

## REFERENCES

- [1] 'Voramontri and Klieb - 2019 - Impact of Social Media on Consumer Behaviour.pdf'. Accessed: Feb. 12, 2020. [Online]. Available: [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Leslie\\_Klieb/publication/326098250\\_Impact\\_of\\_Social\\_Media\\_on\\_Consumer\\_Behaviour/links/5b38ccb8aca2720785feb863/Impact-of-Social-Media-on-Consumer-Behaviour.pdf](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Leslie_Klieb/publication/326098250_Impact_of_Social_Media_on_Consumer_Behaviour/links/5b38ccb8aca2720785feb863/Impact-of-Social-Media-on-Consumer-Behaviour.pdf)
- [2] 'Facebook: global daily active users 2022', Statista. Accessed: Nov. 02, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/346167/facebook-global-dau/>
- [3] 'Digital 2022: Sri Lanka', DataReportal – Global Digital Insights. Accessed: Nov. 02, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://datareportal.com/reports/digital-2022-sri-lanka>
- [4] 'Community Standards'. Accessed: Feb. 02, 2020. [Online]. Available: [https://www.facebook.com/communitystandards/content\\_related\\_requests](https://www.facebook.com/communitystandards/content_related_requests)
- [5] 'Twitter's policy on hateful conduct | Twitter Help'. Accessed: Aug. 07, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://help.twitter.com/en/rules-and-policies/hateful-conduct-policy>
- [6] 'Hate speech policy - YouTube Help'. Accessed: Feb. 02, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://support.google.com/youtube/answer/2801939?hl=en>
- [7] C. Curtis, 'Facebook's global content moderation fails to account for regional sensibilities', *The Next Web*. Accessed: Feb. 02, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://thenextweb.com/socialmedia/2019/02/26/facebooks-global-content-moderation-fails-to-account-for-regional-sensibilities/>
- [8] S. MacAvaney, H.-R. Yao, E. Yang, K. Russell, N. Goharian, and O. Frieder, 'Hate speech detection: Challenges and solutions', *PloS One*, vol. 14, no. 8, p. e0221152, 2019.
- [9] I. Gagliardone, D. Gal, T. Alves, G. Martínez, and Unesco, *Countering online hate speech*. 2015.
- [10] A. Guterres, 'What is hate speech?', UNITED NATIONS STRATEGY AND PLAN OF ACTION ON HATE SPEECH, May 2019. Accessed: Feb. 04, 2020. [Online]. Available: [https://www.un.org/en/genocideprevention/documents/advising-and-mobilizing/Action\\_plan\\_on\\_hate\\_speech\\_EN.pdf](https://www.un.org/en/genocideprevention/documents/advising-and-mobilizing/Action_plan_on_hate_speech_EN.pdf)
- [11] T. Finin, W. Murnane, A. Karandikar, N. Keller, J. Martineau, and M. Dredze, 'Annotating named entities in Twitter data with crowdsourcing', p. 9.
- [12] G. S. N. Meedin, H. M. M. Caldera, and I. Perera, 'A User Experience Measuring Technique to Moderate Social Media Content Through Crowdsourcing', in *2020 From Innovation to Impact (FITI)*, Colombo, Sri Lanka: IEEE, Dec. 2020, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/FITI52050.2020.9424911.
- [13] D. Geiger, S. Seedorf, T. Schulze, R. C. Nickerson, and M. Schader, 'Managing the Crowd: Towards a Taxonomy of Crowdsourcing Processes', p. 12, 2011.

- [14] K. Hansson and T. Ludwig, ‘Crowd Dynamics: Conflicts, Contradictions, and Community in Crowdsourcing’, *Comput. Support. Coop. Work CSCW*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 791–794, Sep. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10606-018-9343-z.
- [15] ‘Amazon Mechanical Turk’. Accessed: Nov. 12, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mturk.com/>
- [16] S. T. Roberts, ‘Commercial Content Moderation: Digital Laborers’ Dirty Work’, *Dirty Work*, p. 12.
