

**FLOOD HAZARD AND VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT
IN UPPER GIN RIVER BASIN IN SRI LANKA UNDER
CLIMATE CHANGE**

VIRENDRA KUMAR

(208354E)

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

February 2022

**FLOOD HAZARD AND VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT
IN UPPER GIN RIVER BASIN IN SRI LANKA UNDER
CLIMATE CHANGE**

VIRENDRA KUMAR

(208354E)

Supervised by

Dr T. M. N. Wijayaratna

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master
of Science in Civil Engineering

UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for
South Asia Water Management (UMCSAWM)

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa
Sri Lanka

February 2022

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE AND SUPERVISOR

“I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text”.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

UOM Verified Signature

28/01/2022

VIRENDRA KUMAR

Date

The above candidate has carried out research for the Masters thesis under my supervision

UOM Verified Signature

28/01/2022

Dr T. M. N. Wijayaratna

Date

ABSTRACT

Flood Hazard and Vulnerability Assessment in Upper Gin River Basin in Sri Lanka under Climate Change

Floods are a frequent major disaster throughout the world, usually resulting in fatalities and massive economic and environmental damage. Seasonal and localized flooding is one of the extremely common natural disasters in Sri Lanka. There are two monsoon seasons (Southwest and Northwest monsoon) and two inter- monsoons (First Inter and Second Inter monsoon) in Sri Lanka, each of these monsoon seasons are followed by floods induced by heavy rainfall. The Southwest monsoon, which comes between May and September, has the greatest impact on the southern region of Sri Lanka. This research is developed to assess the flood hazard, vulnerability, and risk of the Thawalama watershed for climate change in future representative concentration pathways (RCP) 8.5. The Research methodology begins with selecting Events, which was determined by different statistical approaches. The Gumbel method was the best fit for determining the event's return periods. A 12-year return period (2003) was selected for calibration, and a 5-year return period (1999) was selected for validation. Further, the future climate rainfall data was bias-corrected using the linear scaling method. The future climate rainfall data was divided into two centuries: mid-century (2040-2070) and end-century (2070-2099). Thereafter, the 5-year Return period and 12-year Return period were estimated through the Gumbel method for both mid and end centuries. The Hydrologic Engineering Centre's Hydrologic Modeling System (HEC-HMS) was calibrated for 2003 and validated for 1999 at the gauging station of the Thawalama catchment to obtain lateral flows and inflow inside the catchment. Thereafter, Hydrological Engineering Centre's River Analysis System (HEC-RAS) was calibrated and validated for the lateral flows and inflows obtained from HEC-HMS for 2003 and 1999 respectively. Similarly, the future lateral flows and inflow were derived using HEC-HMS by importing climatic rainfall data for selected events of 5-year and 12-year return periods in both mid and end centuries. Thereafter, HEC-RAS was used to get flood inundation, flood depth, and flood velocity maps. Finally, to achieve objectives, flood depth and flood velocity were imported to the Arc-GIS interface to develop flood hazards, and population density was used to develop flood vulnerability. Hence, a flood risk map was prepared by multiplying flood hazards and flood vulnerability. The HEC-HMS was calibrated with Nash Sutcliffe (NSE)=0.80, Root mean square error standard deviation (RMSE st dev.) =0.40, and Percent Bias (P-bias) =17.65% and Validated with NSE=0.67, RMSE st dev.=0.60 and P-bias=15%. Thereafter, HEC-RAS was calibrated with NSE=0.66, Coefficient of determination (R^2) =0.83 and P-bias=3.98% and Validated with NSE=0.62, Coefficient of determination (R^2) =0.79 and P-bias=3.28%. The results show an increasing trend of flood inundation area for both the 5-year return period (17.36 km², 17.40 km², 19.77 km² for years 1999, 2052, 2091, respectively) and 12-year return period (19.55 km², 20.06 km², 21.18 km² for years 2003, 2058, 2098, respectively). Thereafter, sudden increment of flood hazard, flood vulnerability, and flood risk was obtained after mid-century in both 5-year and 12- year return periods. Almost 22 Grama Niladhari Division (GND) were found to be a very high-risk category and 21 GND were found to be at a high-risk category at the end century of the 12-year Return period in the year 2098 whereas 19 GND were found to be a very high-risk category and 23 GND were found to be at a high-risk category at the end century of 5-year Return period in the year 2091. Flood hazard, flood vulnerability, and flood risk is increasing suddenly after mid-century in both 5-year and 12- year return periods. Hence, from the viewpoint of disaster reduction, the information derived from this study can help to estimate the probability of flood damage for the local population.

