: | Date: |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| K.R.Atapattu | |
| The above candidate has carried out i | research for the Masters thesis under my supervision |
| Signature: | Date: |
| Prof. R.A Attalage | |

ABSRACT

Economic feasibility of having a grid connected hybrid energy system, consist of wind, solar, biomass (rice husk) & municipal solid waste (MSW) technologies for Kalpitiya peninsula was studied. Four (04) different microgrid configurations were simulated in HOMER Pro(Hybrid Optimization of Multiple Electric Renewables) for a project life time of 20 years and the economics of each case was compared with the base case, where Kalpitiya peninsula is fed from fossil fuel based (i.e.: diesel) power transmitted through main utility grid. Electricity demand data of Kalpitiya peninsula in every 30 minute interval throughout a day were obtained for the days in calendar year (2018) from Puttalam grid substation (feeder 03) to derive averaged hourly load profile and to study the daily, monthly variation. Average electricity demand in April was found to be the highest of all months in the year and average load in a typical day was 125056.52 kWh with a daily maximum of 8320.5 kW. Considering the recent global market costs trends of installation, operation and maintenance of renewable energy resources as well as the availability of resources, in Sri Lankan context four (04) different configurations of microgrids were simulated in HOMER Pro with the motive of ensuring 100% power supply throughout the project lifetime of 20 years. Most economical option in a private investors' perspective was a microgrid with wind, solar, biomass (rice husk) & municipal solid waste (MSW) in the system which has a discounted payback period of 2.68 years. However, in the perspective of Ceylon Electricity Board, the most economical microgrid consist of wind, solar & biomass (rice husk), where annual cost saving against the base case of LKR 350.5 Mn equivalent to 'stop running' a 1 MW diesel generator for 353 days per year. Sensitivity analysis was performed limiting the grid sales for each microgrid configuration proved that net energy purchase was lowest when the grid sale capacity was 10000 kW. Any of microgrid combinations was not possible to operate in island mode due to the intermittency of renewable resources. However, it was evident that none of the configurations considered solar energy is significant due to the high dominance in wind, biomass & MSW resources.

Key words: Kalpitiya, Microgrid, Renewable energy, HOMER Pro

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It is with a great pleasure of expressing my gratitude to all the people who gave me a lot of help throughout this research.

I must honourably mention my supervisor Prof. Rahula A. Attalage (Former Deputy Vice Chancellor, University of Moratuwa), for the valuable guidance, kind hearted co-operation and encouragement extended for making this research meaningful.

I would be pleased to mention with a great respect Dr.H.K.G. Punchihewa, Course coordinator for M.Eng in Energy Technology - Intake 11 & Head of the Department of Mechanical Engineering-University of Moratuwa, for inspiring & assisting me throughout the whole M.Eng programme. I wish to thank all the lecturers in Department of Mechanical Engineering & all the visiting lecturers who made us prepared with knowledge to make this a success. I would like to pay my gratitude for especially for Eng.A.N.G.A.B. Jayasinghe, Eng.A.R.M.U.E. Abeyrathne, Eng. W.A.M.L. Wasala, who were a great helping hand in resolving the issues & all the colleagues who assisted me in numerous ways with immense generosity over their valuable time.

I must gratefully mention the Eng. W.J.L.D.I. Wickramasighe, Eng.Chulaka Eng. Subhan and the staff members of Ceylon Electricity Board at Puttalam grid substation for assisting me to obtain the load demand data.

I wish to thank Mr. S.D.L. Sandanayake, & all non-academic staff members in the Department of Mechanical Engineering, and University of Moratuwa for helpful & friendly co-operation during the M.Eng programme. At last but not the least I would like to pay my sincere gratitude to my dear parents, loving wife & other members in my family for the scarification & giving me the fullest support at all times in making this effort a success.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| DECLARATION | I |
|---|------|
| ABSRACT | II |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | III |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | IV |
| LIST OF FIGURES | VIII |
| LIST OF TABLES | XI |
| LIST OF EQUATIONS | XII |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | XIII |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | XV |
| 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Problem Identification | 2 |
| 1.3 Motivation | 3 |
| 1.4 Research gap | 6 |
| 1.5 Aim & Objectives | 9 |
| 1.6 Methodology | 10 |
| 2 LITERATURE REVIEW | 11 |
| 2.1 Microgrid System | 11 |
| 2.1.1 What is a microgrid | 11 |
| 2.1.1.1 DC Microgrid | 12 |
| 2.1.2 Components of a microgrid | 12 |
| 2.1.2.1 Configuration of a DC microgrid | 14 |