- [17] Y. Gerrard and H. Thornham, ‘Content moderation: Social media’s sexist assemblages’, *New Media Soc.*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 1266–1286, Jul. 2020, doi: 10.1177/1461444820912540.
- [18] ‘Community Standards’. Accessed: Oct. 16, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.facebook.com/communitystandards/>
- [19] ‘Hate speech policy - YouTube Help’. Accessed: Aug. 07, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://support.google.com/youtube/answer/2801939?hl=en>
- [20] S. T. Roberts, ‘Content Moderation’, in *Encyclopedia of Big Data*, L. A. Schintler and C. L. McNeely, Eds., Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2017, pp. 1–4. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-32001-4\_44-1.
- [21] S. T. Roberts, *Content moderation*. 2017. Accessed: Oct. 16, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7371c1hf>
- [22] D. Faggella, ‘Crowdsourced Content Moderation – How it Works and What’s Possible’, *Emerj*. Accessed: Feb. 04, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://emerj.com/partner-content/crowdsourced-content-moderation-how-it-works-and-whats-possible/>
- [23] P. Burnap and M. L. Williams, ‘Cyber Hate Speech on Twitter: An Application of Machine Classification and Statistical Modeling for Policy and Decision Making: Machine Classification of Cyber Hate Speech’, *Policy Internet*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 223–242, Jun. 2015, doi: 10.1002/poi3.85.
- [24] T. Davidson, D. Warmesley, M. Macy, and I. Weber, ‘Automated Hate Speech Detection and the Problem of Offensive Language’, *ArXiv170304009 Cs*, Mar. 2017, Accessed: May 31, 2021. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1703.04009>
- [25] Y. Ibrahim, ‘Facebook and the Napalm Girl: Reframing the Iconic as Pornographic’, *Soc. Media Soc.*, vol. 3, p. 205630511774314, Oct. 2017, doi: 10.1177/2056305117743140.
- [26] G. Koushik, K. Rajeswari, and S. K. Muthusamy, ‘Automated Hate Speech Detection on Twitter’, in *2019 5th International Conference On Computing, Communication, Control And Automation (ICCUBEA)*, Pune, India: IEEE, Sep. 2019, pp. 1–4. doi: 10.1109/ICCUBEA47591.2019.9128428.
- [27] A. Veglis, ‘Moderation Techniques for Social Media Content’, in *Social Computing and Social Media*, vol. 8531, G. Meiselwitz, Ed., in Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 8531. , Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2014, pp. 137–148. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-07632-4\_13.

- [28] L. Silva, M. Mondal, D. Correa, F. Benevenuto, and I. Weber, ‘Analyzing the Targets of Hate in Online Social Media’, *ArXiv160307709 Cs*, Mar. 2016, Accessed: Feb. 04, 2020. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1603.07709>
- [29] K. Relia, M. Akbari, D. Duncan, and R. Chunara, ‘Socio-spatial Self-organizing Maps: Using Social Media to Assess Relevant Geographies for Exposure to Social Processes’, *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.*, vol. 2, no. CSCW, pp. 1–23, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1145/3274414.
- [30] J. C. Pereira-Kohatsu, L. Quijano-Sánchez, F. Liberatore, and M. Camacho-Collados, ‘Detecting and Monitoring Hate Speech in Twitter’, *Sensors*, vol. 19, no. 21, p. 4654, Oct. 2019, doi: 10.3390/s19214654.
- [31] P. Burnap and M. L. Williams, ‘Cyber Hate Speech on Twitter: An Application of Machine Classification and Statistical Modeling for Policy and Decision Making: Machine Classification of Cyber Hate Speech’, *Policy Internet*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 223–242, Jun. 2015, doi: 10.1002/poi3.85.