Keywords: Bias-correction, Flood-inundation, HEC-HMS, HEC-RAS, Return period, Risk

DEDICATION

“I would like to dedicate my work to my family”.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my research supervisor, Dr. Nimal Wijayaratna, for his constant supervision, patient hearing of ideas, critical analysis of observation, and detecting flaws & amending thereby leading the thesis to success. This thesis would not have been completed on time if not for his committed supervision and ongoing mentoring. Throughout my period, he continuously let this study be my work but led me in the right direction whenever he believed I needed it. He's an excellent teacher.

I would like to extend my gratefulness to the Centre's Chairman, Prof. R.L.H. Lalith Rajapakse, and the Research Coordinator, Dr. Janaka Bamunawala, for providing me with all the essential help as well as continual encouragement and direction when needed.

I will never hesitate to convey my thanks to Professor N. T. S. Wijesekera for conveying a deeper sense of knowledge through his teaching which was valuable in this Research Study.

I also wish to express my gratitude to support staff Mr. Wajira Kumarasinghe, Mrs. Vinu Kalanika, Miss Janani for their assistance given during the master's program

I would also like to thank Late. Shri Madanjeet Singh, the Fund's Management, and the University of Moratuwa for providing me with the opportunity to study for a master's degree in Water Resource Engineering and Management at the UNESCO Madanjeet Singh Centre for South Asia Water Management, Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

I also like to thank the Department of Irrigation for permitting me to collect the essential data. I would like to convey my heartfelt thanks to my family for their unwavering support and encouragement during my thesis research. Finally, I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to Mr. Utsab Phyuval, Miss Farhana Azmi, and Sainee Thirukumar for their essential help in providing.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration of the candidate and supervisor	V
ABSTRACT	VII
Dedication	IX
Acknowledgement	XI
Table of contents	XIII
List of figures	XVII
List of tables.....	XXI
List of abbreviations	XXV
Chapter 1	1
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Problem Identification	3
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objectives	3
1.3.1 Main Objective	3
1.3.2 Specific Objective	3
1.4 Significance	4
Chapter 2	5
2 Literature Review	5
2.1 Introduction.....	5
2.1.1 The weather pattern over Sri Lanka	5
2.1.2 Past Significant floods in the study area.....	9
2.2 Data and Data Checking	9
2.3 Climate Change.....	11
2.4 Bias Correction	12
2.5 Flood Frequency Analysis	13

Table of contents

2.6	Hydrological Model and Flood inundation model	14
2.6.1	HEC- HMS model parameters	15
2.6.2	HEC- RAS model development	21
2.6.3	Objective function.....	24
2.7	Flood Hazard Assessment.....	24
2.7.1	General Concept Flood Hazard	24
2.7.2	Past literature on Flood Hazard, Vulnerability and Risk Assessment	25
2.7.3	IPCC conceptualization of Vulnerability, Exposure, and Risk	28
Chapter 3	29
3	Materials and Methods	29
3.1	Study Area	29
3.2	Justification of Study Area	31
3.3	Methodology.....	34
3.4	Data Collection	35
Chapter 4	37
4	Data Checking and analysis.....	37
4.1	Observed period data checking	38
4.1.1	Pallegama Rainfall Station	38
4.1.2	Thawalama Rainfall Station	40
4.1.3	Anninkanda Rainfall Station	42
4.1.4	Kuduwa Rainfall Station	44
4.1.5	Single mass curve and Double mass curve analysis.....	46
4.2	Bias correction of Climate data.....	47
4.3	Future Projection of Rainfall	50
4.4	The Frequency Analysis for flood	52
4.4.1	Gumbel’s Method-	52
4.4.2	Log-Pearson Type III distribution.....	53
4.4.3	Log-Normal distribution	54
4.4.4	Flood Frequency analysis for Mid-Century and End-Century	55
4.5	Population Demography	57
Chapter 5	59

