

**METHODOLOGY TO DEVELOP A FEEDER BUS
NETWORK FOR A LIGHT RAIL TRANSIT SYSTEM:**

A Case Study for Fort- Malabe Line

Balapuwaduge Harini Anasuya Mendis

188101A

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

November 2021

**METHODOLOGY TO DEVELOP A FEEDER BUS
NETWORK FOR A LIGHT RAIL TRANSIT SYSTEM:**

A Case Study for Fort- Malabe Line

Balapuwaduge Harini Anasuya Mendis

188101A

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Science

Degree of Master of Science

Department of Civil Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

November 2021

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis, in whole or part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as article or books).

Signature:

Date: 14/11/2021

The above candidate has carried out research for the Master's thesis under my supervision.

Name of the supervisor: G.L.D.I. De Silva

Signature of the supervisor:

Date: 27/11/2021

ABSTRACT

The world is reaching towards the sustainable transportation and the public transport sector especially requires a better focus and attention. Public transport network can be better distinguished as mass transit systems and feeder systems. Mass transit systems strengthens the transport network as backbone transport systems. However, it does not enable the connection between the origins such as the residence or the work place and the mass transit access points. This connection which is a vital component is enabled by the feeder modes. There can be several variations in feeder modes. Especially it can be recognized as private feeders and public feeders. Without a proper feeder network, the maximum potential of a mass transit network may not be achieved.

In this research, the focus is on the Light-Railway transit which is the most recent mass transit system that is being introduced to Sri Lanka. As the first step of introducing the light railway system to Sri Lanka, Fort-Malabe LRT was planned to be implemented. Even though the plans by the Government have changed, in this research, Fort- Malabe LRT line is taken as the case study in order to carry out the objectives. The feeder modes that are supplying to the LRT line were recognized as private vehicle drop offs, para-transit modes and short length public transit modes.

In order to get an uninterrupted connection between the origin and the mass transit access station, the development of feeder network that will be serving the mass transit has to be parallel with the development of the mass transit system. However, the LRT orientated development also has to be limited by the necessity. In order to get a realistic estimation on the development required area, the spatial extent of access areas by different access modes have to be identified.

The spatial area where potential transit users will origin their trip to use the mass transit can be identified as the catchment area. Catchments for different feeder modes may vary depending on the distance that users prefer to travel by that particular feeder mode. Catchment areas for multiple access modes were recognized using the survey data which were available from a previously carried out survey.

In identifying catchment distances for different feeders, two methods were followed. First method was to consider each station and identify the preferred travel

distances by a certain feeder mode to reach that station and thereby calculating a weighted average catchment distance for the chosen station for that particular mode. To calculate a catchment distance value which justifiably represents all the stations for a certain feeder mode, an average value was calculated.

Second method that was carried out was to consider the LRT route aligned corridor and identify catchment distances for each feeder mode which will represent the Fort-Malabe corridor rather than each station. The individuality of stations was not considered. To identify the catchment distance for a specific feeder mode, all data records of trips originating from a catchment to reach any station by that mode were taken in to account. The frequency of access distances occurring was the basis of the second method. Therefore, the frequency of a certain access distances occurring was identified and thereby the cumulative frequency of access distances was taken. When the accumulation of frequency reached 85th percent, the catchment distance at the point was taken as the catchment distance for that certain feeder.

In order to determine the geographical buffer area, two approaches were identified that can be utilized. First was the circular buffer approach which takes the Euclidean catchment distance from the LRT station locations. Aspects such as the geographical barriers and the non-availability of road network were not considered in this case. The other approach was to take the service area. In this approach the travel distance of the catchment is determined via the road network. Considering the pros and cons of two approaches and the accuracy of the results by each approach, service area approach was appointed to be used. Thereby the catchment areas were configured for the access modes. The catchment areas for each feeder type were visualized with the use of Geographical Information Systems (GIS).

In the case of the bus feeders, it was observed that the catchment area also depends on the bus route path layout. Areas which are parts of the bus catchment but does not get supplied with the bus network, can be identified separately. In this scenario, Conveyal analysis was used to identify the bus catchment which is limited by the availability of bus routes in the catchment which is bounded by the catchment distance. As per the first objective of the research, catchment area for multiple feeder modes were identified as above.

