# FRAMEWORK OF UNDERSTANDING FOR BIM ADOPTION IN A BIM INFANT INDUSTRY: CASE OF SRI LANKA

Himal Suranga Jayasena

118040U

Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Building Economics

Faculty of Architecture

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

May 2023

# FRAMEWORK OF UNDERSTANDING FOR BIM ADOPTION IN A BIM INFANT INDUSTRY: CASE OF SRI LANKA

Himal Suranga Jayasena 118040U

Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree

Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Building Economics

Faculty of Architecture

University of Moratuwa

Sri Lanka

May 2023

**DECLARATION** 

I declare that this is my own work, and this thesis does not incorporate without

acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a degree or diploma in any

other University or Institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and

belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another

person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text. I retain the right to use

this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:

Date: 11/05/2023

Himal Suranga Jayasena

The above candidate has carried out research for the PhD thesis under my supervision.

I confirm that the declaration made above by the student is true and correct.

Name of the supervisor: Ch.QS Prof. (Mrs.) B.A.K.S. Perera

Signature of the supervisor:

Date: 11/05/2023

Name of the supervisor: Prof. (Mrs) Chitra Weddikkara

Signature of the supervisor:

Date: 11/05/2023

Name of the supervisor: Assoc. Prof. Niraj Thurairajah

Signature of the supervisor:

Date: 11/05/2023

# **DEDICATION**

Dedicated to Sanjeevi my beloved wife for not just being by my side

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Completion of this thesis is no match for any other academic task I had completed. It was extremely challenging that I couldn't have undertaken this journey without the support of many. I am deeply indebted to my supervisors - Prof. Chitra Weddikkara, Ch.QS Prof. B.A.K.S. Perera and Assoc. Prof. Niraj Thurairajah, and the Progress Review Chair - Dr. Mohan Siriwardena, for constructive criticism, feedback and guidance throughout the study. I am extremely grateful to all participants of this study for willingly sharing both positive and negative experiences that made them the most valuable data I could ever have. I would like to acknowledge with gratitude the support given by my former supervisors - Prof. Arto Kiviniemi and Ch.QS Indunil Seneviratne. I am also thankful to other Progress Review Panel members - Dr. Sachie Gunathilake and Dr. Pournima Sridarran for their suggestions and guidance. I remember with gratitude the research administration of the university, including the Head Department of Building Economics, Department Research Coordinator, Director Postgraduate Studies of Faculty of Architecture, Dean Faculty of Graduate studies, and all other staff involved for the administrative support and guidance. I would like to extend my sincere thanks to all my colleagues at the Department of Building Economics, University of Moratuwa for the moral support and looking after many of my responsibilities whenever I needed extra time to work on this thesis. Same goes to many others who did the same at the Institute of Quantity Surveyors Sri Lanka, Sri Lanka Institute of Information Technology, and other institutions and communities I was entrusted with responsibilities. I would be remiss in not mentioning my family, especially my beloved wife and our parents for both emotional and practical support given throughout this work. I am indeed grateful to my dear son, for his belief in me, and sacrificing his "father-son moments" which I know is a great loss to him.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Being a technological innovation with ability to address many of the problems in the construction industry, Building Information Modelling (BIM) has got significant attention both in academia and in practice. Proper strategizing of BIM adoption by both adopters and supporting agents is crucial for success. In this, inability to formulate structured understanding of BIM adoption decision context was found a limitation, and this study aimed to develop a framework of understanding of BIM adoption decision in a context of BIM infant industry taking Sri Lanka as a case. Affordances concept was utilized to conceptualize wide knowledge in BIM adoption into one framework. Introduced in ecological psychology, affordances are the potential uses or actions that an object or environment offers to a user. It is a versatile concept that could effectively represent not only what an adopter perceives and expects from BIM implementation, but also, what the adopter in fact can achieve from it. With the assertion that there is a generalizable underlying framework of BIM adoption decision that can be observed through socially constructed experience it caused, the study took a Retroductive Approach to theory with Critical Realism research philosophy. Data was collected through semi-structures interviews with nine participants purposively selected to maximize the breadth and depth of data. After each interview, an iterative inductive and deductive data analysis process was followed by developing thick narratives and qualitatively validating the developing framework with data thus far. Findings present the Affordance-led Framework of Understanding that can effectively capture the BIM adoption decision context in a BIM infant industry and offer a deeper contextualized view of BIM adoption decision that was absent in current innovation studies. Study findings contribute pertinent affordances as a new concept for which an equivalent concept or an explanation was not found either in behavioural or innovation adoption theories. While the framework supports the strategizing of BIM adoption, it has shown potential use in many other contexts even outside of construction.