5	Model development and applications.....	59
5.1	HEC- HMS Model Development.....	59
5.1.1	Thiessen polygon.....	59
5.1.2	Catchment Delineate	60
5.1.3	SCS CN (Soil Conservation Service Curve Number)	61
5.1.4	Reach routing-Muskingum.....	62
5.2	HEC- RAS Model Development.....	63
5.2.1	The terrain Layers	63
5.2.2	The 2D Computational Mesh development.....	64
5.2.3	Associating Land use and Manning's Layer with a Geometry	65
	Chapter 6	67
6	Results and Analysis	67
6.1	Event selection.....	67
6.2	Model Calibration, Validation, and Future Simulation.....	68
6.2.1	HEC-HMS Calibration-Event 2003	68
6.2.2	HEC-HMS Validation -Event 1999.....	71
6.2.3	HEC-RAS Calibration -Event 2003	72
6.2.4	HEC-RAS Validation-Event April 1999	74
6.2.5	Future Simulation.....	75
6.3	Flood Inundation Maps.....	77
6.3.1	Flood Inundation Map verification of Event 2003	77
6.3.2	12-Year Return Period.....	80
6.3.3	5-Year Return Period.....	82
6.4	Flood Hazard and Vulnerability Map	84
6.4.1	Flood hazard maps.....	85
6.4.2	Flood Vulnerability Map.....	104
6.5	Flood Risk Map	112
6.5.1	12-Year Return Period.....	112
6.5.2	5-Year Return Period.....	115
	Chapter 7	119
7	Discussion	119
7.1	Data Period for Bias correction.....	119

Table of contents

7.2	HEC-RAS Model Parameter Selection	119
7.3	Flood Hazard and vulnerability	120
7.4	12-Year Return Period	121
7.5	5-Year Return Period	126
Chapter 8	131
8	Conclusions	131
Chapter 9	133
9	Recommendations	133
Bibliography	135
Annexure 1	143
Rainfall seasonal graphs	143
Annexure 2	147
Single mass curve and Double mass curve	147

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1: Monsoon season in Sri Lanka -----	6
Figure 2-2: Climatic zones in Sri Lanka -----	7
Figure 2-3 Annual average rainfall distribution in wet, dry, intermediate, semi-arid zones, and Sri Lanka Source -----	8
Figure 2-4: Frequency analysis of the flood at Thawalama station -----	9
Figure 2-5: Projected atmospheric greenhouse gas emissions for the four RCP Scenarios -----	12
Figure 3-1: Study Area -----	30
Figure 3-2 Population density in the year 2022 at Lower and Upper Gin Ganga -----	31
Figure 3-3 Population density in the year 2060 at Lower and Upper Gin Ganga -----	32
Figure 3-4 Population density in the year 2100 at Lower and Upper Gin Ganga -----	33
Figure 3-5: Methodology flow chart -----	34
Figure 4-1 Rainfall seasonal variation of Pallegama rainfall station including four monsoon seasons in Sri Lanka -----	38
Figure 4-2 Rainfall variation of Pallegama rainfall station according to hydrological year (1989/90 – 2017/18) -----	39
Figure 4-3 Yearly streamflow vs yearly rainfall of Pallegama rainfall station in the Thawalama catchment -----	39
Figure 4-4 Rainfall Seasonal Variation of Thawalama Rainfall Station including four monsoon seasons in Sri Lanka -----	40
Figure 4-5 Rainfall Variation of Thawalama rainfall station according to hydrological year (1989/90 – 2017/18) -----	41
Figure 4-6 Yearly Streamflow vs yearly rainfall of Thawalama rainfall station in the Thawalama catchment -----	41
Figure 4-7 Rainfall seasonal variation of Anninkanda rainfall station including four monsoon seasons in Sri Lanka -----	42
Figure 4-8 Rainfall variation of Anninkanda rainfall station according to hydrological year (1989/90 – 2017/18) -----	43
Figure 4-9 Yearly streamflow vs yearly rainfall of Anninkanda rainfall station in the Thawalama catchment -----	43
Figure 4-10 Rainfall seasonal variation of Kuduwa Rainfall station including four monsoon seasons in Sri Lanka -----	44
Figure 4-11 Rainfall variation of Kuduwa rainfall station according to hydrological year (1989/90 – 2017/18) -----	45
Figure 4-12 Yearly Streamflow vs yearly rainfall of Kuduwa rainfall station in the Thawalama catchment -----	45
Figure 4-13: Single mass curve for all four-rainfall stations in the Thawalama catchment -----	46

