

# **Investigation on Stability of Dry Anaerobic Flow Reactors Treating Lignocellulose Biomass**

D. P. Weerasooriya

Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree  
Master of Science

Department of Chemical & Process Engineering

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

May 2023

## DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE & SUPERVISOR

“I declare that this is my own work and this thesis/dissertation does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any University or other institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.”

### ***UOM Verified Signature***

.....  
Signature

.....24/5/2023.....  
Date

“I hereby grant the University of Moratuwa the right to archive and to make available my thesis or dissertation in whole or part in the University Libraries in all forms of media, subject to the provisions of the current copyright act of Sri Lanka. I retain all proprietary rights, such as patent rights. I also retain the right to use in future works (such as articles or books) all or part of this thesis or dissertation.”

### ***UOM Verified Signature***

.....  
Signature

.....24/5/2023.....  
Date

“I have supervised and accepted this thesis/dissertation for the award of the degree.”

### ***UOM Verified Signature***

.....  
Signature of the supervisor

.....25/5/2023.....  
Date

## ABSTRACT

Anaerobic co-digestion is a prominent and environmentally friendly technology in agricultural, domestic and industrial bio waste management. It is the most economical and effective method for treating animal waste bedded with lignocellulosic agriculture waste as anaerobic co-digestion of lignocellulosic waste with animal manure proceeds effective C/N ratio and regular nutrient supply mainly from manure in fact it is enriched with different types of nutrients. Lignocellulosic structures are mainly consisted of carbon and hydrogen which resulted in creating lower operating pH values via acidification during its hydrolysis phase. All intermediary steps in digestion process coexist with each other. Thus, an inhibition in a single step can directly affects the entire operation. Main inhibitory substances in AD are undissociated VFA and Free Ammonia Nitrogen (FAN). The main objective in the research is to increase pH by improving buffer capacity via facilitating alkaline and nitrogenous compounds into the system to reduce undissociated VFA concentration. Conversely, use acclimatizing feeding technique to increase the tolerance against FAN inhibition. Experiments were conducted in two reactor configurations namely Plug flow reactor (PFR) and Semi batch reactor (SBR). As continuous mixing in high solid digestion process reported instabilities at high OLRs, performance of the semi batch reactor was investigated at different mixing conditions. Cattle manure was collected from a farm in Carcassonne France. In order to facilitate substantial alkalinity for proper buffering capacity, inoculum was prepared by mixing granular sludge with digestate obtained from a pilot scale anaerobic batch reactor which treated chicken manure bedded with straw. In order to facilitate step wise increase in ammonia concentration, weekly OLR was started from 0.71gVS/L.d and increased gradually by 20% each week. Both systems were continuously monitored by measuring relevant parameters. In SBR, Optimum specific methane yield (SMY) and specific methane production rate (SMPR) were achieved at OLR of 3.07gVS/L.d. and 3.69gVS/L.d. respectively. They were 0.170NLCH<sub>4</sub>/g.VS and 4.08NLCH<sub>4</sub>/L.d. In PFR, optimum SMY and SMPR were achieved at OLR of 5.35gVS/L.d. They were 0.197NLCH<sub>4</sub>/g.VS and 7.37NLCH<sub>4</sub>/L.d respectively. Better results were obtained in PFR with compared to past investigations mainly due to higher withstand ability for VFA and FAN inhibitions. PFR can be

recommended to utilize for treating cow manure co-digested with different substrates which include higher biodegradable organic content with lower lignocellulosic content such as fruit and vegetable waste under given acclimatizing feeding technique. SBR should be operated with lower mixing intensities.

**Keywords:** Anaerobic digestion, Nitrogen inhibition, Reactor stability, Acclimatization, Animal manure, Lignocellulosic feedstocks, Optimization.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Completion of this thesis has been one of the most significant academic challenges I have ever faced. Without the support, patience and guidance of many humble personalities, this study would not have been a success. It is to them that I owe my deepest gratitude.

First and foremost, I would like to convey my sincere gratitude to my chief supervisor Prof. P.G. Rathnasiri for his inspiring and motivating guidance throughout this research project. His enthusiasm and immense knowledge helped me overcome all the challenges I faced. I could not have imagined a better advisor and a mentor for this research.

