ANALYSIS OF PERFORMANCE OF ESTIMATORS IN COMPETITIVE BIDDING

Rohan Carlo Fernando



A Project Report Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Degree of Master of Engineering in Construction Management

Department of Civil Engineering
University of Moratuwa
Srilanka
May 2003

Abstract

Competitive bidding is an intriguing game for company estimators and offer an equal opportunity to submit bids to the prospective clients. The analysis of previous estimating performances of contractors is very important when submitting bids in successive stages in order to become the lowest bidder.

In this research, the estimator's performances are analyzed in three main headings; analysis of current estimating performances, variability of lowest tenders and the relationship between the estimating inaccuracies and the marginal loss.

Under the analysis of current estimating performance the variation of contractor's cost estimate to the lowest bid. variability of contractor's bid with respect to time. Variation of lowest bid and mean bid against nulilber of bidders, statistical test of randomness and success rate sensitivity to change in *mark up policies* arc discussed. *different mark up policies* for different cost estimates were determined giving indication to the contractor that \hut range of projects (cost) to be bid. In addition, the success rates for different project types Were also analysed. Success rate sensitivity to change in mark up policies gives indication to the contractor that how he increases his success rate in future bidding.

The analysis of variability of lowest tenders gives some indication about the mean of the lowest bids for different range of cost estimates. The coefficient of variation gives some indication about the dispersion of lowest bids so that the contractor can determine the lowest bid l for given significance level.

Determination of estimating inaccuracy gives very useful information on estimating variability and the site costing performances. The prospective contractors need to minimize their estimating inaccuracies in succeeding bidding situations. The estimating iJ1accurac:-(obtained as :::c 2.0-2.YY<1) was linked with the marginal loss (obtained as± 2.0%) so that the contractors can determine the possible marginal losses in competition before submitting bids for competition.

Acknowledgement

First of all let me sincerely express my grateful thanks to Eng. H.I. Lamabadusuriya former Deputy General Manager (Construction) in Sri Lanka Land Reclamation and Development Corporation who recommended me to follow the P.G. Dip (Construction Management) and to Dr. A.A.D.A.J. Perera for his invaluable assistance, advice and the guidance as the project supervisor during the course and to Eng. P.P.Ghnanapala Deputy General Manager (Research and Designs) who always encouraged me in numerous ways on completion of this project and Mrs. S.N. Gamage assisting typing this report.

My special thanks should also to Mr. Balasuriya Manager Quantity Surveyor (National Housing Development Authority), Mr. Gunesekera Manager Quantity Surveyor, Mr. Elroy Fernando Quantity Surveyor and Mr. B.D.C. Kumara Engineering Assistant who assisted me in data collection and sharing their experience with me in this field.

Contents				Page No
Chapter 1:	Anal	ysis of t	he performance of estimators in competitive biddin	g
	1.1	Introdu	action	1
	1.2	Backgr	round	2
•	1.3	Object	ives	. 3
	1.4	Metho	dology	3 -
		1.4.1	Determination of estimator's current estimating	4
			performances	
		1.4.2	Analysis of the variation of lowest bid and mean bid against number of bidders	4
		1.4.3	Analysis of the variability of lowest tenders	5
		1.4.4.	Determination of estimation inaccuracies and	5
		Hn	the marginal losses	
	1.5 N		lingsonic Theses & Dissertations	6
			reportb.mrt.ac.lk	()
Chapter 2 :	Liter	ature Re	view	
	2.1	Introd	uction	8
	2.2	Histor	ical Review of the Bidding behavior	9
		2.2.1	Friedman's Bidding Model	()
		2.2.2	Gates M. Bidding Model	. 12
		2.2.3	Fines B. Bidding model	12
		2.2.4	Benjamin's Modification to Gate's and	
			Friedman's Model	12
		2.2.5	Expected profit Vs. Optimum Mark up	13
		2.2.6	Overall distribution of tenders and its mean	14
	2.3	Comp	ents on Ridding Models	15