List of figures

Figure 4-14 Double mass curve for all four-rainfall stations in the Thawalama catchment-----	47
Figure 4-15 Bias corrected rainfall (mm) for MIROC-MIROC5-----	48
Figure 4-16 Bias corrected rainfall (mm) for NCC-NorESM1-M-----	48
Figure 4-17 Bias corrected rainfall (mm) for MPI-M-MPI-ESM-MR-----	49
Figure 4-18 Bias corrected rainfall (mm) for ICHEC-EC-EARTH-----	49
Figure 4-19 Average annual rainfall of Historical, mid-century, and end a century of RCM data-----	50
Figure 4-20 Monthly average RCM rainfall for historical, mid-century and end century-----	51
Figure 4-21: Flood frequency of Gumbel’s method on a semi-log scale-----	52
Figure 4-22: Flood frequency of reduced variate vs Return period-----	53
Figure 4-23: Flood frequency of thiessen rainfall plot using Log-Normal distribution-----	54
Figure 4-24 Flood frequency of Gumbel’s method on a semi-log scale (Mid-Century)-----	55
Figure 4-25 Flood frequency of Gumbel’s method on a semi-log scale (End-Century)-----	56
Figure 4-26 Population for Districts in 2-D area-----	57
Figure 5-1: Thiessen polygons and rainfall stations at Thawalama watershed-----	60
Figure 5-2: HEC-HMS model Development-----	61
Figure 5-3 Two-Dimensional Area-----	64
Figure 5-4 Land use in the Two-Dimensional Area-----	65
Figure 6-1 HEC-HMS model calibration-----	68
Figure 6-2 HEC- HMS model validation-----	71
Figure 6-3 HEC-RAS model calibration-----	72
Figure 6-4 HEC-RAS model validation-----	74
Figure 6-5 HEC-HMS simulation for future events-----	75
Figure 6-6 HEC-RAS simulation for future events-----	76
Figure 6-7 Flood Inundation map of the year 2003 event-----	77
Figure 6-8 Flood Inundation map for event 2003 (Source: JICA, 2009)-----	78
Figure 6-9 Flood Inundation Map for Event 2003 (Source: UNDP, 2010)-----	79
Figure 6-10 Flood Inundation map of mid-century for the 12-year return period-----	80
Figure 6-11 Flood Inundation map of end century for the 12-year return period-----	81
Figure 6-12 Flood Inundation map of the year 1999 event-----	82
Figure 6-13 Flood Inundation map of mid-century for the 5-year return period-----	83
Figure 6-14 Flood Inundation map of End century for the 5-year Return period-----	84
Figure 6-15 Matrix for hazard and risk mapping-----	85
Figure 6-16 Flood depth map of the 2003-year flood event for the 12-year return period-----	86
Figure 6-17 Flood velocity map of the 2003 flood event for the 12-year Return period-----	87
Figure 6-18 Flood hazard map of the 2003 flood event for the 12-year return period-----	88
Figure 6-19 Flood depth map of the mid-century flood event for the 12-year return period-----	89
Figure 6-20 Flood velocity map of the mid-century flood event for the 12-year return period-----	90
Figure 6-21 Flood hazard map of the mid-century flood event for the 12-year Return period-----	91