Keywords: Building Information Modelling, BIM, BIM Infant Industry, innovation, adoption

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Dedication	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Abstract	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xi
List of Abbreviations	xii
List of Appendices	xvi
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	6
1.3 Aim and Objectives	8
1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Study	8
1.5 Methodology	9
1.6 Organization of the thesis	9
Chapter 2 Literature Review	10
2.1 Building Information Modelling	10
2.1.1 BIM as a Technological Advancement	11
2.1.2 BIM as a Shift in Paradigm	15
2.1.3 BIM Infant Industries	16
2.2 BIM Innovation Decision	17
2.2.1 Innovations	17
2.2.2 Innovation Adoption and Diffusion in Theory	20
2.2.3 BIM Innovation Adoption	21
2.3 Models of Innovation Adoption	26
2.3.1 Tarde's laws of Imitation (1890)	26
2.3.2 Theory of Diffusion of Innovation (1962)	27
2.3.3 Bass Model (1969)	27
2.3.4 Social Network Theory (1973)	28
2.3.5 Theory of Reasoned Actions (1980)	29
2.3.6 Model of PC Utilization (1977)	30
2.3.7 Social Cognitive Theory (1985)	30
2.3.8 Technology Acceptance Model (1989)	31
2.3.9 Theory of Planned Behaviour (1991)	31
2.3.10 Motivational Model (1992)	32
2.3.11 Combined TAM & TPB (1995)	33
2.3.12 Decomposed Theory of Planned Behaviour (1995)	34
2.3.13 Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (200)	3)36

2.3.14	Value-Based Adoption Model (2007)	38
	Reasoned Action Approach (2010)	
	HMSAM - hedonic-motivation system adoption model (2013)	
2.3.17	Integrated Models of Diffusion (2018)	41
2.3.18	Other Theoretical Developments in Innovation Adoption	42
	erminants of Innovation Adoption	
2.4.1	Perceived Characteristics of Innovation	45
2.4.2	Behavioural Characteristics of Adopters	52
2.4.3	Other Characteristics Affecting the Adoption of Innovations	57
2.5 Inno	vation Decision	
2.6 Inno	vation-Decision Process	61
2.7 Kno	wledge about Innovation	63
2.7.1	Mass media	63
2.7.2	Interpersonal Channels	63
2.7.3	Social Media	64
2.8 Ado	ption of BIM	64
2.9 Mate	eriality of BIM	65
2.10 Affo	ordances	66
2.11 Sum	mary of the Literature Review	66
Chapter 3 A	ffordance-led Framework of understanding	68
3.1 Und	erstanding the BIM Adoption Decision	68
	ordance based study framework	
3.3 BIM	Affordance Gap	74
3.4 Dev	elopment of AFU in Summary	74
Chapter 4 M	lethodology	76
4.1 The	Role of the Researcher	76
4.2 Rese	earch Design	77
4.2.1	Research Philosophy	77
4.2.2	Approach to theory development	79
4.2.3	Methodological choice	79
4.2.4	Research Strategy	80
4.2.5	Time horizons	81
4.2.6	Techniques and Procedures	81
4.2.7	Research Onion	81
4.3 Rese	earch Process	82
4.3.1	Interviewee Selection	82
4.3.2	Interviews	84
4.3.3	Analysis Process	88
4.4 Vali	dity of Findings	94
4.4.1	Reflexivity	95
4.4.2	Collaboration	95