| 2.1.3 | Operation modes of microgrids | 15 |
|----------|--|----|
| 2.1. | .3.1 Grid connected mode | 16 |
| 2.1. | .3.2 Off-grid mode | 17 |
| 2.2 Con | ntrolling of Microgrids | 17 |
| 2.2.1 | Need to control a microgrid | 17 |
| 2.2.2 | Variables to control in microgrids | 18 |
| 2.2.3 | Control strategy of microgrid with multi DERs | 19 |
| 2.2. | .3.1 Grid-following control | 21 |
| 2.2. | .3.2 Grid-forming control | 23 |
| 2.2.4 | Active power & reactive power control | 24 |
| 2.2.5 | Voltage balancing & control of DC microgrid | 25 |
| 2.3 Grid | d Interconnection of Microgrids | 27 |
| 2.3.1 | Renewable energy penetration | 27 |
| 2.3.2 | Generation flexibility | 28 |
| 2.3.3 | Methods of increasing renewable penetration | 29 |
| 2.3. | .3.1 Generation planning approach | 29 |
| | 2.3.3.1.1 Traditional generation planning process | 29 |
| | 2.3.3.1.2 Emerging generation planning process | 30 |
| 2.3. | .3.2 Increasing renewable penetration by improving system flexibility3 | 31 |
| 2.3.4 | Transformations in power grids for high penetration of variable sources. | 33 |
| 2.3.5 | Impact of system reliability of integrating microgrids | 34 |
| 2.4 Mod | delling of a Microgrid | 38 |
| 2.4.1 | Geographical area | 38 |
| 2.4.2 | Availability of renewable energy sources | 39 |

| 2.4. | .2.1 Solar energy | 39 |
|----------|---|------|
| 2.4. | .2.2 Wind Energy | 40 |
| 2.4. | .2.3 Biomass Energy | 42 |
| 2.4. | .2.4 Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) Energy | .46 |
| 2.5 Mic | crogrid Simulation in Homer Pro | 48 |
| 2.5.1 | Solar power output calculation | 48 |
| 2.5.2 | Wind power output calculation | 49 |
| 2.5. | .2.1 Calculating hub height wind speed | 49 |
| 2.5. | .2.2 Turbine Power Output at Standard Air Density | 50 |
| 2.5. | .2.3 Applying Density Correction | 50 |
| 2.5.3 | Customised power resource output | 51 |
| 2.5.4 | Dispatch strategy | 51 |
| 2.5.5 | Emission calculation | 52 |
| 2.5.6 | Optimisation of most economical microgrid configuration | 52 |
| 3 DESI | GN OF MICROGRID | 53 |
| 3.1 Elec | ctrical Load Demand | 53 |
| 3.2 Grid | d Connection | 57 |
| 3.3 Cos | sts of Power Generating Sources | 58 |
| 3.3.1 | Costs in global power renewable power generation | 58 |
| 3.3.2 | Wind power generation | 59 |
| 3.3.3 | Solar power generation | 63 |
| 3.3.4 | Biomass power generation | 67 |
| 3.3.5 | Municipal solid waste power generation | . 68 |
| 3.3. | .5.1 Producing biogas and refuse-derived fuel (RDF) | . 68 |