Figure 6-22 Flood depth map of end-century flood event for the 12-year return period-----	92
Figure 6-23 Flood velocity map of end-century flood event for the 12-year Return period-----	93
Figure 6-24 Flood hazard map of end-century flood event for the 12-year return period-----	94
Figure 6-25 Flood depth map of 1999 flood event for the 5-year return period-----	95
Figure 6-26 Flood velocity map of 1999 flood event for the 5-year return period-----	96
Figure 6-27 Flood hazard map of the 1999-year flood event for the 5-year return period-----	97
Figure 6-28 Flood depth map of the mid-century flood event for the 5-year return period-----	98
Figure 6-29 Flood velocity map of the mid-century flood event for the 5-year return period-----	99
Figure 6-30 Flood hazard map of the mid-century flood event for the 5-year return period-----	100
Figure 6-31 Flood depth map of end-century flood event for the 5-year return period-----	101
Figure 6-32 Flood velocity map of end-century flood event for the 5-year return period-----	102
Figure 6-33 Flood hazard map of end-century flood event for the 5-year return period-----	103
Figure 6-34 Matrix for vulnerability mapping-----	105
Figure 6-35 Flood vulnerability map of the 2003-year flood event for the 12-year return period----	106
Figure 6-36 Flood vulnerability map of mid-century flood event for the 12-year return period-----	107
Figure 6-37 Flood vulnerability map of end-century flood event for the 12-year return period-----	108
Figure 6-38 Flood vulnerability map of 1999 flood event for the 5-year return period-----	109
Figure 6-39 Flood vulnerability map of mid-century flood event for the 5-year return period-----	110
Figure 6-40 Flood vulnerability map of end-century flood event for the 5-year return period-----	111
Figure 6-41 Flood risk map of 2003-year flood event for the 12-year return period-----	112
Figure 6-42 Flood risk map of mid-century flood event for the 12-year return period-----	113
Figure 6-43 Flood risk map of end-century flood event for the 12-year return period-----	114
Figure 6-44 Flood risk map of 1999-year flood event for the 5-year return period-----	115
Figure 6-45 Flood risk map of mid-century flood event for the 5-year return period-----	116
Figure 6-46 Flood risk map of end-century flood event for the 5-year return period-----	117
Figure 7-1 Hazardous area at various periods due to flood depth for 12 years return period-----	121
Figure 7-2 Hazardous area at various periods due to flood velocity for 12 years return period-----	121
Figure 7-3 Hazardous area at various periods due to flood hazard for 12 years return period-----	122
Figure 7-4 Vulnerable area at various periods due to flood for 12 years return period-----	123
Figure 7-5 Risk area at various periods due to flood for 12 years return period-----	124
Figure 7-6 Area facing flood risk at a 12-year Return period-----	125
Figure 7-7 Hazardous area at various periods due to flood depth for a 5-year return period-----	126
Figure 7-8 Hazardous area at various periods due to flood velocity for a 5-year return period-----	126
Figure 7-9 Hazardous area at various periods due to flood hazard for a 5-year return period-----	127
Figure 7-10 Vulnerable area at various periods due to flooding for a 5-year return period-----	128
Figure 7-11 Risk area at various periods due to flood for a 5-year return period-----	128
Figure 7-12 Area facing flood risk in 5-year Rteun period-----	129

List of figures

Figure A1- 1: Rainfall Seasonal Variation of Pallegama Rainfall Station-----	143
Figure A1- 2: Rainfall Seasonal Variation of Thawalama Rainfall Station -----	144
Figure A1- 3: Rainfall Seasonal Variation of Anninkanda Rainfall Station-----	145
Figure A1- 4: Rainfall Seasonal Variation of Kududwa Rainfall Station-----	146
Figure A2- 1: Single Mass Curve for Pallegama Station -----	147
Figure A2- 2: Single Mass Curve for Thawalama Station-----	148
Figure A2- 3: Single Mass Curve for Anninkanda Station -----	148
Figure A2- 4: Single Mass Curve for Kuduwa Station-----	149
Figure A2- 5: Double Mass Curve of Pallegama rainfall station in the Thawalama Catchment-----	149
Figure A2- 6: Double Mass Curve of Thawalama rainfall station in the Thawalama Catchment ----	150
Figure A2- 7: Double Mass Curve of Anninkanda rainfall station in the Thawalama Catchment ---	150
Figure A2- 8: Double Mass Curve of Kuduwa rainfall station in the Thawalama Catchment -----	151

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1: Observed changes in Temperature.....	8
Table 2-2 HEC- HMS parameters (US Army Corps of Engineers, 2018)	15
Table 2-3 Max Canopy Storage (Ahbari et al., 2018)	16
Table 2-4 Surface Canopy (Ahbari et al., 2018)	17
Table 2-5 Flood Vulnerability classification (Hoque et al., 2019)	26
Table 2-6 Flood Hazard classification (Ongdas et al., 2020)	27
Table 3-1 Data Requirements.....	35
Table 3-2 Locations of gauging stations	36
Table 4-1 Return period for different reduced variate.....	53
Table 4-2 Return period for different reduced variate of mid-century and end-century	56
Table 5-1: Thiessen weights for the Gin River basin at Thawalama catchment	59
Table 5-2: HEC-HMS Model Parameters	60
Table 5-3: Sample calculation of Curve number.....	61
Table 5-4 Curve Number for various sub-catchment	62
Table 5-5: Time of concentration	62
Table 5-6 Muskingum K calculation.....	63
Table 5-7 Manning's n value for Land use classification	66
Table 6-1 Selected centuries of future climate rainfall data	67
Table 6-2 HEC-HMS model calibration performance	69
Table 6-3 Initial parameters and optimized parameters for base flow method in HEC-HMS model in the Thawalama catchment	69
Table 6-4 Initial parameters and optimized parameters for routing (Muskingum) method in HEC-HMS model in the Thawalama catchment	70
Table 6-5 HEC-HMS model validation performance.....	71
Table 6-6 HEC-RAS model calibration performance	73
Table 6-7 Initial parameters and optimized parameters for manning's value in the HEC-RAS model in the Thawalama catchment	73
Table 6-8 HEC-RAS model validation performance	74
Table 6-9 Area affected by flood depth according to hazard level in the 2003-year flood event for the 12-year Return period.....	87
Table 6-10 Area affected by flood velocity according to hazard level of the 2003 flood event for the 12-year Return period.....	88
Table 6-11 Area affected by flood hazard according to hazard level in the 2003 flood event for the 12-year return period.....	89
Table 6-12 Area affected by flood depth according to hazard level in the mid-century flood event for the 12-year return period	90

