IMPACT OF ECOTOURISM ON LOCAL LIVELIHOOD AND ENVIRONMENT: EVIDENCE FROM SELECTED AREAS IN SRI LANKA

R.R.N.N. Rathnaweera

(158708 M)

Master of Spatial Planning, Design & Management (2015/2017)

Dept. of Town & Country Planning

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

October 2019

IMPACT OF ECOTOURISM ON LOCAL LIVELIHOOD AND ENVIRONMENT: EVIDENCE FROM SELECTED AREAS IN SRI LANKA

R.R.N.N. Rathnaweera

(158708 M)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree

Master of Spatial Planning, Design and Management

Master of Spatial Planning, Design & Management (2015/2017)

Dept. of Town & Country Planning

University of Moratuwa

October 2019

DECLERATION

I hereby declare that this dissertation is my own work and effort and that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, it contains no material previously published or written by another person nor material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma of the university or other institute of higher learning, except where due acknowledgment has been made in the text.

| Signature ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
|---|
| Nayana Neelika Rathnaweera |
| Index No 158708M |
| Master of Spatial Planning, Design and Management |
| Department of Town and Country Planning, |
| University of Moratuwa, |
| Sri Lanka. |
| Date |

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that this thesis submitted by R.R.N.N.Rathnaweera (Index number:158708M) in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Spatial Planning, Design and Management at the Department of Town and Country Planning of the University of Moratuwa is a record of the own work carried out by the student under my supervision. This thesis has been submitted with my approval.

| Signature of the principal Supervisor | Date |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Head of the Department | Date |

Department of Town and Country Planning

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This thesis would not have been possible without the guidance and assistance from number of individuals throughout the study. They directly and indirectly contributed and extended their valuable assistance for preparation and completion of this master thesis. Therefore, I would like to convey my gratitude to those people.

It is with greatest respect that I express my sincere thanks to my supervisor Dr. Pemachandra. Wattage, Consultant, Department of Town and Country Planning, Faculty of Architecture, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka, for encouragement, guidance and support which enabled me to complete this thesis successfully. He was a source of encouragement, moral support and intellectual stimulation. Moreover, he was always ready to discuss problems which I have, pertaining to the study.

As well as I would like to thank to Dr. Shanaka, senior lecturer and Course Coordinator of the program, Department of Town and Country Planning, University of Moratuwa, for providing me necessary guidance and support during the study period. Also I take this opportunity to offer my heartfelt thanks to Dr. Ranaweera Rathnayake, Head, Department of Town and Country Planning, University of Moratuwa and Mrs. M.Herath, senior lecturer, Faculty of Architecture, Department of Town and Country Planning, University of Moratuwa, for providing guidance and encouragements to carry out this research study successfully. Further my appreciations goes to all academic and non-academic staff members of the Faculty of Architecture, University of Moratuwa

Last but not the least, it is my obligation to express my indebtedness and heartfelt gratitude to my loving parents, my sister, husband and two sons for their encouragement, guidance and sacrifice made throughout this journey.

ABSTRACT

Whether the Tourism industry generates many negative social and economical to the communities and environment it has become largest fastest growing industry of the world. Eco tourism can be identified as a rapidly growing part of the industry and branch of the sustainable tourism. Eco-tourism has been embraced by many developing countries with the realization that it could go a long way in improving their economy and uplifting the living standards of the people while protecting the environment and the cultural values. Sri Lanka has welcomed Eco-tourism to avoid negative impact generating from mass tourism.

Genuine ecotourism practices cannot be seen in the tourism generated areas in Sri Lanka. They are not aware of the real ecotourism principles. Ranpathwila, Kirelakele, Bundala and Sathurukondan are examples for failure eco-tourism projects which have not practicing principles. Hence it is needed to understand how ecotourism used as a tool to assure economic benefit to local community and contribution to the environment and bio diversity conservation of Sri Lanka. Therefore this research focus to understand subscription ecotourism to local people living in selected areas in Sri Lanka.