| 3.3.5.2 Incineration of MSW 69 |
|---|
| 3.4 Economics & Other Constraints |
| 4 RESULTS & DISCUSSION |
| 4.1 Configuration A (Grid + wind 01 + wind 02 + solar) |
| 4.2 Configuration B (Grid + wind 01 + wind 02 + solar + biomass)75 |
| 4.3 Configuration C (Grid + wind 01 + wind 02 + solar + MSW) |
| 4.4 Configuration D (Grid + wind 01 + wind 02 + solar + MSW + biomass)8 |
| 4.5 Comparison of microgrid configurations |
| 5 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK |
| REFERENCE LIST 92 |
| APPENDIX A: ELECTRICAL LOAD DEMAND OF KALPITIYA90 |
| APPENDIX B : SIMULATION REPORT OF MICROGRID CONFIGURATION |
| A97 |
| APPENDIX C : SIMULATION REPORT OF MICROGRID CONFIGURATION |
| B98 |
| APPENDIX D : SIMULATION REPORT OF MICROGRID CONFIGURATION |
| C99 |
| APPENDIX E : SIMULATION REPORT OF MICROGRID CONFIGURATION |
| D100 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 2.1: Microgrid with one common point of coupling to the utility grid [13]13 |
|---|
| Figure 2.2: Functions & information flow of Microgrid Energy Manager (MEM) [16] |
| 14 |
| Figure 2.3 : Layout of a DC microgrid [12] |
| Figure 2.4 : Basic structure of a microgrid [15] |
| Figure 2.5 : Grid-following power export control diagram [16]22 |
| Figure 2.6: Droop characteristics for load sharing in multi DERs. (a) f – P droop (b) |
| V-Q droop [16]23 |
| Figure 2.7 : Droop control strategy [16]24 |
| Figure 2.8 : Active and reactive power control [16] |
| Figure 2.9 : Dual bulk half bridge voltage balancer [12] |
| Figure 2.10 : Control Strategy [12] |
| Figure 2.11: Traditional and Emerging Practice in Generation Planning [20]31 |
| Figure 2.12: Load Duration Curve for the ERCOT System in 2000 [21]32 |
| Figure 2.13: Relationship between flexibility factor and energy produced by variable |
| sources [21] |
| Figure 2.14: Electricity distribution network without distributed generation [18]35 |
| Figure 2.15: Electricity distribution network with distributed generation [18]36 |
| Figure 2.16: Topographical map of kalpitiya38 |
| Figure 2.17: Comparison between wind speed data of NREL, NASA & Onsite |
| measurements42 |
| Figure 2.18: Average paddy production in puttalam in yala season (2006 – 2017) [26] |
| 43 |
| Figure 2.19 : Average paddy production in puttalam in maha season (2006 – 2017) |
| [26]44 |
| Figure 2.20: Annual average paddy production in Anuradhapura district [26]45 |
| Figure 2.21 – Annual average paddy production in Kurunegala district [26]45 |
| Figure 2.22 Typical Power Curve of wind turbine |
| Figure 3.1 : Transmission network of Sri Lanka in 201853 |
| Figure 3.2 : LCOE of renewable energy technologies from 2010 to 2017 [32]58 |

| Figure 3.3 : Monthly averaged wind speed in Puttalam (NASA data) from HOMER |
|--|
| Pro59 |
| Figure 3.4 : Wind power total installed cost by country [33]60 |
| Figure 3.5 : Cost breakdown of a utility scale wind power project [33]61 |
| $Figure \ 3.6-Wind \ turbine \ characteristic \ of \ Wind 01 \ plant \ (Gamesa-G58) \$ |
| Figure 3.7 - Wind turbine characteristic of Wind02 plant (Suzlon $-$ S64)62 |
| Figure 3.8 : Monthly averaged solar GHI (NREL data) from HOMER Pro63 |
| Figure 3.9 – Cost reduction of different solar panel technologies from 2010 - 2018 |
| [33]63 |
| Figure 3.10 - Global weighted average installation costs of utility scale solar PV (2010 |
| - 2018) [33]64 |
| Figure 3.11 - Weighted country average installation costs of utility scale solar PV |
| (2010 – 2018) [33]65 |
| Figure 3.12 - Cost breakdown of utlity scale solar PV projects in G20 countries [33] |
| 66 |
| Figure 4.1 : Microgrid configuration A |
| Figure 4.2: Homer optimisation results for microgrid configuration A72 |
| Figure 4.3 : Electricity generation statistics of microgrid configuration A73 |
| Figure 4.4 : Electricity generation statistics of microgrid configuration A74 |
| Figure 4.5 : Sensitivity of grid sales for microgrid configuration A74 |
| Figure 4.6 : Microgrid configuration B |
| Figure 4.7 : Electricity generation statistics in microgrid configuration B76 |
| Figure 4.8: Homer simulation results for microgrid configuration B76 |
| Figure 4.9 : Electricity generation economics of microgrid configuration B77 |
| Figure 4.10 : Sensitivity of grid sales for microgrid configuration B77 |
| Figure 4.11 : Microgrid configuration C |
| Figure 4.12 : Homer simulation results for microgrid configuration C78 |
| Figure 4.13 : Electricity generation statistics of microgrid configuration C79 |
| Figure 4.14: Electricity generation economics of microgrid configuration C80 |
| Figure 4.15 : Sensitivity of grid sales for microgrid configuration C80 |
| Figure 4.16 : Microgrid configuration D |