4.4.3	Peer Debriefing	96
4.4.4	Triangulation	96
4.4.5	Thick Description	97
4.4.6	Member Checking	97
4.4.7	Additional Measures	98
4.5 Alter	native Quality Criteria for Validity	98
4.5.1	Dependability	98
4.5.2	Credibility	98
4.5.3	Transferability	99
4.5.4	Authenticity Criteria	99
4.6 Relia	ability of Findings	101
4.7 Rese	arch Ethics	101
4.8 Limi	tations of Methodology	103
	mary of Research Methodology	
Chapter 5 Ar	nalysis and Findings	106
5.1 Anal	ysis of BIM Adoption Experience	106
5.1.1	Revit Implementation by Nicole Pierre	107
5.1.2	5D BIM implementation and CostX adoption by Sydney Dias	110
5.1.3	Revit and Solidworks adoption by Shane Sandalwood	118
5.1.4	Revit MEP Implementation by Shirley Ratatron	125
5.1.5	Revit Adoption for BIM Modelling by Terry Perret	129
5.1.6	Architectural BIM adoption by Shawn Pilkington	134
5.1.7	Revit MEP Implementation by Noel Priestley	141
5.1.8	BIM in Design and Build Projects by Morgan Ramsden	147
5.1.9	Structural Engineering BIM Implementation by Billy Edmondson	155
5.2 Findi	ings	158
5.2.1	Nature of Affordances	158
5.2.2	Venn Diagram Representation of Affordance-led Framework of	
	Understanding	163
5.2.3	Pertinent States of Affordances	165
5.3 Expe	rt Validation of Transferability	166
5.3.1	Session with Winter Skylar	167
5.3.2	Session with Morgan Ramsden	168
5.4 Sum	mary of Analysis and Findings	169
Chapter 6 Di	scussion of Findings	171
6.1 Affor	rdance-led Framework of Understanding	171
6.2 Perti	nent Affordances as a Concept	173
6.3 Perce	eived Characteristics in the Framework	177
6.4 Repr	esentation of Behavioural Characteristics	180
6.5 Repr	esentation of Other Factors and Concepts	182
6.6 AFU	and BIM Adoption Studies	185

6.7 Summary of Discussion	188
Chapter 7 Conclusions and Recommendations	189
7.1 Summary of the Study	189
7.1.1 Objective One	192
7.1.2 Objective Two	193
7.1.3 Objective Three	193
7.1.4 Objective Four	194
7.2 Conclusions and Contributions	194
7.2.1 Contribution to Theory	195
7.2.2 Contribution to Practice	195
7.2.3 Contribution to Society	195
7.3 Recommendations	196
7.4 Limitations of Study	197
7.5 Further Research	198
References	199
Appendix A: Sample Interview Transcript	248
Appendix B: Expert Validation Session Presentation Slides	

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1	Theory of Reasoned Action	29
Figure 2-2	Technology Acceptance Model	31
Figure 2-3	Theory of planned behaviour	32
Figure 2-4	Combined TAM & TBP Model	34
Figure 2-5	Decomposed theory of planned behaviour	35
Figure 2-6	UTAUT Research Model	37
Figure 2-7	The model of Reasoned Action Approach	40
Figure 2-8	Hedonic-motivation system adoption model	41
Figure 2-9	Innovation Decision Process	62
Figure 3-1	Complexification of Affordances	72
Figure 4-1	Research Onion of the Study	82
Figure 4-2	Analysis Process of an Interview Data	90
Figure 5-1	AFU at the beginning of data analysis	106
Figure 5-2	AFU after the interview with Nicole Pierre	110
Figure 5-3	Real and Material Affordances	112
Figure 5-4	Possible interactions of perceived non-affordances	114
Figure 5-5	Extended AFU sets incorporating Sydney's experience	114
Figure 5-6	Dynamics of CostX BoQ Affordances for Sydney	117
Figure 5-7	Expanding material affordances with Solidworks	121
Figure 5-8	Dynamics of 1mm Accuracy Affordances for Shane	122
Figure 5-9	Change of expected affordances with perceived affordances	126
Figure 5-10	Dynamics of plumbing modelling affordances for Shirley	127
Figure 5-11	1 Dynamics of topographical surface modelling for Terry	132
Figure 5-12	2 Formation of expected affordances	137
Figure 5-13	3 Dynamics of live rendering affordance for Shawn	138
Figure 5-14	4 Dynamics of electrical design modelling affordances for Noel	143
Figure 5-15	5 Dynamics of MEP affordances for Noel	146
Figure 5-16	5 Dynamics of two different affordances for Morgan	150