I gratefully acknowledge my co-supervisors, Dr. Michel Torrijos and Dr. Renaud Esudie, for their advice, supervision, and crucial contribution in offering me the opportunity to do the experimental phase of the M.Sc. research incorporated with INRA Narbonne France. Their involvement along with their originalities have triggered and nourished my intellectual maturity that I will benefit from, for a long time to come.

I am indebted to Prof. Shantha Walpolage, Head of the Department of Chemical and Process Engineering, University of Moratuwa, for giving me the opportunity to do the MSc in the department.

I am sincerely grateful to Dr. Duleeka Gunarathne, the M.Sc. coordinator in the department who provided a helping hand to precede my M.Sc.

Many thanks to Mr. Philippe Sousbie, the laboratory technician of the research lab in INRA Narbonne France, for helping and guiding me to conduct my experiment productively in a healthy environment. The experimental phase of this research would not have been a success without the generous guidance you provided to handle the sophisticated equipment.

Many thanks goes to Prof. Jagath Manathunga for reviewing my M.Sc. as the chairperson of the progress review committee. Your guidance helped me immensely to organize the research plan effectively throughout the project.

I offer my special thanks to Prof. Mahinsasa Narayana for reviewing my M.Sc. as a member of the progress review committee. Your guiding words provided me an excellent background to continue my research with ease.

I owe my sincere gratitude to Prof. Jean Philippe Steyer for observing my research and for his insightful comments which motivated to modify the project.

Ms. Maria Fernanda, Mexico, I am extremely grateful to you for the helping hand you lent me selflessly, and I would also like to remind Mr. Gaetano Zuccaro, Napole, Italy, for encouraging me to move forward and achieve my potentials. I thankfully acknowledge all the trouble the two of you took to send me research articles.

My respect and gratitude goes to Mr. Rushanth Chandrabose, the engineering director of Industrial Solutions Lanka (Pvt) for giving his explicit knowledge and guidance on industrial waste water treatment. The knowledge and the industrial exposure I gained regarding anaerobic digestion and waste treatment helped me immensely to improve my innovative and critical thinking abilities, which are essential to carry out a successful research project.

My graduate studies would not have been the same without the social and academic challenges and diversions provided by all of my colleagues in the Department of Chemical & Process Engineering, University of Moratuwa. You all provided a stimulating and a joyous environment for me to learn and grow. I am particularly thankful to Kalindu Fernando for helping me not only in studies, but also in making me realize the importance of learning amidst challenges with dedication. I will forever cherish the memories I had with all of my colleagues Randika Maddumage, Chamara S Udayakumara and Lahiru Kekulawela to name a few. Thank you!

A friend in need is a friend indeed. My dear friends, Baratha Dadawatta, Dasun Attanayake, Thushad Wijesekara and Dian Indula. I cannot thank you enough for helping me with the research project and Algae cultivation project for the exhibition.

It is my pleasure to remind Officials and management of MARSEILLE sugar refinery, France for providing activated sludge for my experiments. I also render my gratitude to the owner of the cattle farm in Carcassonne, France for providing cow manure for the research project.

Dr. Nicolas Bernet and the staff of the INRA LBE Narbonne, France, thank you very much for the supplement of necessary equipment and guiding me several times to conduct my experiments. It was of immense help.

The support provided by the Senior Assistant Bursar (SAB) and staff of the Supply Division while arranging the financial support necessary is highly admired. It is a pleasure for me to extend my heartfelt thanks to Senior Assistant Bursar (SAB), Ms. Achala and the staff of Finance division for handling all the financial requirements during the period of my M.Sc.

It is important to mention that I had to face the global pandemic of COVID 19 while doing the experimental phase of my research project. I had to endure the lockdown and stay 4 extra months in France. There were generous personalities who helped me throughout this hard time in every possible way. Prof P.G.Rathnasiri, Prof. Ajith De Alwis, Prof Mahinsasa Narayana, and Assistant Registrar EAP University of Moratuwa, words cannot express how grateful I am for the kindness, generosity and empathy you showered upon me. I will forever appreciate the way you were considerate and went above and beyond to help me.

Most importantly, none of these would have been possible without the love and patience of my family. I am deeply indebted to my parents, sister and my fiancée who always supported, encouraged and believed in me, in my entire endeavor.