| Figure 4.17: Homer simulation results for microgrid configuration D | .81 |
|--|-----|
| Figure 4.18 : Electricity generation statistics of microgrid configuration D | .82 |
| Figure 4.19 : Electricity generation economics of microgrid configuration D | .83 |
| Figure 4.20 : Sensitivity of grid sales for microgrid configuration D | .83 |
| Figure 4.21 : Economic comparison of microgrid configurations | .85 |
| Figure 4.22 : Curtailment of diesel power generation | .88 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2.1 : Categories of major functions of a DER in a microgrid [16]20 |
|--|
| Table 2.2 : Control Approaches [16] |
| Table 2.3 : System reliability index comparison - Distribution system without DGs |
| [18] |
| Table 2.4: System reliability index comparison - Distribution system with/without |
| DGs [18]37 |
| Table 2.5 : Data from solar resources maps of Sri Lanka developed by NREL [23] 39 |
| Table 2.6 : Data from wind resources atlas developed by NREL [24]40 |
| Table 2.7: Wind resource data in Puttalam, Kalpitiya area |
| Table 2.8 : Solid waste management plans & policies/strategies in Sri Lanka [27] .46 |
| Table 2.9 : Solid waste generation & disposal sites by provinces [27]47 |
| Table 3.1 : List of wind power stations in Puttalam [29] |
| Table 3.2 : Costs of wind turbines selected for microgrid modelling62 |
| Table 3.3 : Costs of solar panels selected for microgrid modelling |
| Table 3.4 : Costs of rice husk fired power plant [25]67 |
| Table 3.5 : Costs for a MSW power plant from biogas with RDF plant [30]68 |
| Table 3.6: Costs for a MSW power plant with an incinerator [30]69 |
| Table 4.1 : Configurations of microgrids |
| Table 4.2 : Comparison of microgrid configurations |
| Table 4.3 : Flat tariff for 01-20 years for non-conventional renewable energy |
| technologies announced by PUCSL on 01.01.201286 |
| Table 4.4: Comparison of cost incurred by CEB in utility grid supply & microgrid |
| case87 |
| Table 4.5 : Curtailment of diesel generator operation |

LIST OF EQUATIONS

| Eqn 2.1: Renewable energy penetration [20] | 28 |
|--|----|
| Eqn 2.2 : System flexibility factor [21] | 29 |
| Eqn 2.3 : Power output of solar PV in HOMER Pro [28] | 48 |
| Eqn 2.4 : Solar PV output disregarding temperature effects in HOMER Pro [28] | 49 |
| Eqn 2.5 : Hub height wind speed calculation using logarithmic law [28] | 49 |
| Eqn 2.6: Hub height wind speed calculation using power law [28] | 49 |
| Eqn 2.7 : Actual wind power output by applying air density correction [28] | 51 |
| Eqn 3.1 : Power drawn in a 3-phase line | 56 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation Description

AC Alternating Current

AGC Automatic Generation Control

AWLR Average Weighted Lending Rate

CAIDI Customer Average Interruption Duration Index

CAIFI Customer Average Interruption Frequency Index

CEB Ceylon Electricity Board

CCM Continuous Conduction Mode

DCM Discontinuous Conduction Mode

DC Direct Current

DER Distributed Energy Resources

DG Distributed Generation

GHI Global Horizontal Irradiation

GSC Grid Side Convertor

HOMER Hybrid Optimization of Multiple Electric Renewables

IEEE Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers

IRENA International Renewable Energy Agency

JICA Japan International Cooperation Agency

LCLTGEP Least Cost Long Term Generation Expansion Plan

LCOE Levelised Cost of Energy

LDC Load Duration Curve (LDC)

ERCOT Electric Reliability Council of Texas

LSC Load Side Converter

LVPP Lakvijaya Power Plant

MEM Microgrid Energy Manager

MOSFET Metal Oxide Semiconductor Field Effect Transistor

MPPT Maximum Power Point Tracking

MSW Municipal Solid Waste

NASA National Aeronautics and Space Administration

NCRE Non-Conventional Renewable Energy

NPC Net Present Cost

NREL National Renewable Energy Laboratory

NSSWM National Strategy on Solid Waste Management

ORE Other Renewable Energy

PC Point of Connection

PCC Point of Common Coupling

PI Proportional Integral

PMS Power Management Strategy

PUCSL Public Utilities Commission of Sri Lanka

PV Photovoltaic

PWM Pulse Width Modulation

RDF Refuse Derived Fuel

RSC Renewable Side Converter

SAIDI System Average Interruption Duration Index

SAIFI System Average Interruption Frequency Index

SCADA Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition

SLSEA Sri Lanka Sustainable Energy Authority

SSC Storage Side Converter

SWM Solid Waste Management

USA United States of America

VSC Voltage Sourced Converter

LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix | Description | Page |
|------------|--|------|
| Appendix-A | Electrical load demand of kalpitiya | 96 |
| Appendix-B | Simulation report of microgrid configuration A | 97 |
| Appendix-C | Simulation report of microgrid configuration B | 98 |
| Appendix-D | Simulation report of microgrid configuration C | 99 |
| Appendix-E | Simulation report of microgrid configuration D | 100 |