

**DEVELOPMENT OF A SOIL BASED FLOORING  
MATERIAL FOR TROPICS**

Galabadage Harsha Galabada

178092J

Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Civil Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

August 2021

**DEVELOPMENT OF SOIL BASED FLOORING  
MATERIAL FOR TROPICS**

Galabadage Harsha Galabada

178092J

Dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy in Civil Engineering

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

August 2021

## **Declaration of Candidate and Supervisor**

“I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgment any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgment is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to the University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).”

Signature:

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the PhD Dissertation under my supervision.

Prof. R. U. Halwatura

Prof. A. H. L. R. Nilmini

Department of Civil Engineering

Faculty of Technology

University of Moratuwa

University of Sri Jaywardenepura

## Abstract

The unplanned and rapid growth of developments has led to adverse impacts on the climate and biodiversity. Among them, the building industry is one of the foremost sectors. Therefore, the proper selection of building materials and techniques is of prime importance. Out of several sustainable materials, the soil has gained more attraction due to its advantageous features. Hence, this century has seen several notable milestones after passing thousands of years with the usage of soil in construction. Earthen flooring is one aesthetically attractive building element that has recently been restored and modernized. In fact, terrazzo, ceramic tiles woods, etc. are the widely available flooring materials associated with certain undesirable features. Hence, a necessity has arisen to seek alternative flooring materials. However, soil as a flooring material has not been investigated properly. Therefore, this research focuses on developing soil as a flooring material with sustainable features while pursuing possible means of increasing the strength of soil as a flooring material and enhancing the top surface finish. Then, the durability and service characteristics, cost benefits, thermal performance would be evaluated with the behavior on a real scale. The optimum soil gradation was first investigated. Next, investigation results showed that the increase in water content causes a decrease in the compressive strength, linearly at a constant rate for all cement percentages. The selected soil cement mix with water form a concrete and 150 mm standard cubes were used to determine the compressive strength. The best size and shape of test specimens were predicted and the relationship between compressive strength and specimen size and shape was identified in this background. Consequently, soil concrete was tested with the addition of metal as a coarse aggregate, but it did not influence the improvement of compressive strength in a significant level. Soil concrete with chemical admixtures showed that the admixtures were useful to enhance the workability and strength. The Mixed proportion consisted of fine particle contents 0-10%, a sand content 55%-60%, gravel content 30%-35% with a maximum gravel size of 25mm. The required cement was 18%-20% that depended on the usage of the admixture. The required moisture range was 16%-19% for soil concrete without admixtures to achieve a workable mix. To form the top surface to be architecturally attractive and for smoothness with regard to user comfort, the surfaces were smoothened in this background. Among several resins, one synthetic resin and floor sealer were selected and applied on the prepared soil floor samples as a surface coating. The Phenol-formaldehyde (novolac) resin was selected and cured with the Hexamethylenetetramine (HMTA) with heat treatment. The bonding capacity of coating in the soil floor, the water absorption, the abrasion resistance, the slip resistance and the stain resistance were analyzed according to the relevant standards to evaluate the soil floor with the resin coating for durability and service characteristics. Though all the tested parameters were within the standard requirements, the abrasion resistance of resin surface failed in this context. However, the floors which were with floor sealers showed positive results. At the end of the series of experiments, the mixing proportion, the top surface finishing material and the method of construction were decided for the continuation of the research. Thermal performance and cost benefits were then evaluated and compared with other selected existing floorings. According to the temperature variation pattern, the soil floor showed a significantly low top surface temperature compared to the other floorings and indoor air temperature. In fact, the Life Cycle Cost (LCC) for soil floor is significantly less compared to other existing floorings.

Finally, the study findings suggest that soil could be used as a flooring material and a floor sealer could be used as a top surface finishing layer with a long-term sustainability. However, further research is required to find the suitability for a building's upper floors and the use of natural resin as a top surface finishing material.

**Keywords:** soil-based construction, soil concrete, sustainable flooring materials, self-compacting in-situ cast floor

## **Acknowledgment**

First and foremost, I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my research supervisor, Professor R.U.Halwatura, for his great teaching, guidance, suggestions and comments throughout my research. Prof. Halwatura is a great supporter who guided me while giving me all the freedom and encouragement to accompany my thinking. The Author wishes to acknowledge thankfully the support given by Prof. Dr. A.H.L.R. Nilmini, (University of Sri Jayawadanapura) as my co-supervisor.

Next, my deepest gratitude goes to Dr. R.M.K.U. Rajapaksha, (Head, Department of Architecture), Dr. L. L. Ekanayake (Senior Lecturer, Department of Civil Engineering), Prof. P.G. Rathnasiri (Department of Chemical & Process Engineering) and Prof. (Mrs.) J. C. P. H. Gamage (Research Coordinator, Department of Civil Engineering) for their continuous encouragement, comments and guidance as my examiners of bi-annual review panels.

