

**INVESTIGATION ON THE IMPACT OF ENGINEER'S
DETERMINATIONS: A CASE OF SRI LANKA BASED
ON FIDIC 1999 RED BOOK**

Imihami Mudiyanseelage Rasika Samanmali

(179178L)

Degree of Master of Science in Construction Law and Dispute
Resolution

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

September 2022

**INVESTIGATION ON THE IMPACT OF ENGINEER'S
DETERMINATIONS: A CASE OF SRI LANKA BASED
ON FIDIC 1999 RED BOOK**

Imihami Mudiyanseelage Rasika Samanmali

(179178L)

Dissertation Submitted in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree
of Master of Science in Construction Law and Dispute Resolution

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

September 2022

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works such as articles or books.

.....

Rasika Samanmali

.....

Date

I hereby acknowledge that Rasika Samanmali has followed the dissertation process set by the Department of Building Economics.

.....

Ch.QS (Mr.) Vijitha Disaratna

Dissertation Supervisor

.....

Date

Investigation on the Impact of Engineer's Determinations: A Case of Sri Lanka Based on FIDIC 1999 Red Book

Construction industry is emerging day by day with their products having innovative and complex features. Due to the complexity of the projects, occurrence of disputes is inevitable. Accordingly, various types of disputes are arising in the construction industry. Sometimes, disputes occur due to the Engineer's unfair Determinations and/or unilateral rejections of the Contractor's Claims. Since these disputes are finally settled through the ADR process, both Parties have to suffer incurring additional time and costs. Hence, it becomes a serious issue which shall be addressed and to suggest the strategies/solutions to overcome the Engineer's unfair Determinations.

This research problem was approached through the case study of four number of completed Projects in Sri Lanka which were based on FIDIC Conditions of Contract. Semi structured interviews were conducted with five number of experts who are possessing experience as the Engineer for the Contract and involved in ADR process. The collected data were analyzed in terms of cross case analysis and manual content analysis. Documentation review was carried out to identify the effects and/or consequences of the Engineer's unfair Determinations and unilateral rejections of the Contractor's claims. Furthermore, identified reasons and strategies to overcome the Engineer's unfair Determinations which were obtained through expert interviews are presented in the conceptual framework presented in the Chapter four.

Findings of the research revealed that, most of the disputes occurred due to the Engineer's unfair Determinations and/or unilateral rejections of the Contractor's claims which have been overruled by the Decisions /Awards of the ADR methods in the selected cases. The research found that, aforesaid Engineer's unfair Determinations resulted the Parties to suffer incurring additional time and costs which could be prevented if the Engineer has correctly and fairly issued their Determinations. Thus, the research recommends to the industry practitioners to follow the identified strategies and solutions to prevent these unnecessary time and costs spend in terms of resolution of the disputes by way of ADR methods.

Key words: *ADR, Disputes, the Engineer, Parties*

*“This dissertation is dedicated to my
beloved
family for their unconditional love that
motivate
me to meet higher targets...”*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research study would not be possible without the assistance and dedication of numerous individuals and organizations. Therefore, I take this opportunity to convey my gratefulness to every one of them.

First and foremost, I extend my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, senior lecturer Ch.QS (Mr.) Vijitha Disaratna for all the guidance, assistance and continuous encouragement provided to me throughout the research process. I am also obliged to him for his endless support, encouragement, valuable guidance and most importantly for his extraordinary patience.

I would like to express my sincere thanks to the Head of the Department, Professors, senior lecturers and all other staff members of the Department of Building Economics for their immense assistance and advice during the progression of this study.

Special thanks go to the interviewees and industry practitioners who gave me an enormous support to complete this research successfully by allowing me to access and contact to the documents which are confidential in nature and extending kind cooperation through interviews, towards the achievement of this successful dissertation.

Last but not least, I express my heartfelt gratitude to my family members, my batch mates and many others, for willingly giving me their utmost support, advice and continuously motivating me to carry out the work successfully.

Rasika Samanmali

September 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION.....	iii
ABSTRACT	i
DEDICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	x
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
CHAPTER I	1
1.0 INTRODUCTION TO RESEARCH.....	1
1.1 BACKGROUND.....	1
1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT.....	3
1.3 AIM AND OBJECTIVES	5
1.4 SCOPE AND LIMITATIONS	5
1.5 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	5
1.6 LAYOUT OF THE RESEARCH.....	6
CHAPTER II.....	7
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 INTRODUCTION	7
2.2 CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS AND THE ENGINEER UNDER FIDIC 1999 RED BOOK.....	7
2.3 APPOINTMENT AND REPLACEMENT OF THE ENGINEER	9
2.4 CLAIMS IN A CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT.....	10