Figure 5-17 Affordance-led Framework of Understanding	163
Figure 6-1 Basic dynamics of affordances to reach pertinent state	175
Figure 6-2 Dynamics of expected but not pertinent affordances before adoption 1	175
Figure 7-1 Complexified affordances in AFU	190
Figure 7-2 Summarized Findings of the Study1	192

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1	Perceived characteristics affecting adoption of an innovation46
Table 2-2	Origin and use cases of perceived characteristics
Table 2-3	Behavioural characteristics affecting the adoption of innovations53
Table 2-4	Origin and use cases of behavioural characteristics54
Table 2-5	Other characteristics affecting the adoption of innovations57
Table 2-6	Origin and use cases of other characteristics58
Table 3-1	Seven Types Affordances in AFU73
Table 4-1	Interviewee Profile84
Table 4-2	Standard Interview Questions87
Table 4-3	Iterative Analysis of Interview Data91
Table 4-4	Code book of the study92
Table 4-5	Ethical Principles and Adherence
Table 5-1	Types of Affordances in Affordance-led Framework of Understanding. 164

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation **Description** 3D 3-Dimensional 4D 4-Dimensional 5D 5-Dimensional **ABM Agent-Based Modelling** AC Air Condition/Conditioning **AEC** Architecture, Engineering, and Construction **AECO** Architecture, Engineering, Construction and Operation **AFU** Affordance-led Framework of Understanding **AOT** Availability, Observability and Trialability **ATT Analog Terrestrial Television** BC Before Christ BIM Building Information Model / Modelling BoQ Bill of Quantities BS British Standard(s) **CAD** Computer Aided Design/Drafting/Draughting Common Data Environment **CDN CEO** Chief Executive Officer **CNC Computer Numerical Control** CoP Communities-of-Practice COVID19 Coronavirus disease 2019 DB **Distribution Board** 

**Diffusion of Innovations** 

DOI

#### **Abbreviation Description**

DTT Digital Terrestrial Television

ed. edition

Ed. (Eds.) Editor (Editors)

e.g. for example

et al. and others

HMSAM Hedonic-Motivation System Adoption Model

IAI International Alliance for Interoperability

ICT Information and Communication Technology

IDDS Integrated Design and Delivery Solutions

IFC Industry Foundation Classes

IIA Infant Industry Argument

IKBMS Integrated Knowledge-based Building Management

System

IMB Integrated Model of Behaviour

IMD Integrated Models of Diffusion

IPD Integrated Project Delivery

IT Information Technology

MEP Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing

MIS Management Information Systems

MM Motivational Model

MPCU Model of PC Utilization

MSBA Model of Systemic BIM Adoption

NBS National Building Specification

n.d. no date

#### **Abbreviation Description**

nD n-Dimensional

p. (pp.) page (pages)

PC Personal Computer

PDF Portable Document Format

QS Quantity Surveyor/Quantity Surveying

QTO Quantity Take/Taking Off

RAA Reasoned Action Approach

ROI Return on Investment

SCT Social Cognitive Theory

SI Social Influence

SMEs Small and Medium Enterprises

SNA Social Network Analysis

SNS Social Network Site

SNT Social Network Theory

SP Sustainable Procurement

T&M Transcript and Memos

TAM Technology Acceptance Model

TPB Theory of Planned Behaviour

TRA Theory of Reasoned Action

TV Television

UK United Kingdom

US United States (of America)

UTAUT Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology

#### **Abbreviation** Description

VAM Value-Based Adoption Model

viz. namely

Vol. Volume

WAP Wireless Application Protocol

WC Water Closet

# LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	Page
Appendix A	Sample Interview Transcript	248
Appendix B	Expert Validation Session Presentation Slides	253