		·	Page No
	2.4	Analysis of quantity surveyors current estimating performances	1.7
		2.4.1 Introduction	. 17
		2.4.2 The accuracy of quantity surveyor's estimate	17
		2.4.3 Quantity surveyor's current estimating performance	18
		2.4.3.1. Determination of average deviation of	
		cost estimate to the lowest bid	18
		2.4.3.2. Determination of estimating variability	
		against time	22
		2.4.3.3. Analysis of the variation of the lowest bid	
		and mean bid against number of bidders	- 24
		2.4.3.4. Statistical test for randomness	25
		2.4.3.5. Success rate sensitivity to changes	
		Unin mark upof Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	26
	2.5	Factors influencing estimating accuracy issertations	28
		2.5.1 Variability of lowest tenders	. 28
		2.5.2 Source of cost data used in estimation	30
		2.5.3 Inherent error attached to the estimating technique	31
		2.5.4 Variability due to making adjustments to the	
		chosen cost data	33
	2.6	Determination of relationship between	
		the marginal loss and the estimating inaccuracy	34
		2.6.1 Estimation inaccuracies	34
٠		2.6.2 Marginal loss	36
		2.6.3 Preparation of graph of average marginal loss Vs numbe	r of
		bidders by using computer simulation	38
			· ·
	2 7	Conclusion on Literature Review	39

		Page No
Chapter 3.0:	Data Collection and Data Analysis	
3.1	Introduction	. 42
3.2	Collection of Data	42
	3.2.1 Objectives of Collecting Data	42
	3.2.2 Criteria for selection	43
3.3	Analysis of Data	43
	3.3.1 Determination of estimators' current	
	estimating performances	43
	3.3.1.1 Determination of the deviation of the cost	
	estimate from the lowest bid	43
	3.3.1.2 Determination of the estimating variability	
	Lagainst time of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	59
	3.3.1.3 Analysis of the trend of the contractor's	
	estimating variability	04
	3.3.1.4 Analysis of the variation of the lowest bid	
	and the mean bid against number of bidders	. 65
	3.3.1.5 Determination the statistical test for randomness	70
	3.3.1.6 Analysis of success rate sensitivity to	
	changes in mark up	72
	3.4.1 Analysis of the variability of the lowest tenders	77
	3.4.2 Analysis of the marginal loss Vs number of bidders	82
Chapter 4.0:	Conclusion, Recommendation and Further Research	
4.1 Conclus	sion	87
4.2 Recomi	mendation	88
4.3 Further	Research	80
List of Ref	erences	- 9(

List of	Figures
---------	---------

ist of Fi	gures	
hapter :	2:	Page No
2.1	Frequency Vs mark up for particular contractor	4()
2.2	Cumulative Frequency Vs mark up for particular contractor	1.1
2.3	Cumulative Frequency Vs mark up for known competitors	1.1
2.4	Expected profit Vs mark up	14
2.5	Lowest Bid Vs Cost estimate	. 20
2.6	Estimating variability Vs Time	22
2.7	Cumulative variability Vs Time (Type 1)	. 23
2.8	Cumulative variability Vs Time (Type 2)	23
2.9	Variation of success rate against the number of bidders	27
2.10	Variation of cumulative quantity Vs cumulative number of items	32
2.11	Variation of cumulative value Vs cumulative number of items	32
2.12	Frequency Vs bid/cost of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	35
2.13	Variation of average marginal loss Vs number of bidders	37
	for different estimating accuracy	
Chaptei		
3.1	Variation of deviation for different estimating errors	46
3.2	Variation of deviation for different estimating errors for all projects and project type 2	s 40
2.2	Variation of deviation for different estimating errors for all projects	s 5(
3.3	for contractor and consultant	
2.1	Scatter diagram for estimated cost Vs lowest bid for all projects	5.2
3.4	Scatter diagram for cost estimate Vs. lowest bid	5.
3.5		
3.4	(project cost up to 15Mil) Mark up ranges for different cost estimates of the contractor	58
3.6	Variation of estimating variability against time	
3.7	for all projects (for the contractor)	()
	for an projects (for the contractor)	

.

