

Development of a Soft Muscle Actuator Embedded with Sensors

Rancimal Binoy Arumathanthri

(188051T)

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of
Science in Mechanical Engineering

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

July 2021

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date:

The above candidate has carried out research for the MSc thesis under my supervision.

Signature of the Supervisor(s):

Date:

Dr. K.V.D.S. Chathuranga

Senior Lecturer

Department of Mechanical Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Abstract

Soft robotics plays a vital role in modern day robotics as day by day demand for soft robotic devices increases. To fulfill this demand more research are now focused on soft robotics and soft actuators are one of the main focus area. Soft robotic applications, such as soft robotic exoskeletons, often use pneumatic artificial muscle actuators. Soft robotic systems utilizing pneumatic artificial muscle actuators are a popular area of study as compactness, lightweight, high power-to-weight ratio, and great safety are just a few of the benefits.

Having sensors embedded to this soft muscle actuators are important as it would make close loop control of the actuators possible. Despite the benefits of pneumatic artificial muscles, they lack sensory feedback for controlling force and displacement. To achieve close loop control, sensors are rarely incorporated into the actuator design. The major drawbacks of currently available sensor feedback systems are that they increase weight of the system and, in some circumstances, cause structural deformations.

The design and fabrication of a displacement sensor to use in a novel soft robotic muscle actuator is presented in this study. Several advantages of this actuator and displacement sensor over conventional sensors and soft muscle actuators include ease of manufacture and negligible effect on actuator performance owing to sensor. Furthermore, as compared to soft actuators and sensors that are already available, the proposed soft actuator and sensor are affordable. The displacement of the actuator was determined using a novel inductance sensing approach, allowing closed loop control of the actuator.

The performance of the soft robotic muscle actuator and displacement sensor was evaluated experimentally by the author. The prototype actuator is light in weight (14g) compared to other actuators and has a high strain (65%) and force-to-weight ratio (Capable of lifting 160 times of its self-weight). The dimensions of actuator are 110mm in length and 31mm in width. The sensitivity of the suggested sensor is $0.0022 \mu H/mm$ and the hysteresis is less than 1.5 percent, with an average error of less than 4%. Controlling the actuator over a square wave as a reference curve using the built-in displacement sensor was used to test and validate feedback control of the actuator. According to the results, this sensor can accurately determine the displacement of the soft muscle actuator and can be employed in a variety of soft robotic applications.

Keywords-Soft Robotic Muscle Actuators, Soft Sensors

DEDICATION

This dissertation is dedicated to my loving parents who always encouraged and motivated me during my ups and downs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to offer my dearest gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Damith Chathuranga for providing his support, guidance, encouragement and patient throughout this research. He was a mentor to me than a traditional supervisor who understood my capabilities and provided the required guidance and advises which ultimately made this research a success. I'm highly grateful for dedicating his valuable time on me.

Then I would like to thank Mr. Asitha Kulasekera who always stood behind me throughout this research and provided his insights, subject expertise and guided me towards success. Without him this research might not be a reality. Beside that i like to thank my progress review panel, Dr. Buddhika Jayasekara and Dr. Thilina Lalitharatne who gave their insights and comments on this work throughout the research so that I moved forward in right direction. My lab members in Computational Sensing and Smart Machines Laboratory, Mr. Chanaka, Mr. Lakmal, Mr. Lihini and Mr. Dhanushka deserve a special mention since they helped me a lot in many ways. Also I need to thank Mr. Sanka from bionics laboratory as well.

This research was funded by National Research Council, Sri Lanka Grant No 17-018 and I would like to express my gratitude towards them for providing all the required funding which makes this research a success. All the people in laboratories and workshops in Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Moratuwa deserve my gratitude for providing their service when ever required without any hesitation.

Finally I would like to thank my parents and my two sisters for keeping faith in me and special thank goes to Mr. Mahesh Gamage who helped me a lot financially throughout these past few years.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Abstract	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgments	iv
Table of Contents	viii
List of Figures	xi
List of Tables	xii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Objectives of the Thesis	3
1.2 Thesis Overview	3
2 Literature Review	4
2.1 Soft Muscle Actuator Types	5