List of tables

Table 6-13 Area affected by flood velocity according to hazard level in the mid-century flood event for the 12-year return period.....	91
Table 6-14 Area affected by flood hazard according to hazard level in the mid-century flood event for the 12-Year Return period	92
Table 6-15 Area affected by flood depth according to hazard level in end-century flood event for the 12-year return period	93
Table 6-16 Area affected by flood velocity according to hazard level in end-century flood event for the 12-year return period	94
Table 6-17 Area affected by flood hazard according to hazard level in end-century flood event for the 12-year return period	95
Table 6-18 Area affected by flood depth according to hazard level in the 1999 year flood event for the 5-year return period	96
Table 6-19 Area affected by flood velocity according to hazard level in the 1999 year flood event for the 5-year return period	97
Table 6-20 Area affected by flood hazard according to hazard level in 1999 flood event for the 5-year return period	98
Table 6-21 Area affected by flood depth according to hazard level in mid-century flood event for the 5-year return period	99
Table 6-22 Area affected by flood velocity according to hazard level in mid-century flood event for the 5-year return period	100
Table 6-23 Area affected by flood hazard according to hazard level in mid-century flood event for the 5-year return period	101
Table 6-24 Area affected by flood depth of end-century flood event for the 5-year return period	102
Table 6-25 Area affected by flood velocity according to hazard level of end-century flood event for the 5-year return period	103
Table 6-26 Area affected by flood hazard according to hazard level of end-century flood event for the 5-year return period	104
Table 6-27 Area affected by flood vulnerability according to the vulnerable level of the 2003-year flood event for the 12-year return period.....	106
Table 6-28 Area affected by flood vulnerability according to the vulnerable level of mid-century flood event for the 12-year return period	107
Table 6-29 Area affected by flood vulnerability according to the vulnerable level of end-century flood event for the 12-year return period	108
Table 6-30 Area affected by flood vulnerability according to the vulnerable level of 1999 flood event for the 5-year return period.....	109
Table 6-31 Area affected by flood vulnerability according to the vulnerable level of mid-century flood event for the 5-year return period	110

Table 6-32 Area affected by flood vulnerability according to the vulnerable level of end-century flood event for the 5-year return period	111
Table 6-33 Area affected by flood risk according to the risk level of 2003-year flood event for the 12-year return period.....	113
Table 6-34 Area affected by flood risk according to the risk level of mid-century flood event for the 12-year return period	114
Table 6-35 Area affected by flood risk according to the risk level of end-century flood event for the 12-year return period	115
Table 6-36 Area affected by flood risk according to the risk level of 1999-year flood event for the 5-year return period.....	116
Table 6-37 Area affected by flood risk according to the risk level of mid-century flood event for the 5-year return period.....	117
Table 6-38 Area affected by flood risk according to the risk level of end-century flood event for the 5-year return period.....	118
Table 7-1 Number of GND affected by various Risk level in 12-year return period	124
Table 7-2 Number of GND affected at various Risk levels in 5-year return period.....	129

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CE	- Coefficient of efficiency
DEM	- Digital Elevation Model
GND	- Grama Niladhari Division
HEC	- Hydrologic Engineering Center
HMS	- Hydrologic Modeling System
IPCC	- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
LULC	- Land-use Landcover
MAE	- Mean absolute error
NSE	- Nash Sutcliffe Efficiency
P-Bias	- Percentage bias
RAS	- River Analysis System
RCP	- Representative concentration pathway
RCM	- Regional Climate Models
RMSE	- Root Mean Square Error
SCS-CN	- Soil Conservation Service Curve Number
SS	- Skill Score