

**A SOLUTION FOR STORAGE SPACE ALLOCATION
PROBLEM IN CONTAINER TERMINALS**

Kathaluwa Liyana Kankanamge Suranga Deshapriya

168216L

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of
Master of Science in Computer Science

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

March 2020

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date:.....

Name: K.L.K. Suranga Deshapriya

I certify that the declaration above by the candidate is true to the best of my knowledge and that this report is acceptable for evaluation of the Masters' thesis.

Signature of the supervisor:

Date:

Name: Dr. Indika Perera

ABSTRACT

Container terminal operations at a container port form an important part of worldwide goods trade. These facilities/operations are usually involved with expensive and limited resources and must, therefore, be planned carefully to ensure effective usage of the limited resources. The main roles of a container terminal are the transfer of inbound and outbound containers as well as their storage within the container yard of the container terminal.

Focusing more on inbound containers, we study the container storage space allocation problem, being one of the major problems in container terminals, and presents a solution with an implementation of a Genetic algorithm. In our solution, we aim to minimize the number of containers that have to be re-handled both when a container is fetched from vessel in order to store in the terminal and when a container is to be dispatched to a customer from the container terminal. In addition, the total Yard crane movements across the bays are aimed to be minimized. We take into account also the different container types such as Regular, Open Top and Reefer containers, which require special storage space allocations. Furthermore, we adapt our solution such that it can provision for the changes in the environment and configurations, etc. with minimal code changes.

For the evaluation of our work, it was compared against both the standard LIFO approach as well as the Optimized LIFO approach, which is an optimization of the manual process used. For this, results of 50 sample shipments were evaluated against these two approaches and the results indicated that Optimized LIFO produced better results than the LIFO approach and that both the LIFO and the Optimized LIFO produced better results than the results of GA's initial generations. But with the generations to pass by, GA results got improved and went past the fitness of LIFO and Optimized LIFO results, even though the rate of improvements declined. Thus, for all 50 samples, the results of our solution could go past the results of LIFO and Optimized LIFO approach, within an average of 21.32 generations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express profound gratitude to my advisor, Dr. Indika Perera, for his invaluable support by providing relevant knowledge, materials, advice, supervision and useful suggestions throughout this research work. His expertise and continuous guidance enabled me to complete my work successfully.

I am grateful for the support and advice given by Dr. Shehan Perera and Dr. Malaka Walpola, by encouraging continuing this research till the end. Further I would like to thank all my colleagues for their help on finding relevant research material, sharing knowledge and experience and for their encouragement.

I am as ever, especially indebted to my parents for their love and support throughout my life. I also wish to thank my loving wife, who supported me throughout my work.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	<u>I</u>
Abstract	<u>II</u>
Acknowledgement	<u>III</u>
Table of Contents	<u>IV</u>
List of Figures	<u>VI</u>
List of Tables	<u>VI</u>
List of Abbreviations	<u>VII</u>
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	<u>1</u>
1.1 Container Yards and Yard operations	<u>2</u>
1.2 Container Storage at Container Yard	<u>4</u>
1.3 Different types of containers	<u>6</u>
1.4 The Problem/Opportunity	<u>7</u>
1.5 Motivation	<u>9</u>
1.6 Research Problem	<u>10</u>
1.7 Objectives	<u>11</u>
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	<u>13</u>
2.1 Genetic Algorithm Approach	<u>14</u>
2.2 Harmony Search Approach	<u>17</u>
2.3 Simulation Based Approach	<u>18</u>
2.4 Rolling-Horizon Approach	<u>20</u>
2.5 Multitier architecture	<u>20</u>
2.6 Pipe and Filter Architecture	<u>22</u>
2.7 Summary of Related Work	<u>24</u>
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY	<u>26</u>
3.1 Proposed Solution	<u>27</u>
3.1.1 Container Shipment Representation	<u>28</u>
3.1.2 Container Representation in the Yard	<u>29</u>
3.2 The Genetic Algorithm Used in the Proposed Solution	<u>30</u>
3.2.1 Initial Population	<u>31</u>
3.2.2 Fitness Evaluation	<u>34</u>
3.2.3 Selection	<u>36</u>
3.2.4 Crossover	<u>37</u>
3.2.5 Mutation	<u>39</u>
3.3 Solution Architecture and Implementation	<u>40</u>
3.3.1 Model for the Container in the Vessel	<u>40</u>
3.3.2 Model for the Container in the Yard	<u>41</u>
3.3.3 Integration of Pipe and Filter Architecture	<u>42</u>
CHAPTER 4 SYSTEM EVALUATION	<u>43</u>
4.1 Generation of Shipment Data as the Test Data	<u>44</u>

4.2 Evaluation Techniques	<u>45</u>
4.2.1 Optimized LIFO and LIFO Approaches.....	<u>46</u>
4.2.2 Test Objectives, Design and Sampling	<u>48</u>
4.3 Test Results	<u>49</u>
CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION	<u>61</u>
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION	<u>65</u>
REFERENCES	<u>71</u>
APPENDIX	<u>74</u>

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1.1: Container loading and unloading process
- Figure 1.2: Basic layout of a Container terminal with QCs (red), YCs (blue) and Trucks/Tractors (green)
- Figure 1.3: Organization of containers within a block
- Figure 1.4: Location of a particular container with the parameters: block no x, y and z
- Figure 1.5: An Open Top container
- Figure 2.1: Comparison between B&B and GA's CPU times
- Figure 2.2: Flowchart of the HS algorithm
- Figure 2.3: A snapshot of container Terminal from the simulation model run
- Figure 2.4: An example of Pipe and Filter architecture
- Figure 3.1: Container assignment in the shipment.
- Figure 3.2: Container assignment in the Container Yard
- Figure 3.3: Genetic Algorithm
- Figure 3.4: Divided mating list and the selection for the crossover operation
- Figure 3.5: Crossover of two solutions
- Figure 3.6: Model for the Container in the Vessel
- Figure 3.7: Model for the Container in the Yard
- Figure 3.8: Fitness calculation process in Pipe and Filter Architecture
- Figure 4.1: Fitness over Generations for Shipment 31
- Figure 4.2: Histogram for the Frequency distribution of 'Achieved at' Generations.

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 1.1: Top 20 container terminals and their throughput, 2012–2014 (TEUs and percentage change) [15]
- Table 2.1: Summary of Related Work
- Table 4.1: Summarized Results for each Shipment

Table 4.2: Detailed Results for Shipment 1
Table 4.3: Detailed Results for Shipment 2
Table 4.4: Detailed Results for Shipment 3
Table 4.5: Detailed Results for Shipment 4
Table 4.6: Detailed Results for Shipment 5
Table 4.7: Detailed Results for Shipment 6
Table 4.8: Average Time Taken For Each Shipment Size

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
CT	Container Terminal
CY	Container Yard
YC	Yard Crane
QC	Quay Crane
GA	Genetic algorithm
SSAP	Storage space allocation problem
HS	Harmony Search
B&B	Branch-and-Bound
TEU	Twenty-Foot Equivalent Unit