Kandalama Lake surrounding area of Mathale District, Heeloya in Mathale district and Ampitigala Madurawala in Kaluthara district were selected as the case study areas. These three are very famous tourism regions in Sri Lanka. Sample of this study consists of 310 families living in Kandalama, Heeloya and Madurawala areas in Sri Lanka. 148 families, 94 families, 68 families respectively selected from Kandalama, Madurawela and Heeloya to the sample. Data collecting was carried out through a questionnaire survey and interview with community members of the villages. Collected data was analyzed through Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) 21 version. Both qualitative and quantitative data analysis techniques including descriptive statistics, and Binary Regression analysis and narrative analysis were used for of this study.

Survey data shows that 20% of people in Madurawala, 12% of people in Heeloya and 5% of people in Kandalama were aware of the concept of eco-tourism. People in Madurawala and Heeloya have knowledge of concepts of ecotourism. Main livelihood activity is agriculture it

contributes 65% of the total sample. Considering the income level most of them are having low income from their main livelihood activity. But they earned additional income engaging ecotourism activity. There is evidence in Madurawala and Heeloya. Kandalama people are not engaging ecotourism. 7.1% of the sample engaged in supply of food and beverages which is the activity with highest percentage among ecotourism activities. Women empowerment and community empowerment can be identified in these areas. There is positive contribution regarding socio economic and infrastructure. According to the result it can say that people who are said that there will be an improvement in living standards of the local communities due to eco tourist activities since there is an improvement in Improves quality of life, facilitates meeting visitors, increase employment opportunities, Improves understanding of different communities as the believe.

This research revealed that Eco tourist's activities in Madurawala / Heeloya / Kandalama have positive contribution to conservation program and improving living condition of local community in near future.

Key words- Ecotourism, local community, local livelihood

.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| DECLERATION | iii |
|--|------|
| CERTIFICATION | iv |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | v |
| ABSTRACT | vi |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | viii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xi |
| LIST OF MAPS | xii |
| LIST OF TABLES | xiii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xiv |
| CHAPTER 1 | 15 |
| INTRODUCTION | 15 |
| 1.1 Background of the study | 15 |
| 1.2 Research problem | 17 |
| 1.3 Research Questions | 20 |
| 1.4 Objectives of the Study | 20 |
| 1.5 Method of the Study | 20 |
| 1.6 Scope and Limitations of the study | 21 |
| CHAPTER 2 | 22 |
| LITERATURE REVIEW | 22 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 22 |
| 2.2 Tourism in Sri Lanka | 22 |
| 2.3 Sustainable Tourism | 23 |
| 2.4 Ecotourism | 25 |
| 2.5 What is Ecotourism? | 26 |
| 2.6 Key components of Eco-tourism | 28 |
| 2.7 Conservation of natural environment | 28 |
| 2.8 Socioeconomic development of local areas | 28 |
| 2.9 Employment opportunities and capacity building | 29 |

| | 2.10. Infrastructure and other socio-economic development | .29 |
|---|---|------|
| | 2.11 Ecotourism interrelationships | .31 |
| | 2.11.1 Community and Resources | . 32 |
| | 2.11.2 Resources and Tourism | . 32 |
| | 2.11.3 Local Community and Tourism | . 32 |
| | 2.12 Ecotourism Potential | .33 |
| | 2.13 Ecotourism and community benefits | .34 |
| | 2.14 Ecotourism & Conservation | .35 |
| | 2.15 Research Gap | .36 |
| С | HAPTER 3 | .38 |
| R | ESEARCH DESIGN | .38 |
| | 3.1. Introduction | .38 |
| | 3.3 Research Question of the study | .39 |
| | 3.4. Selection of study area | .39 |
| | 3.5: Selection of the sample | .43 |
| | 3.6: Data collection | .44 |
| | 3.7.1 Narrative analysis | . 46 |
| C | HAPTER 4 | .47 |
| D | ATA COLLECTION, ANALYSIS, RESULTS | .47 |
| | 4.1 Introduction | .47 |
| | 4.2 Awareness of Ecotourism | .47 |
| | 4.3 What is Ecotourism? | .48 |
| | 4.4 Livelihood Activity of the area | .51 |
| | 4.5 Income | .53 |
| | 4.6 Engagement in ecotourism | .54 |
| | 4.7 Participation of the ecotourism | .56 |
| | 4.8: Ecotourism related activates | .57 |
| | 4.9 Income from ecotourism | .60 |
| | 4.10: General Income & Eco Income | .61 |
| | 4.11 Empowerment of the Local Community | .64 |
| | 4.12 Empowerment of Women | 65 |