		·				
		Pag	ge No			
3.8	Varia	ation of contractor's estimating variability against Time				
	for p	project type 1 for the contractor	63			
3.9	Varia	ation of contractor's estimating variability against Time				
	for p	roject type 2 for the contractor	63			
3.10	Varia	ation of contractor's cumulative estimating variability				
	again	st Time for all projects for the contractor	64			
3.11	Varia	ntion of contractor's cumulative estimating variability				
	again	st Time for project types 1 and 2 for the contractor	64			
3.12	Aver	age difference between the mean and the lowest Vs				
	Num	ber of bidders	67			
3.13	Aver	age difference between the cost estimate, mean and				
	the l	owest against number of bidders	(,9)			
3.14	Cum	Cumulative sum of Bid - mean bid/mean bid for the Lowest,				
	2nd	lowest and 3rd lowest leses & Dissertations	72			
3.15	The	graph of success rate with changes in mark up of the contractor	76			
3.16	The g	graph of average marginal loss Vs number of bidders	. 83			
List of	Tables					
Chapte	er 2 :					
	2.1	Accuracy of estimates to the lowest bid	19			
	2.2	Values of Cn	30			
Chapte	er 3:					
	3.1	Relationship of cost estimate to the lowest bid of the				
		contractor % deviation of cost estimate from the lowest	, 44			
	3.2	The distribution of projects with respect to different types	44			
		of the contractor				
	3.3	Distribution of tenders and the cost estimate (for the contractor)	4.5			
	3.4	Relationship of cost estimate to the lowest bid for				
		consultant's estimate	49			

•

	Page	2 No
3.5	Distribution of project types of consultant's estimates	49
3.6	The distribution of tenders and the cost estimate	
	of the consultant's	40
3.7	The deviation of estimates as % for the contractor and for	
	the consultant	51
3.8	Results of the regression analysis for projects cost	
	up to Rs 15 Millions (with intercept)	54
3.9	Results of the regression analysis for projects cost	
	up to Rs 15 Millions	55
3.10	Results of the regression analysis for projects cost	
	Rs 15 – 40 Millions (with intercept)	56
3.11	Results of the regression analysis for projects cost	
199	Rs 15 40 Millionsc Theses & Dissertations	56
3.12	Results of the regression analysis for projects cost greater than	
	greater than Rs 40 Millions (with intercept)	57
3.13	Results of the regression analysis for projects cost greater than	
	greater than Rs 40 Millions	57
3.14	Results of the regression analysis for project type 1	57
3.15	Estimating variability against Time for all projects for contractor	60
3.16	Percentage of variability for different ranges	62
3.17	Average difference between the mean and the lowest	65
3.18	The summary of average difference between the mean	
	and the lowest	66
3.19	Summery of the average difference between the mean,	
	cost estimate and the lowest against number of bidders	68
3.20	Variation of randomness of the contractor's bid and	
	the mean bid	70
	•	

		Page No
3.21	Contractor's success rates	73
3.22	Contractor's and lowest bidder's mark up policies against Time	73
3.23	Success rate Vs changes in mark up	75
3.24	Range of tender distribution with respect to number of bidders	77
3.25	Rang of tenders for different project cost	78
3.26	Coefficient of variation of the lowest tender for different cost range	79
3.27	Overall coefficient of variation for different project sizes	. 81
3.28	Estimation inaccuracies for individual items	82
3.29	Average marginal loss Vs number of bidders for different estimating inaccuracies	g 83
3.30	Average estimating inaccuracies for different project cost	
	obtained from computer simulation	84
3.36	Average marginal loss for different project cost i Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	85