2.5 ENGINEER’S DETERMINATION UNDER FIDIC 1999 RED BOOK AND DIFFERENT TYPES OF DISPUTES OCCUR IN CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS	14
2.6 MAKING FAIR DETERMINATIONS/ EXERCISING DISCRETIONS IMPARTIALLY AND THE EMPLOYER’S CONTROL OVER THE ENGINEER	18
2.7 THE LIAISON BETWEEN THE ENGINEER’S DETERMINATION AND OCCURRENCE OF DISPUTES	19
2.8 DISPUTE RESOLUTION AND THE ENGINEER	21
2.9 THE ENGINEER AS THE FIRST INSTANCE TRIBUNAL FOR DISPUTES	22
2.10 ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY	22
2.11 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	30
2.12 SUMMARY	32
CHAPTER III	33
3.0 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	33
3.1 INTRODUCTION	33
3.2 RESEARCH DESIGN	33
3.3 RESEARCH PHILOSOPHY	33
3.4 RESEARCH APPROACH	34
3.5 RESEARCH STRATEGIES	35
3.6 RESEARCH TECHNIQUES	35
3.7 RESEARCH PROCESS	37
3.8 SUMMARY	38
CHAPTER IV	39
4.0 RESEARCH FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS	39

4.1 INTRODUCTION	39
4.2 CASE STUDY PROCESS	39
4.3 INTRODUCTION TO THE CASES	39
4.4 OVERVIEW OF THE CASES	41
4.5 PROFILE OF THE EXPERTS.....	68
4.6 ANALYSIS OF THE FINDINGS.....	69
4.6.1 Experience of the Experts in resolution of the Disputes.....	69
4.6.2 Parties’ awareness about the causation of the Disputes and the impacts of the occurrence of the disputes	75
4.6.3 Parties’ exposure and the Awareness of the Alternative Dispute Resolution methods.....	76
4.6.4 Parties’ Awareness of the Cost and Time implications of the Alternative Dispute Resolution methods.....	77
4.6.5 Effects and/or Consequences of the frequently repealed Engineer’s Determinations by the ADR methods.....	78
4.6.6 Background reasons for the Engineer’s unfair determinations.....	79
4.7 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE MITIGATION OF THE IDENTIFIED BACKGROUND ISSUES.....	83
4.8 DEVELOPING A FRAMEWORK FOR THE PREVENTION OF THE DISPUTES DUE TO ENGINEER’S UNFAIR DETERMINATIONS	86
4.9 SUMMARY	88
CHAPTER V	89
5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	89
5.1 CONCLUSIONS	89
5.2 RECOMMENDATION.....	92
5.3 LIMITATION OF THE STUDY	93
5.4 FURTHER RESEARCH.....	93

REFERENCES	94
APPENDICES	100

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1: Layout of the Research Study	6
Figure 2- 1: Different types of claims (Levin,1998)	11
Figure 2- 2: Contractor’s Claims Process flowchart (FIDIC 1999).....	12
Figure 2- 3: Claims Management Process	13
Figure 2- 4: Employer's Claims Process Flow Chart (FIDIC 1999).....	18
Figure 2- 5:Challenged Determinations	20
Figure 2- 6: Dispute Resolution Process in FIDIC 1999	22
Figure 2- 7:Binding Spectrum of the ADR Methods.....	24
Figure 2- 8: Dispute Adjudication Procedure in a construction dispute	27
Figure 2- 9:Procedure for the Arbitration under FIDIC 1999 Red Book.....	29
Figure 2- 10:Process of Arbitration.....	30
Figure 2- 11:Theoretical Framework	31
Figure 3- 1: Research Process	37
Figure 4- 1: Conceptual Framework for Mitigation/Prevention of the disputes caused due to the Engineer's unfair determinations and Unilateral Rejections of the Contractor's Claims	87

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Types of Disputes (Jayasena, Seram & Johnson, 2013).....	16
Table 4- 1: Brief Introduction of the Cases.....	40
Table 4- 2: Contractor's Submissions pertaining to the Case A.....	41
Table 4- 3: Contractor's Submissions pertaining to the Case B.....	46
Table 4- 4: Contractor's Submissions pertaining to the Case C.....	49
Table 4- 5: Contractor's Submissions pertaining to the Case D.....	54
Table 4- 6: Analysis of the Case Studies	57
Table 4- 7: Profile of the Experts	69
Table 4- 8: Experience of the Experts in the process of resolution of disputes	70
Table 4- 9: Response of the Experts regarding the Parties' awareness about the critical attributes of the Alternative Dispute Resolution methods	76
Table 4- 10: Recommendations proposed based on the derivations from the data collected through expert interviews	84

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
ADR	: Alternative Dispute Resolution
CIDA	: Construction Industry Development Authority
COC	: Conditions of Contract
DAB	: Dispute Adjudication Board
DB	: Dispute Board
DRB	: Dispute Review Board
EOT	: Extension of Time for Completion
FIDIC	: International Federation of Consulting Engineers' (FIDIC) Conditions of Contract
ICTAD	: Institute of Construction Training and Development
SBD	: Standard Bidding Document
SOC	: Statement of Claim
SOR	: Statement of Response

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: EXPERT INTERVIEW GUIDELINE	100
APPENDIX B: SAMPLE RESPONSE OF EXPERT INTERVIEW	111