# A MACHINE LEARNING APPROACH FOR LANDSLIDE SUSCEPTIBILITY MODELING FOR RATHNAPURA DISTRICT, SRI LANKA

Madirawalage Anjali Shanika Perera

(189339R)

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

May 2021

# A MACHINE LEARNING APPROACH FOR LANDSLIDE SUSCEPTIBILITY MODELING FOR RATHNAPURA DISTRICT, SRI LANKA

Madirawalage Anjali Shanika Perera

(189339R)

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science in Computer Science

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

May 2021

### **DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE & SUPERVISOR**

M.A.S Perera (189339R) hereby declare that this report was carried out by me under the supervision of Dr. Uthayasanker Thayasivam, in the Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Moratuwa. It has not been submitted to any other institution or study program by me for any other purpose.

HOM Varified Signature

University of Moratuwa,

Katubadda.

OOM verijied Signature	14/11/2021
M.A.S Perera	Date
I, Dr. Uthayasanker Thayasivam, certify that the above candidate is true & this report is forwarded for the purpo	·
UOM Verified Signature	14/11/2021
Dr. Uthayasanker Thayasivam	Date
Senior Lecturer,	
Department of Computer Science and Engineering,	

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my supervisor, Senior Lecture Dr. Uthyasanker Thayasivam, for providing me with excellent guidance, advice, and time from the beginning of this study, as well as for providing me with exceptional experiences during the work, and for his unwavering encouragement and support in various ways.

I would also like to thank, MSc Coordinator, Dr. Charith Chitraranjan for sparing his valuable time and guide me on this work. And I express my gratitude to the entire senior and junior lecturer panel, as well as the faculty and staff of the University of Moratuwa's Faculty of Engineering, for their guidance, supervision, and critical contribution to this study.

Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to my family and friends for their help in making this study a success.

#### **Abstract**

In certain areas of the world, landslides are the most common and recurrent natural hazard, resulting in substantial human deaths and property damage. Landslides are extremely common in Sri Lanka, with landslides affecting approximately 30.7% of the country's land area. As the demand for human growth has increased, landslides have become a major problem in Sri Lanka's mountainous regions. As a result, detecting landslide potential associated with terrain data and remote sensing data is crucial for ensuring the long-term viability of projects while minimizing the risk of landslide disasters.

The aim of this study is to develop a susceptibility map for Sri Lanka using a novel data science approach. This study has been used the Rathnapura district in Sri Lanka as the study area. In this study, five ensemble machine learning algorithms: Random Forest, Bagged Decision Tree, AdaBoost, XGBoost, and Gradient Boost were used for landslide prediction and landslide susceptibility map modeling. Using the K-Means clustering algorithm, the class probability values from ensemble-based machine learning algorithms were used to reclassify the study area into susceptibility levels: Extreme Low (EL), Low (L), Moderate (M), High (H), Very High (VH), and Extreme High (EH). In addition, landslide susceptibility maps were generated using the Frequency Ratio technique. The Landslide Susceptibility Index (LSI) was generated using the Frequency Ratio values. The study area was then categorized into six landslide susceptibility classes based on the LSI value: Extreme Low, Low, Moderate, High, Very High, and Very High.

The F-Score, Accuracy, Precision, and Recall values were used to evaluate the landslide prediction results, while the Landslide Density value was used to evaluate the LSMs. Finally, a web application was developed to visualize landslide susceptibility maps, landslide locations, and landslide conditioning factor maps.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

DECLA	ARATION OF THE CANDIDATE & SUPERVISOR	i
ACKNO	OWLEDGMENT	ii
Abstrac	t	iii
LIST O	F FIGURES	viii
LIST O	F TABLES	ix
LIST O	F APPENDICES	X
СНАРТ	TER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Landslide	1
1.2	Landslide Susceptibility Mapping	2
1.3	Problem Definition	3
1.4	Research Objectives	3
СНАРТ	TER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1	Introduction	5
2.2	Landslide Disaster Risk Reduction in Sri Lanka	5
2.3	Landslide prediction systems in Sri Lanka	7
2.4	Landslide Susceptibility Mapping Techniques	7
2.4.1	Landslide Susceptibility Mapping	8
2.4.2	Landslide Susceptibility Mapping in Sri Lanka	11
2.5	Summary	13
СНАРТ	TER 3: STUDY AREA	18
3.1	Study Area: Rathnapura district	19
СНАРТ	TER 4: EXPERIMENTS	20
4.1	Experiments on Dataset	20
42	Causative factors of landslide	20