- [32] S. Agrawal and A. Awekar, ‘Deep Learning for Detecting Cyberbullying Across Multiple Social Media Platforms’, in *Advances in Information Retrieval*, vol. 10772, G. Pasi, B. Piwowarski, L. Azzopardi, and A. Hanbury, Eds., in Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 10772. , Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2018, pp. 141–153. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-76941-7\_11.
- [33] A. Olteanu, C. Castillo, F. Diaz, and E. Kıcıman, ‘Social Data: Biases, Methodological Pitfalls, and Ethical Boundaries’, *Front. Big Data*, vol. 2, 2019, Accessed: May 31, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fdata.2019.00013>
- [34] G. Li, J. Wang, Y. Zheng, and M. J. Franklin, ‘Crowdsourced Data Management: A Survey’, p. 23.
- [35] R. Gupta, ‘Using Social Media for Global Security’, p. 458.
- [36] Z. Waseem and D. Hovy, ‘Hateful Symbols or Hateful People? Predictive Features for Hate Speech Detection on Twitter’, in *Proceedings of the NAACL Student Research Workshop*, San Diego, California: Association for Computational Linguistics, 2016, pp. 88–93. doi: 10.18653/v1/N16-2013.
- [37] O. de Gibert, N. Perez, A. García-Pablos, and M. Cuadros, ‘Hate Speech Dataset from a White Supremacy Forum’, in *Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Abusive Language Online (ALW2)*, Brussels, Belgium: Association for Computational Linguistics, Oct. 2018, pp. 11–20. doi: 10.18653/v1/W18-5102.
- [38] J. Salminen, M. Hopf, S. A. Chowdhury, S. Jung, H. Almerexhi, and B. J. Jansen, ‘Developing an online hate classifier for multiple social media platforms’, *Hum.-Centric Comput. Inf. Sci.*, vol. 10, no. 1, p. 1, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1186/s13673-019-0205-6.
- [39] J. Howe, *Crowdsourcing: Why the Power of the Crowd Is Driving the Future of Business*, 0 edition. New York: Currency, 2009.
- [40] M. Dontcheva, ‘Crowdsourcing and Creativity’, p. 4.
- [41] S. T. Roberts, ‘Content Moderation’, in *Encyclopedia of Big Data*, L. A. Schintler and C. L. McNeely, Eds., Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2017, pp. 1–4. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-32001-4\_44-1.

- [42] B. E. Tidball and P. J. Stappers, ‘Crowdsourcing Contextual User Insights for UCD’, p. 4.
- [43] J. Rogstadius, V. Kostakos, A. Kittur, B. Smus, J. Laredo, and M. Vukovic, ‘An Assessment of Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivation on Task Performance in Crowdsourcing Markets’, p. 8.
- [44] K. Relia, M. Akbari, D. Duncan, and R. Chunara, ‘Socio-spatial Self-organizing Maps: Using Social Media to Assess Relevant Geographies for Exposure to Social Processes’, *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.*, vol. 2, no. CSCW, pp. 1–23, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1145/3274414.
- [45] M. Dontcheva, ‘Crowdsourcing and Creativity’, p. 4.
- [46] P. Gundecha and H. Liu, ‘Mining Social Media: A Brief Introduction’, in *2012 TutORials in Operations Research*, INFORMS, 2012, pp. 1–17. doi: 10.1287/educ.1120.0105.
- [47] N. Eagle, ‘txteagle: Mobile Crowdsourcing’, in *Internationalization, Design and Global Development*, vol. 5623, N. Aykin, Ed., in *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 5623. , Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009, pp. 447–456. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-02767-3\_50.
- [48] N. Kaufmann, T. Schulze, and D. Veit, ‘More than fun and money. Worker Motivation in Crowdsourcing – A Study on Mechanical Turk’, 2011.
- [49] G. Barbier, R. Zafarani, H. Gao, G. Fung, and H. Liu, ‘Maximizing benefits from crowdsourced data’, *Comput. Math. Organ. Theory*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 257–279, Sep. 2012, doi: 10.1007/s10588-012-9121-2.