Further, it was observed, for a particular feeder mode, that there are certain areas that are not supplied by the respective feeder mode inside the catchment area which is due to the lack of road network or due to physical barriers such as water bodies. For each feeder mode type, the possibility of expanding the catchment area coverage was investigated. For walking and cycling mode it was identified that foot path implementation can expand the catchment coverage significantly. However, for the private vehicle and taxi modes, it was identified that the furthering the coverage is not practical. In the case of bus feeder, a detailed analysis on maximizing the coverage of catchment area was carried out.

For this step, two bus network design methods were identified. First method was to modify the existing bus network of regular routes in the catchment to accommodate the demand for feeder and include separate feeder routes for demand that is not covered by the regular routes.

The second design method was to implement a feeder network for the catchment, aiming to supply the full demand for the bus feeder, which will operate independent of the regular routes. In fact, aim is to dissolve the existing regular network and back the public transit completely by feeder routes and the backbone LRT transit.

The two design methods were thoroughly analyzed regarding the coverage by each and the practicality of implementation and the most suitable methods was selected. Thereby, an optimization procedure was carried out to optimize the designed bus network by methods such as route merging, breaking etc. From this procedure, an optimum route network can be identified.

Overall, this thesis contains a methodology for feeder bus network design for a LRT in Sri Lankan context, which takes the Fort- Malabe corridor as the case study sample.

DEDICATION

**To My Loving Parents and Husband,
Who Kept Me Going on Through Highs and Lows**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

There are number of people and institutions whom I need to pay my gratitude for their help towards the successful completion of this study.

I am especially indebted to **Dr G.L.D.I. De Silva**, Senior Lecturer of the Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa, who supervised and guided me throughout the whole period of the study and who provided me the academic environment necessary to pursue my research goals and who was very considerate.

This research work would not have been possible without the financial support provided by the **SRC (Senate Research Council) of University of Moratuwa** under the grant number SRC/ST/2019/04. Also, I wish to thank the immense support given by the **Department of Civil Engineering, University of Moratuwa** and its academic and non-academic staff members. **Prof. J. M. S. J. Bandara**, the previous Head of the Department and **Prof S.A.S. Kulathilaka** the current Head of Department, was always kind enough to give all the administrative support whenever necessary.

I would like to thank all of the lecturers in Transportation Engineering Group in addition to my supervisor, **Prof. J. M. S. J. Bandara, Prof. W. K. Mampearachchi, Dr. H.R. Pasindu** and **Dr. Loshaka Perera**

Further I would like to express my gratitude to my research progress committee members including **Dr. R. M. N. T. Sirisoma** for the valuable insight in to my research, **Dr. Ashani Ranathunga** (research coordinator), **Dr. J. C. P. H. Gamage** (Research coordinator), **Prof. A. A. D. A. J. Perera** (Research coordinator), **Prof. R. U. Halwatura** (Research coordinator), who provided me an extensive personal and professional guidance to improve my research findings. iv

I must thank Mr. Sakitha Kumarage, Mr. D.N.D. Jayaratne who gave me access to the data sample that as collected for his postgraduate research and Mrs. Oshadi Weerasinghe for her support in GIS software.

Also, the Conveyal developer team, who enabled me to access the model is deeply appreciated.