4.2.1	Slope
4.2.2	Land use
4.2.3	Water Catchment
4.2.4	Landform
4.2.5	Vegetation
4.2.6	Elevation 22
4.2.7	Distance to water streams
4.2.8	Overburden
4.2.9	Drainage Density
4.2.1	0 Annual Rainfall
4.3	Preparation of the training and validation datasets
4.4	Experiments on existing methodologies
CHAP	TER 5: METHODOLOGY
5.1	Landslide Causative factor selection
5.1.1	Tree based feature important methods
5.1.2	Information Gain (IG) factor selection method
5.2	Re-Sampling Techniques for Class Imbalance Issue
5.2.1	Penalize Algorithms (Cost-sensitive Training)
5.2.2	Change the Algorithms or use Tree-based Algorithms
5.3	Landslide Prediction Modeling
5.3.1	Random Forest Algorithm
5.3.2	Bagged Decision Tree (BDT) Algorithm
5.3.3	Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost) Algorithm
5.3.4	AdaBoost Algorithm
5.3.5	Gradient Boosted Tree (GBRT) Algorithm
5.4	Landslide Susceptibility Modeling

5.4.1	Landslide Susceptibility Modeling using a Semi-supervised r	nachine
learni	ng model	37
5.4.1.	1 K-Means Algorithm	38
5.4.2	Landslide Susceptibility Modeling using Frequency Ratio (FR) model	el 39
5.5	Model Validation Techniques	42
5.5.1	Confusion Matrix	43
5.5.2	Accuracy	44
5.5.3	Precision	44
5.5.4	Recall	44
5.5.5	F-Score	45
5.5.6	Specificity	45
5.5.7	Sensitivity	45
5.5.8	Landslide Density Index (LDI)	45
СНАРТ	ER 6: RESULTS AND EVALUATION	47
6.1	Feature Selection Method Results	47
6.1.1	Tree-based feature selection method results	47
6.1.2	Information Gain (IG) feature selection method results	48
6.2	Landslide prediction results.	49
6.3	Landslide Susceptibility Map (LSM) results	51
6.3.1	LSM by Machine Learning Model Results	51
СНАРТ	ER 7: DATA VISUALIZATION WEB APPLICATION	55
7.1	Technologies used for web application implementation	56
7.1.1	Leaflet JavaScript Library	56
7.1.2	CesiumJS JavaScript Library with GeoJson files	56
СНАРТ	TER 8: CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS	58
REFER	ENCES	62

APPENDIX A: GIS FEATURE MAPS
APPENDIX B: THE CORRELATION BETWEEN THE LANDSLIDE LOCATION
AND LANDSLIDE CONDITIONING FACTOR CLASSES7
APPENDIX C: SCREENSHOTS OF THE APPLICATION

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Landslide disaster risk reduction process in Sri Lanka 6
Figure 2.2: Digital elevation model and landslide occurrence in the study area9
Figure 3.1: Spatial Distribution of Landslides [43]
Figure 3.2: Landslide Map in Rathnapura District
Figure 5.1: Methodological diagram for Landslide Susceptibility map construction 28
Figure 5.2: Landslide distribution
Figure 5.3: Relationship between landslide and causative factors (a) Rainfall (b)
Distance To Water Streams (c) Drainage Density (d) Elevation (e) Catchment (f)
Vegetation (g) Land Use (h) Overburden (i) Landform and (j) Slope maps
Figure 5.4: Confusion matrix terminology
Figure 6.1: Feature Importance of landslide conditioning factors
Figure 6.2: Information Gain of landslide conditioning factors
Figure 6.3: Performance comparison of Machine learning classifiers
Figure 6.4: Landslide susceptibility maps produced by semi-supervised machine
learning model
Figure 6.5: Landslide susceptibility maps produced by ensemble FR method (a)
without considering weights for each causative factor (b) by considering weights for
each causative factor
Figure 6.6: Landslide proportion of each susceptibility level
Figure 6.7: Landslide density distribution of each susceptibility level

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Comparison between Data Science based Landslide Susceptibi	lity
approaches	. 14
Table 4.1: Landslide conditioning factors with their corresponding sources	. 21
Table 4.2: Classification of causative factors	. 24
Table 5.1: ExtraTreeClassifier parameters	. 30
Table 5.2: Information gain feature selection classifier parameters	. 30
Table 5.3: Classifier Parameters	. 36
Table 6.1: Performance comparison of ensemble machine learning algorithms	on
landslide prediction	. 49
Table 6.2: Accuracy statistics for LSM models	. 52

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: GIS FEATURE MAPS	69
APPENDIX B: THE CORRELATION BETWEEN THE LANDSLID	E LOCATION
AND LANDSLIDE CONDITIONING FACTOR CLASSES	71
APPENDIX C: SCREENSHOTS OF THE APPLICATION	74