To everybody that has been a part of my life, but I failed to mention, thank you very much. I sincerely wish that my research will, in some way contribute to the vast ocean of research done in the field of Environmental Engineering.

D.P.Weerasooriya.

# TABLE OF CONTENT

## Contents

DECLARATION OF THE CANDIDATE & SUPERVISOR .....	i
ABSTRACT.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT .....	vii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	x
LIST OF TABLES.....	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	xiii
LIST OF ANNEXURES.....	xiv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1. INTRODUCTION .....	2
1.1 Anaerobic digestion.....	3
1.2 .2 Product inhibition.....	6
1.3 Introduction to Research Problem.....	7
CHAPTER 2 : LITERATURE REVIEW .....	10
2. LITERATURE REVIEW .....	11
2.1 Anaerobic co – digestion of manure .....	11
2.2 Anaerobic digestion fundamentals .....	12
2.2.1 Hydrolysis.....	12
2.2.2 Acedogenesis .....	13
2.2.3 Acetogenesis .....	14
2.2.4 Methanogenesis.....	14
2.3 Main process parameters in anaerobic digestion.....	16
2.3.1 Temperature .....	16
2.3.2 pH.....	17
2.3.3 Organic loading rate (OLR).....	17
2.3.4 Hydraulic retention time (HRT).....	17



2.3.5	Alkalinity .....	18
2.3.6	Volatile Fatty Acids (VFA) accumulation.....	19
2.3.7	C/N ratio.....	19
2.5	Treatment of animal manure bedded with lignocellulosic feedstock.....	20
2.6	Optimization of Dry anaerobic digestion.....	22
CHAPTER 3: MATERIALS & METHODS.....		24
3	MATERIALS & METHODS.....	25
3.1	Substrate and inoculum.....	25
3.2	Origin of the inoculum and characterization.....	25
3.3	Reactor set-up.....	26
3.3.1	Semi batch reactor (SBR)(Intermittently mixed).....	26
3.3.2	Plug Flow reactor.....	27
3.4	Experimental strategy.....	29
3.4.1	Semi Batch Reactor (SBR) mixed intermittently.....	30
3.4.2	Plug flow reactor (PFR).....	30
3.5	Experimental procedure.....	30
3.6	Biological methane potential.....	31
3.7	Sampling and analysis.....	33
3.7.1	Monitoring biogas production.....	34
3.7.2	Monitoring parameters related to biogas production.....	34
3.7.3	Organic C, N content.....	34
3.7.4	Total solid (TS) and Volatile solid (VS) content.....	35
3.7.5	Biogas volume and composition analysis.....	35
3.7.6	Centrifugation of the digestate.....	36
3.7.7	pH and alkalinity.....	36
3.7.8	Total ammonia nitrogen content (TAN).....	36
3.7.9	Volatile fatty acid concentration.....	38
3.7.10	Soluble COD.....	39
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS & DISCUSSION.....		40
4	RESULTS & DISCUSSION.....	41
4.1	Biogas Production in SBR.....	41

4.2	Biogas Production in PFR .....	44
4.3	Process stability in SBR .....	49
4.4	Process stability in PFR .....	52
4.5	Ammonia inhibition in SBR.....	54
4.6	Ammonia inhibition in PFR .....	55
4.7	Volatile solid (VS) removal .....	57
4.8	Conversion efficiency of Soluble COD .....	58
4.9	Volatile fatty acids spatialization .....	60
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUTIONS & recommendations .....		63
5.1	Conclusions.....	63
5.2	Suggestions for future investigations .....	64
5	REFERENCE.....	65
6	ANNEXTURE .....	78
6.1	Calculation proposed to determine the ratio of liquid: solid content in the digestate....	78
6.2	Model Specification .....	78

## LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figure 1: A scheme of anaerobic co-digestion of animal manure and lignocellulosic residues for biogas production along with potential applications. Source: (Neshat et al., 2017)</i> .....	2
<i>Figure 2: Major conversion processes in anaerobic digestion</i> .....	4
<i>Figure 3: Steps in the bioconversion of proteins Source: (Blomgren et al., 1990)</i> .....	5
<i>Figure 4: Proposed mechanisms of ammonia inhibition in methanogenic bacteria Source: (Sprott and Patel, 1986)</i> .....	7
<i>Figure 5: Research Strategy</i> .....	9
<i>Figure 6: Microorganism's cooperation in organic matter degradation</i> .....	15
<i>Figure 7: Schematic diagram of SBR</i> .....	27
<i>Figure 8: Schematic diagram of PFR</i> .....	29
<i>Figure 9: Organic loading rates (OLRs) applied during experiments</i> .....	41
<i>Figure 10: Daily methane production and cumulative methane production in SBR during the experiment.</i> .....	42
<i>Figure 11: Methane productivity and Specific methane yield – SMY at different organic loading rates (OLRs) in SBR during the experiment.</i> .....	43
<i>Figure 12: Daily methane production and cumulative methane production in PFR during the experiment.</i> .....	45
<i>Figure 13: Methane productivity and Specific methane yield – SMY at different organic loading rates (OLRs) in PFR during the experiment.</i> .....	46
<i>Figure 14: Methane productivities at different organic loading rates (OLRs) during experiments.</i> .....	47

<i>Figure 15: Specific methane yields - SMY at different organic loading rates (OLRs) during experiments. ....</i>	<i>47</i>
<i>Figure 16: Acetic, Propionic and total VFA variation at different OLRs at different OLRs in SBR during the experiment. ....</i>	<i>51</i>
<i>Figure 17: Acetic, Propionic and mixing intensity variation with time during the experiment in SBR. ....</i>	<i>51</i>
<i>Figure 18: Organic loading rate (OLR) and daily specific methane production variation with time in SBR. ....</i>	<i>52</i>
<i>Figure 19: Acetic, Propionic and total VFA variation at different OLRs in PFR during the experiment. ....</i>	<i>53</i>
<i>Figure 20: Organic loading rate (OLR) and daily specific methane production variation with time in PFR. ....</i>	<i>53</i>
<i>Figure 21: Total ammonia nitrogen with free ammonia concentration at different OLRs in SBR during the experiment. ....</i>	<i>54</i>
<i>Figure 22: pH and VFA/Alkalinity ratio variations at different Organic loading rates (OLRs) in SBR during the experiment. ....</i>	<i>55</i>
<i>Figure 23: Total ammonia nitrogen with free ammonia concentration at different OLRs in PFR during the experiment. ....</i>	<i>56</i>
<i>Figure 24: pH and VFA/Alkalinity ratio variations at different Organic loading rates (OLRs) in PFR during the experiment. ....</i>	<i>56</i>
<i>Figure 25: Percentage of CODCH<sub>4</sub>/SCOD wasted at different organic loading rates (OLRs) during experiments. ....</i>	<i>59</i>
<i>Figure 26: Total VFA accumulations in four distinct measuring points at different weeks during the experiment. ....</i>	<i>61</i>
<i>Figure 27: Accumulations of total VFA along the axial direction of the reactor during the experiment. ....</i>	<i>62</i>

## LIST OF TABLES

*Table 1: Characteristics of substrate and Inoculum used during experiment (Standard deviation based on triplicate measurements) ..... 26*

*Table 2: Weekly based SMY and Specific methane production rate of SBR at different organic loading rates. The standard deviation was used for OLRs continued for more than one week. .. 44*

*Table 3: Weekly based SMY and productivity of CSTR at different organic loading rates. The standard deviation was used for OLRs continued for more than one week..... 48*

## **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

APHA – American Public Health Association

AD – Anaerobic Digestion

ACD – Anaerobic Co-digestion

COD – Chemical Oxygen Demand

C/N – Carbon to Nitrogen ratio

CSTR – Continues Stirred Tank Reactor

SBR – Semi Batch Reactor

FAN – Free Ammonia Nitrogen

HRT – Hydraulic Retention Time

MSW – Municipal Solid Waste

OLR – Organic Loading Rate

PFR – Plug Flow Reactor

pKa – Acidic Strength

TAN – Total Ammonia Nitrogen

TCOD – Total Chemical Oxygen Demand

TOC – Total Organic Carbon

TON – Total Organic Nitrogen

TS – Total Solids

UASB – Up flow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket

VFA – Volatile Fatty Acids

VS – Volatile Solids

## **LIST OF ANNEXURES**

Annexure 1: Liquid: Solid ratio in the digestate effluent } (liquid : solid ratio)  $\sim e^{(a+b \times TS)}$

a and b are constants. TS corresponds to the total solid content in the digestate effluent sample.