B.H.A. Mendis

Department of Civil Engineering,

University of Moratuwa

14.11.2021

TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION.....	I
ABSTRACT.....	II
DEDICATION.....	V
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	VI
1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 TRANSPORTATION STRUCTURE OF SRI LANKA	1
1.2 LIGHT RAIL TRANSIT	4
1.3 FEEDER MODES IN SRI LANKA.....	5
1.4 FORT – MALABE CORRIDOR	5
1.5 FORT – MALABE LRT LINE.....	7
1.5.1 Existing Bus Network.....	8
1.6 SCOPE OF STUDY	8
1.7 OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	9
1.8 ARRANGEMENT OF DISSERTATION	9
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 DEFINITIONS	11
2.2 SUSTAINABLE TRANSPORT.....	11
2.3 INTEGRATED TRANSIT SYSTEMS	12
2.4 FIRST MILE CONNECTIVITY	12
2.5 FEEDER MODES	13
2.5.1 Private Vehicles as a Feeder Mode.....	13
2.5.2 Public Transport as a Feeder Mode	14
2.6 CATCHMENT DISTANCE CALCULATION	14
2.6.1 Stated Preference Surveys.....	15
2.6.2 Catchment Distance Identification.....	15
2.7 CATCHMENT AREA CALCULATIONS.....	16
2.8 GIS SOFTWARE USAGE FOR CATCHMENT AREA IDENTIFICATION	17
2.9 BUS ROUTE ACCESSIBILITY	17
2.10 CONVEYAL MODEL.....	17

2.11	TRANSIT PLANNING	18
2.11.1	Objectives of Transit Planning.....	18
2.11.2	User Cost.....	18
2.11.3	Operator Cost.....	19
2.12	BUS NETWORK DESIGN APPROACHES.....	19
2.12.1	Mathematical Models/ Simulation Models.....	19
2.12.2	Heuristic Approaches Transit Network Design	20
2.12.3	Feeder Mode Specified Network Designs	21
2.13	NETWORK DESIGN STEPS.....	23
2.14	INNOVATIVE SOLUTIONS.....	23
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	24
3.1	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY STEP 1: IDENTIFYING THE REQUIREMENT OF BUS FEEDER NETWORKS FOR LRTs.....	24
3.2	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY STEP 2: IDENTIFYING THE METHODOLOGIES FOR DEVELOPING BUS FEEDER NETWORKS FOR BACKBONE TRANSIT SYSTEMS.....	25
3.2.1	Examining the Need for Starting a Feeder Service.....	25
3.2.2	Assessing the Feeder Modal Share and the Quality of Feeders.....	25
3.2.3	Spatial Analysis of Service Area of Feeders.....	26
3.2.4	Proposing Strategies for Initiating a Feeder Service.....	26
3.3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY STEP 3: IDENTIFYING THE METHODOLOGIES FOR BUS NETWORK DEVELOPMENT FROM LITERATURE	27
3.3.1	Link Network Development	27
3.3.2	Area Demand Representation	28
3.3.3	Ranking of Demand	28
3.3.4	Bus Route Path Identification by GIS Environment.....	29
3.3.5	Route Implementation.....	29
3.3.6	Assumptions.....	29
3.3.7	Network Optimization	30
3.4	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY STEP 4: IDENTIFYING THE DATA REQUIREMENTS FEEDER BUS NETWORK DESIGN.....	31
3.5	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY STEP 5: IDENTIFYING A METHODOLOGY FOR DEVELOPING A BUS FEEDER NETWORK FOR A LRT IN SRI LANKA.....	31

3.6 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY STEP 6: APPLYING THE IDENTIFIED METHODOLOGY FOR FORT – MALABE CORRIDOR	31
4 ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	32
4.1 IDENTIFYING A METHODOLOGY TO A DEVELOP BUS FEEDER NETWORK FOR A LRT IN SRI LANKA.....	32
4.2 APPLICATION OF IDENTIFIED METHODOLOGY TO DEVELOP A FEEDER BUS NETWORK – CASE STUDY OF FORT – MALABE LRT LINE.....	34
4.2.1 Data Requirement	34
4.2.2 Data Sourcing.....	35
4.2.3 Development of Data	38
4.3 CATCHMENT DISTANCE AND CATCHMENT ACALCULATION AND ANALYSIS	43
4.3.1 Individual Station-wise Catchment Distances	44
4.3.2 Generic Corridor-wise Catchment Distance	48
4.3.3 Comparison of Catchment Distance Calculation Methods.....	52
4.3.4 Catchment distance determination.....	54
4.3.5 Catchment Area Analysis	55
4.4 ASSESSING THE MODAL SHARE OF BUS FEEDER.....	63
4.5 ANALYZING BUS CATCHMENT AREA AND ACCESSIBILITY BY PRESENT BUS NETWORK	63
4.6 APPLYING BUS FEEDER DESIGN METHOD STRATEGIES.....	66
4.6.1 Design Method 1 - Existing Bus Network Modification.....	67
4.6.1.1 Identifying the Catchment Areas not Supplied by the Existing Bus Routes..	67
4.6.1.2 Identifying the Centroids of GNDs Considering Only the Uncovered Shape Area	68
4.6.1.3 Identifying the Shortest Path Links Connecting the GNDs to the Nearest LRT Station	69
4.6.1.4 Implementing an Individual Feeder Bus Route in Each Identified Link Above	70
4.6.2 Design Method 2 - Designing a New Feeder Bus Network for Feeder Demand.....	72
4.6.2.1 Identifying the GNDs Inside the Geographical Catchment Area for Bus Mode	72
4.6.2.2 Identifying the Centroids of GNDs Considering the Shape Area	73