2.1.1	McKibben Muscle	5
2.1.2	Hydro Muscle	8
2.1.3	Thin Mckibben Muscle	9
2.1.4	Active Modular Elastomer Sleeve	10
2.1.5	NiTi Spring Actuators	11
2.1.6	Soft Robotic Pad	12
2.1.7	Flat Pneumatic Artificial Muscle	13
2.1.8	Pleated Pneumatic Artificial Muscle	14
2.1.9	Straight Fiber Embedded Rubber Muscle	15
2.1.10	Soft Actuator Using Liquid Crystalline Elastomers	16
2.1.11	Twisted and coiled soft actuator with spandex for artificial muscles	16
2.2	Sensors for Soft Muscle Actuators	17
2.2.1	Eutectic Gallium-Indium (EGaIn) as Strain Sensor	17
2.2.2	Smart Braid Inductance Sensor	18
2.2.3	Soft Displacement Sensor	19
2.3	Soft Material for Soft Muscle Actuators	20
2.4	Research Gap	20
3	Method	24
3.1	Conceptual Design	24

3.2	Design of the Actuator	25
3.3	Design of the Sensor	26
3.4	Fabrication of the Actuator Embedded with Sensor	28
3.4.1	Fabrication Method	29
3.4.2	Fabricated Prototype	30
4	Characterization of Actuator	31
4.1	Experiment to Select Skeleton Spring Material of the Actuator	31
4.2	Experimental Setup for Actuator Characterization	32
4.3	Experiment to Identify Maximum Displacement Variation of the Actuator	33
4.4	Experiment to Identify the Maximum Force Characteristics of the Actuator	33
4.5	Experiment to Identify the Force vs Displacement Behavior of the Actuator	34
5	Characterization of the Sensor	36
5.1	Experimental Setup for Sensor Characterization	36
5.2	Displacement Sensor Calibration	37
5.3	Hysteresis of the Displacement Sensor	38
5.4	Repeatability of the Sensor	39
5.5	Sensitivity, Resolution and Average Error of the Sensor	39

6	Validation of the System: Case Study	41
7	Conclusions	44
	List of Publications	46
	Bibliography	53
A	First Appendix	54

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Structure of literature review	4
2.2	McKibben muscle actuator [46]	6
2.3	McKibben actuators and biological muscle comparison [7]	6
2.4	McKibben actuator construction [32]	7
2.5	McKibben actuator comparison (FDV - Force density volume, FDM - Force density per mass, EDV - Energy density per volume, EDm - Energy density per mass) [32]	7
2.6	Hydro muscle [29]	8
2.7	Thin Mckibben muscle [25]	9
2.8	Contraction forces and ratios of thin mckibben muscle configurations [25]	10
2.9	Active modular elastomer sleeve [36]	10
2.10	Active modular elastomer sleeve characterization [36]	11
2.11	NiTi spring actuators [24]	11
2.12	Soft robotic pad [44]	12
2.13	Flat pneumatic artificial muscle construction [37]	13

2.14	Pleated pneumatic artificial muscle construction [10]	14
2.15	Straight fiber embedded rubber muscle [34]	15
2.16	Schematic illustration of thermally induced contraction in LCEs [53] .	16
2.17	Twisted and coiled soft actuator with spandex [22]	17
2.18	Eutectic Gallium-Indium (EGaIn) as strain sensor [51]	18
2.19	Smart braid inductance sensor [14]	18
2.20	Soft displacement sensor [48]	19
2.21	Control of actuator using soft displacement sensor [48]	19
2.22	Young’s modulus comparison of different materials [22]	20
2.23	Force vs displacement and displacement vs working pressure compar- ison of available actuators	22
2.24	Force vs resting length and force vs working pressure comparison of available actuators	23
3.1	Prototype design of low profile actuator	26
3.2	Prototype dimensions and contraction ratio	30
3.3	Prototype actuator	30
4.1	Experimental force-displacement curve for GI and MS low-profile springs	31
4.2	Experimental setup for actuator characterization	32
4.3	Displacement vs applied vacuum pressure graph for actuators with gal- vanized iron (GI) spring and mild steel (MS) spring	33

4.4	Generated force vs applied vacuum pressure graph for actuators with galvanized iron (GI) spring and mild steel (MS) spring.	34
4.5	Displacement vs generated force for different applied pressures	35
5.1	Control block diagram of the system	37
5.2	Inductance vs displacement curve	37
5.3	Loading and unloading curve	38
5.4	Repeatability curve	39
6.1	Experimental setup for measuring actuator displacement	41
6.2	Schematic diagram of experimental setup for measuring actuator displacement	42
6.3	Results of position control experiment	43

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Actuator comparison using literature	22
5.1	Sensor error evaluation	40
5.2	Overall characteristics of displacement sensor	40