The author wishes to acknowledge thankfully the excellent support given Prof. S.A.S. Kulathilaka (Head, Department of Civil Engineering) and all the academic and non-academic staff of the University of Moratuwa who help me in completing this research successfully.

I wish to extend my sincere thanks for the support I received from all the Technical officers and Lab-Attendant of the Department of Civil Engineering/ University of Moratuwa. Very special and heartfelt thanks are for the colleagues in the Management Division including Ms. Priyantha, Ms.Rukma, Naweena for their gracious associations throughout the last three years.

I graciously acknowledge the support given by all the members in the group of Prof. Halwatura's research assistances and Dr. (Mrs). S.N.Malkanthi (Senior Lecturer, University of Ruhuna) throughout my research work.

Also, I thank Mrs.P.P.A.S. Jayasinghe (Superintendent of Work-Civil) and everyone in works division, University of Moratuwa who helped me with their kind-hearted support to complete my research work.

The author wishes to extend her sincere gratitude to Mr. Rupasinghe Perera (Logistic Senior Manager, Mixing and Operational Excellence) and Mr. Ishanth Sameera (RCM Senior Manager, Central Engineering Division) in Camso Loadstar (Pvt.) Ltd., Mr.P.Piyasena (Assistant General Manager, plant & technology), Mr.L. Gunathilake (Senior Manager) in Lanka Tiles PLC and Fairmate (private) limited, to support given for the part of my experimental process.

Then, I wish to extend my heartfelt gratitude to Dr. (Mrs) W.B.M.Thoradeniya (senior Lecturer. ITUM) for her invaluable encouragement and guidance throughout my study life.

The author wishes to thank all those who contributed to the completion of this project successfully.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge the sacrificial dedication of my loving husband Sampath for his unwavering support throughout my studies and my three daughters Kehara, Lisara, Dihara for uncomplainingly sacrificing some of their entitled time with me.

## Table of Contents

Declaration of Candidate and Supervisor .....	i
Abstract .....	ii
Acknowledgment.....	iii
Table of Contents .....	iv
List of Figures .....	vii
List of Tables.....	xi
CHAPTER 1 : INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1. General .....	1
1.2. Research Gap .....	4
1.3. Aim and Objectives.....	5
1.4. Methodology .....	5
1.5. Main Findings .....	7
1.6. Organization of the Thesis .....	8
CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW.....	9
2.1. General .....	9
2.2. Sustainable construction and materials .....	9
2.2.1. Sustainable construction .....	10
2.2.2. Sustainable construction materials.....	14
2.2.3. “Construction materials for thermal comfort in a tropical climate”.....	16
2.2.4. Earth/Soil as a construction material.....	21
2.2.5. Mud concrete.....	28
2.3. Admixtures in the construction industry .....	32
2.4. Floor finishing materials .....	35
2.4.1. Floor finishes with waste materials.....	38
2.4.2. Properties of flooring and standard tests methods .....	40
2.4.3. Thermal comfortability of flooring materials .....	42

2.5. Floor coatings and Resins .....	44
2.5.1. Phenol-formaldehyde resins.....	47
2.6. Summary .....	49
CHAPTER 3 : MIX DESIGN FOR SOIL FLOORING .....	51
3.1. General .....	51
3.2. Materials used for the floor base .....	52
3.2.1. Soil .....	52
3.2.2. Water and Cement.....	52
3.3. Mix development.....	52
3.3.1. Initial water requirement for a workable mix .....	53
3.3.2. Determining the best particle size distribution.....	56
3.3.3. The behavior of soil concrete with different course aggregates.....	66
3.4. Identifying the impact of water content on compressive strength.....	69
3.5. Identifying the effect of specimen's size and shape on compressive strength of soil concrete .....	72
3.6. Shrinkage on soil concrete .....	84
3.7. Summary .....	88
CHAPTER 4 : ADMIXTURES TO ENHANCE SOIL CONCRETE STRENGTH .....	90
4.1. General .....	90
4.2. Material selection .....	91
4.3. Workability test .....	92
4.4. Compressive strength test.....	97
4.5. Summary .....	102
CHAPTER 5 : DEVELOPMENT OF TOP COATING.....	104
5.1. General .....	104
5.2. Preparation of floor samples with the selected soil concrete mix .....	105
5.3. Preparation of the top surface.....	106