4.6.2.3	Identifying the Shortest Path Links Connecting the GNDs to the Nearest LRT Station	74
4.6.2.4	Implementing an Individual Bus Route in Each Identified Link	75
4.7	EVALUATION OF ACCESSIBILITY BY DIFFERENT STRATEGIES	75
4.7.1	Design Method 1 (Existing Bus Network Modification) Analysis	75
4.7.2	Design Method 2 (New Feeder Bus Network Design) Analysis	77
4.8	SELECTING THE SUITABLE DESIGN METHOD STRATEGY	79
4.9	BUS FEEDER DESIGN OPTIMIZATION	83
4.9.1	Route Grid Development	83
4.9.2	GND Demand Calculation	85
4.9.3	Hierarchy of Demand	88
4.9.4	Assigning Demands to the Developed Grid	90
4.9.5	Optimization of Feeder Network	91
4.9.6	Application of Optimization	94
4.9.7	Frequency of Feeder Routes	101
5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	104
	REFERENCES	110
	ANNEXURES	116
	APPENDIX A: JICA LRT PREPARATORY SURVEY REPORT DATA: TRAVEL MODES AND PREFERRED TRAVEL TIME DATA FOR SURVEY LOCATIONS	116
	APPENDIX B: COMPARISON OF BUS NETWORK CATCHMENT AREA IN DIFFERENT SCENARIOS	123

Table of Figures

Figure 1: 7 Corridors to Access Colombo	2
Figure 2: Passenger Volume by Mode for Seven Corridors	2
Figure 3: Mode Share of Fort-Malabe Corridor	6
Figure 4: Proposed LRT Stations.....	7
Figure 5: Bus Stops in Fort - Malabe Corridor	8
Figure 6: Walking and Cycling Histogram	49
Figure 7: Cars Mode Histogram	49
Figure 8: Three-wheelers Mode Histogram	50
Figure 9: Motorcycles Mode Histogram	50
Figure 10: Taxi Mode Histogram	51
Figure 11: Bus Mode Histogram.....	51
Figure 12: Circular buffer for Walking and Cycling Mode(800m Catchment Distance)	55
Figure 13: Circular buffer for Private and Taxi Modes(2500m Catchment Distance)	56
Figure 14: Circular buffer for Buses Mode (3200m Catchment Distance)	56
Figure 15: Service Area for Walking and Cycling Mode (800m catchment Distance)	56
Figure 16: Service Area for Private and taxi Modes (2500m catchment Distance)	57
Figure 17: Service Area for Buses Mode (3200m catchment Distance)	57
Figure 18: Sea and Coastal area as part of the Catchment Area	58
Figure 19: In-accessible areas included in the catchment.....	58
Figure 20: Catchment area of Walking and Cycling Mode	59
Figure 21: Catchment Area of Private Veh and Taxi	60
Figure 22: Catchment Area of Bus Mode	61
Figure 23: Increase of Catchment Area by the Implementation of Foot Bridge at Fort	62
Figure 24: Bus Route Operating Paths in the Fort- Malabe Corridor	64
Figure 25: Comparison Between Catchment of Bus Mode and the Coverage	65
Figure 26: Catchment Coverage by Existing Bus Network.....	67
Figure 27: GNDs not supplied with the Current Bus Network.....	68
Figure 28: Centroid Location.....	68
Figure 29: Shortest Path Identification	69
Figure 30: Eligible Links	70
Figure 31: Residential Links Being Part of the Shortest Path	71
Figure 32: Relocation of GND.....	71
Figure 33: GNDs Inside the Geographical Catchment Area	73