| 4.13 Positive Contribution of Ecotourism | 66 |
|--|----|
| 4.14 Socio-Economic Advantages | 69 |
| 4.15 Social Welfare | 72 |
| 4.16 Public Perception towards Conservation of Natural Resources | 72 |
| 4.17 Binary Logistic Regression Analysis | 76 |
| 4.17.1 Summary of the Chapter | 82 |
| 4.18 Narrative Analysis | 83 |
| CHAPTER 5 | 85 |
| CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 85 |
| 5.1: Conclusion | 85 |
| 5.2 Recommendation | 86 |
| | |
| REFERENCES | 88 |
| ANNEXURES | 90 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1: Ecotourism towards sustainability | 24 |
|--|------|
| Figure 2: Conservation/Socio economic development | 30 |
| Figure 3: Interrelation of ecotourism | 31 |
| Figure 4: Research flow of the study | 38 |
| Figure 5: Awareness of Ecotourism | 48 |
| Figure 6: Awareness of the community on the features of Ecotourism | 49 |
| Figure 7: Livelihood Activity of the Communities | 51 |
| Figure 8: Livelihood Activity of the Communities in the research areas | 52 |
| Figure 9: Income of the Communities in the research areas | 53 |
| Figure 10: Engagement of Ecotourism | 54 |
| Figure 11: Participation in Ecotourism | 57 |
| Figure 12: Involvement of community in Ecotourism Activities | 59 |
| Figure 13: Income from Ecotourism | 61 |
| Figure 14: Income - Madurawala | 62 |
| Figure 15: Income - Heeloya | 63 |
| Figure 16: Income - Kandalama | 64 |
| Figure 17: Contribution of Ecotourism | 67 |
| Figure 18: Contribution of Ecotourism | 68 |
| Figure 19: Socio - Economic advantages of Ecotourism | 70 |
| Figure 20: Perception for Conservation of natural Resources | 73 |
| Figure 21: Existing contribution to the conservation natural resources | . 75 |

LIST OF MAPS

| Map 1: Location map of the Madurawala Study Area | 41 |
|--|----|
| Map 2: Location of the Heeloya study area | 42 |
| Map 3: Location of the Kandalama study area | 42 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1: Definitions | |
|--|----|
| Table 2: Awareness of Ecotourism | 47 |
| Table 3: Awareness of the community on the features of Ecotourism | 49 |
| Table 4: Livelihood Activity of the Communities | 51 |
| Table 5: Livelihood Activity of the communities in the research areas | 52 |
| Table 6: Engagement of Ecotourism | 54 |
| Table 7: Participation in Ecotourism | 56 |
| Table 8: Ecotourism Activities in the research area | 58 |
| Table 9: Involvement of community in Ecotourism Activities | 58 |
| Table 10: Income- Madurawela | 62 |
| Table 11: Income- Heeloya | 63 |
| Table 12: Income- Kandalama | 63 |
| Table 13: Contribution of Ecotourism | 66 |
| Table 14: Contribution of Ecotourism | 67 |
| Table 15: Socio- Economic advantages of Ecotourism | 70 |
| Table 16: Perception for Conservation of Natural Resources | 73 |
| Table 17: Existing contribution to the conservation of natural resources | 74 |
| Table 18: Model summary for Binominal Logistic Regression Analysis | 77 |
| Table 19: Classification table for Binominal Logistic Regression Analysis | 78 |
| Table 20: Variables in the equation for Binominal Logistic Regression Analysis | 78 |
| Table 21: Model Summary | |
| Table 22: Classification table for Binominal Logistic Regression Analysis | 81 |
| Table 23: Variables in the equation for Rinominal Logistic Regression Analysis | 21 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation Description

CBJ Community Based Tourism

GDP Gross Domestic Product

CBE Community Based Enterprises

SLEF Sri Lanka Ecotourism Foundation

WTO World tourism organization