5.3.1. Surface preparation process in method 3 (T3) .....	107
5.4. Materials and application of top coating .....	110
5.4.1. Application process of the Novolac resin .....	110
5.5. Top surface coating on the soil floor with the floor sealer.....	118
5.6. Durability and service characteristics .....	119
5.6.1. Bond performance.....	119
5.6.2. Water absorption and sorptivity.....	122
5.6.3. Slip resistance .....	130
5.6.4. Ware / Abrasion resistance .....	132
5.6.5. Stain resistance.....	134
5.7. Summary .....	136
CHAPTER 6 : REAL SCALE BEHAVIOR OF PROPOSED FLOORING AND COST BENEFIT ANALYSIS .....	138
6.1. Construction of soil concrete floor in large scale.....	138
6.2. Comparison of thermal performance of soil concrete floor with other existing floorings .....	142
6.3. Construction of real scale floor .....	143
6.4. Cost benefit analysis.....	150
6.4.1. Life cycle cost (LCC) Calculations.....	150
6.5. Summary .....	154
CHAPTER 7 : CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	156
7.1. General .....	156
7.2. Conclusions/ Recommendations .....	156
7.3. Future works.....	161
References .....	162



## List of Figures

Figure 1-1: The flow diagram for the overall study program .....	6
Figure 2-1: Life cycle of earthen construction [65] .....	22
Figure 3-1: Methodology layout of mix design of floor concrete .....	51
Figure 3-2: Main materials used to produce the soil concrete .....	52
Figure 3-3: Slump and flow table apparatus .....	53
Figure 3-4: Workability tests (slump test and flow table test).....	54
Figure 3-5: Variation of workability of soil cement mix with moisture %.....	55
Figure 3-6: Arrangement of sieve analysis test and sedimentation test.....	57
Figure 3-7: Particle size distribution results .....	58
Figure 3-8: Preparation of soil to the required particle size distribution and developed soil.....	59
Figure 3-9: Steel mould used for specimen casting .....	60
Figure 3-10: Soil concrete mixing and Specimen preparation.....	60
Figure 3-11: Compressive strength testing procedure .....	61
Figure 3-12: The variation of compressive strength with fine particle content.....	62
Figure 3-13: The variation of compressive strength with gravel %.....	63
Figure 3-14: Compressive strength variation for the different gravel size range .....	65
Figure 3-15: Main materials used for test sample preparation.....	66
Figure 3-16: Compressive strength variation with fully replacing the gravel with metal.....	68
Figure 3-17: Compressive strength variation with partial replacement of gravel with metal.....	68
Figure 3-18: Soil concrete preparation plan.....	70
Figure 3-19: Variation of soil concrete compressive strength with water content ....	71
Figure 3-20: Steel mould used for casting .....	76
Figure 3-21: Speciment preparation.....	77
Figure 3-22: Prepared specimens .....	77
Figure 3-23: Top surface of cylindrical specimens prepared for testing .....	78
Figure 3-24: Compressive strength testing procedure .....	78
Figure 3-25: Compressive strength variation with age for different mixtures.....	80

Figure 3-26: Compressive strength variation with cement % for different size and shape of specimens .....	81
Figure 3-27: Comparison of failure pattern .....	83
Figure 3-28: Moulds and specimens for shrinkage test .....	85
Figure 3-29: Apparatus used to measurement of length change.....	86
Figure 3-30: Change in length – Drying shrinkage of soil concrete with different moisture % .....	87
Figure 3-31: Change in length – Wetting expansion of soil concrete with different moisture % .....	87
Figure 3-32: The length change variation with the moisture % of soil concrete after 28 days from casting .....	88
Figure 4-1: Selected chemical admixtures .....	91
Figure 4-2: Mix designation for workability test .....	93
Figure 4-3: Floor table test procedure.....	95
Figure 4-4: Variation of flow diameter with moisture percentage – control sample.	96
Figure 4-5: Flow table diameter variation with the moisture content of mud concrete mixed with AD1 .....	96
Figure 4-6: The compressive strength variation with moisture content on control samples.....	98
Figure 4-7: The variation of compressive strength with the admixture dosage.....	100
Figure 4-8: Compressive strength increase with the addition of the Admixture .....	100
Figure 4-9: Change in cement amount due to use of admixture .....	101
Figure 4-10: Cost saving factor in the use of admixture for soil concrete.....	102
Figure 4-11: Compressive strength variation with cement percentage.....	102
Figure 5-1: The process of casting floor samples and prepared floor samples.....	105
Figure 5-2: Process of surface preparation by grinding and sandpapering.....	107
Figure 5-3: prepared clay cement mix and application process.....	108
Figure 5-4: The surface appearance of soil floor after surface preparation .....	108
Figure 5-5: The surface appearance of soil floor samples after three months prepared in T2 method .....	109
Figure 5-6: Materials used for top surface coating .....	110
Figure 5-7: Application process of Resin and HMTA (Application method A1). .	111