Figure 34: Centroids of GNDs	73
Figure 35: Shortest Paths Connecting GNDs to the Nearest LRT Station	74
Figure 36: Comparison of Design Method 1 coverage with the Cathment Area.....	76
Figure 37: Comparison of Catchment with Coverages of design Method 1.....	76
Figure 38: Comparison of Design Method 2 coverage with the Cathment Area.....	78
Figure 39: Comparison of Catchment Coverages of Design Method 2.....	78
Figure 40: Different Bus Routes connecting to the Same LRT station	83
Figure 41: Sample of the Bus Routes Grid	85
Figure 42: Sample ssignment of Demand.....	90
Figure 43: Sample Assignment.....	91
Figure 44: Sample Optimized Bus Feeder Network for LRT station 1 – Option 1	92
Figure 45: Sample Optimized Bus Feeder Network for LRT station 1 – Option 2.....	93
Figure 46: Feeder route grid for Lumbini Station.....	94
Figure 47: Demand Assignment for Lumbini LRT Station	95
Figure 48: Optimized Bus Network for Lumbini LRT Station.....	97
Figure 49: Demand Variation of a Route Justifying Route Breaking.....	99
Figure 50: Demand Variation of a Route Justifying Route Sprouting.....	99
Figure 51: Regular Route Reshaped	100
Figure 52: Feeder Bus Grid Connecting to Lumbini LRT.....	102

List of Tables

Table 1: Access Corridor Peak Hour Traffic	2
Table 2: Present Transit Systems and Proposed Transit Systems in CoMTrans study	3
Table 3: Transit System Characteristics	4
Table 4: Survey locations and relevant LRT stations	36
Table 5: Lengths of Road Section for Speed Survey	38
Table 6: Speed Data for the Links in Speed Survey	38
Table 7: The number of Samples by the Current Mode of Travel from Origin to Dest.	39
Table 8: Number of Samples by Each Preferred Access Mode.....	40
Table 9: Travel Time Preferences of Each Station	41
Table 10: Travel Time Preference for Lumbini station by Different Feeder Modes.....	41
Table 11: Travel Time preferences for Each Feeder Mode for the Fort – Malabe Corridor ...	42
Table 12: Departure Time Preference for Bus Feeder Users	42
Table 13: Min, Max and Weighted Average Travel Distances for Walking and Cycling Mode for Sethsiripaya station	44
Table 14: Min, Max and Weighted Average Travel Distances for Walking and Cycling Feeder mode for All stations.....	45
Table 15: Mini, Maxi and Weighted Average Travel Distances for Different Feeder Modes	46
Table 16: Catchment Distance value for Walking and Cycling Mode for each Station.....	47
Table 17: Catchment Distances for Each Mode	48
Table 18: 85 th Percentile Catchment Distances for Each Feeder Mode	52
Table 19: catchment Distance Variations by Two Observations.....	53
Table 20: catchment Distance Determined for Feeder Modes.....	55
Table 21: Catchment Areas by Two Approaches	58
Table 22: Feeder Mode Modal Share.....	63
Table 23: Comparison of Coverages by Existing Bus Network, Design Methods 1 and 2.....	80
Table 24: Comparison of Coverages by Existing Bus Network, Design Methods 1 and 2.....	81
Table 25: The Connections of Each LRT Station	84
Table 26: Demands of GNDs and Rankings of Feeder Bus Routes in Design Method 1	89
Table 27: Demands of GNDs and Rankings of Feeder Bus Routes in Design Method 2	89
Table 28: Sample Assignment of Demand and Rank	90

Table 29: Demands and Ranks of Zones Connecting to Lumbini LRT station.....	94
Table 30: Feeder Demand Fluctualtions in Time	101
Table 31: Feeder Bus Frequency Assignment	102
Table 32: Catchment Distances and Catchment Areas	105