Figure 5-8: Initial resin application plan.....	112
Figure 5-9: Resin application in three methods .....	113
Figure 5-10: Surface appearance after applying resin but before heating .....	113
Figure 5-11: Samples inside the oven .....	114
Figure 5-12: Surface appearance after heating due to over-application and overheating.....	114
Figure 5-13: Coating application plan .....	115
Figure 5-14: The surface appearance of samples after the coating process.....	116
Figure 5-15: The heat application process .....	117
Figure 5-16: Appearance of the final product.....	118
Figure 5-17: Appearance of soil floor, (top surface finished with a floor sealer)....	119
Figure 5-18: The schematic representation of the pull-off principle[199] .....	120
Figure 5-19: Preparation of floor samples for Pull-off test .....	120
Figure 5-20: Pull-off test procedure.....	121
Figure 5-21: Observed failure pattern.....	122
Figure 5-22: Schematic diagram of the procedure (ASTM C 1585 – 04) [251].....	125
Figure 5-23: Preparation and the arrangement of the specimens.....	125
Figure 5-24: Water absorption .....	127
Figure 5-25: Rate of water absorption .....	127
Figure 5-26: One-dimensional water absorption into a sample of porous material from a free-water reservoir .....	128
Figure 5-27: Plot of $i$ with $(t)^{1/2}$ .....	129
Figure 5-28: Slip resistance test – British Pendulum Tester.....	131
Figure 5-29: Pendulum Test Values and potential to slip according to ASTM E 3030- 93 [254].....	131
Figure 5-30: Abrasion test procedure in the laboratory at Meepe Lanka Tile factory .....	132
Figure 5-31: Surface appearance after abrasion in abrasion resistance testing machine ( samples with resin).....	133
Figure 5-32: Tile classification system according to the ISO 10545 – 7[256] .....	133
Figure 5-33: Symmetric diagram of stain resistance test [257] .....	134
Figure 5-34: Application of the test solution on the test sample .....	135

Figure 5-35: Surface appearance after 24 hr samples with resin .....	135
Figure 5-36: Abrasion resistance test results floor sample with the floor sealer .....	135
Figure 5-37 : Symmetric diagram of soil concrete flooring system.....	136
Figure 6-1: Extracted soil for floor construction and the soil sieving process to remove larger particles.....	139
Figure 6-2: Floor construction work .....	139
Figure 6-3: Soil concrete floor in the green state.....	140
Figure 6-4: Floor surface cutting process with terrazzo machine.....	140
Figure 6-5: Clay slurry application .....	141
Figure 6-6: Appearance of final floor .....	141
Figure 6-7: Temperature measurements .....	142
Figure 6-8: Comparison of surface temperature variation on the floor surfaces .....	143
Figure 6-9: Floor plan of selected gest house .....	146
Figure 6-10: Flow diagram of casting soil concrete floor in guest house.....	148
Figure 6-11: Observed crack patterns .....	148
Figure 6-12: Suggested strip patterns to avoid cracks .....	149
Figure 6-13: Life Cycle Cost (LCC) and Initial cost comparison.....	154

## List of Tables

Table 2-1: Historical data for earth construction .....	22
Table 2-2: Earthen construction techniques and production methods .....	23
Table 2-3: Available codes and standard related to earth construction .....	26
Table 2-4: Different types of soil stabilizer .....	26
Table 3-1: Slump height and flow diameter with different water content .....	55
Table 3-2: The mix design to analyze the optimum fine particle content (%).....	58
Table 3-3: Calculation to develop the existing soil to required compositions for soil concrete .....	59
Table 3-4: Mix proportion plan to evaluate the gravel % on soil concrete strength..	63
Table 3-5: Mix design to find the optimum gravel size .....	64
Table 3-6: Compressive strength for the different gravel size range.....	64
Table 3-7: Sample preparation plan .....	67
Table 3-8: Calculation procedure to develop the soil with rubble.....	67
Table 3-9: Soil concrete mix proportions .....	70
Table 3-10: the standard specimens used in different countries .....	73
Table 3-11: the studies of the effect of shape and size of the specimens on the compressive strength of cement concrete .....	75
Table 3-12: Size and shapes of selected moulds.....	76
Table 3-13: Sample preparation plan .....	76
Table 3-14: Observed moisture percentages .....	79
Table 4-1: Properties and recommended dosage of selected admixture .....	92
Table 4-2: The calculation of the chemical admixture dosage and dosage used for casting .....	94
Table 4-3: Water reduction due to addition of admixtures .....	97
Table 4-4: Predicted and observed compressive strength of soil concrete with selected admixtures .....	99
Table 4-5: Evaluation of economical values of the admixture in soil concrete.....	101
Table 5-1: Strength and moisture content values of selected soil concrete mix used to cast the floor.....	106
Table 5-2: Samples designation for sorptivity test .....	123

Table 5-3: Soptivity for the floor samples .....	130
Table 5-4: British Pendulum test values (BPV).....	131
Table 6-1: Assumed lifetime and reusability factors .....	153
Table 6-2: Calculated LCCs for different flooring materials.....	153