- [50] G. Li, J. Wang, Y. Zheng, and M. J. Franklin, ‘Crowdsourced Data Management: A Survey’, p. 23.
- [51] K. Hansson and T. Ludwig, ‘Crowd Dynamics: Conflicts, Contradictions, and Community in Crowdsourcing’, *Comput. Support. Coop. Work CSCW*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 791–794, Sep. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s10606-018-9343-z.
- [52] R. Gupta, ‘Using Social Media for Global Security’, p. 458.
- [53] ‘All UX evaluation methods « All About UX’. Accessed: Feb. 12, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.allaboutux.org/all-methods>
- [54] B. Das, A. M. Seelye, B. L. Thomas, D. J. Cook, L. B. Holder, and M. Schmitter-Edgecombe, ‘Using smart phones for context-aware prompting in smart environments’, in *2012 IEEE Consumer Communications and Networking Conference (CCNC)*, Las Vegas, NV, USA: IEEE, Jan. 2012, pp. 399–403. doi: 10.1109/CCNC.2012.6181023.
- [55] G. D. Abowd, A. K. Dey, P. J. Brown, N. Davies, M. Smith, and P. Steggles, ‘Towards a Better Understanding of Context and Context-Awareness’, in *Handheld and Ubiquitous Computing*, vol. 1707, H.-W. Gellersen, Ed., in *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 1707. , Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999, pp. 304–307. doi: 10.1007/3-540-48157-5\_29.
- [56] D. Faggella, ‘Crowdsourced Content Moderation – How it Works and What’s Possible’, *Emerj*. Accessed: Feb. 02, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://emerj.com/partner-content/crowdsourced-content-moderation-how-it-works-and-whats-possible/>

- [57] N. Mehrabi, F. Morstatter, N. Saxena, K. Lerman, and A. Galstyan, ‘A Survey on Bias and Fairness in Machine Learning’, *ACM Comput. Surv.*, vol. 54, no. 6, pp. 1–35, Jul. 2021, doi: 10.1145/3457607.
- [58] K. Abhinav, ‘Trustworthiness in Crowdsourcing’, p. 61.
- [59] ‘About Scopus - Abstract and citation database | Elsevier’. Accessed: May 09, 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.elsevier.com/solutions/scopus>
- [60] M. J. Page *et al.*, ‘The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews’, *BMJ*, p. n71, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71.
- [61] A. Abusafia and A. Bouguettaya, ‘Reliability Model for Incentive-Driven IoT Energy Services’. arXiv, Jan. 19, 2021. Accessed: Jun. 11, 2022. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2011.06159>
- [62] I. Martin-Morato and A. Mesaros, ‘What is the ground truth? Reliability of multi-annotator data for audio tagging’. arXiv, Apr. 09, 2021. Accessed: Jun. 11, 2022. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2104.04214>
- [63] C. Castelfranchi and R. Falcone, ‘Trust Is Much More than Subjective Probability: Mental Components and Sources of Trust’, *Rd Hawaii Int. Conf. Syst. Sci.*, p. 10, 2000.
- [64] H. D. Schlinger, ‘Theory of Mind: An Overview and Behavioral Perspective’, *Psychol. Rec.*, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 435–448, Jul. 2009, doi: 10.1007/BF03395673.
- [65] M. Allahbakhsh, A. Ignjatovic, B. Benatallah, S.-M.-R. Beheshti, E. Bertino, and N. Foo, ‘Reputation management in crowdsourcing systems’, in *8th International Conference on Collaborative Computing: Networking, Applications and Worksharing (CollaborateCom)*, Oct. 2012, pp. 664–671. doi: 10.4108/icst.collaboratecom.2012.250499.
- [66] N. Quoc Viet Hung, N. T. Tam, L. N. Tran, and K. Aberer, ‘An Evaluation of Aggregation Techniques in Crowdsourcing’, in *Web Information Systems Engineering – WISE 2013*, vol. 8181, X. Lin, Y. Manolopoulos, D. Srivastava, and G. Huang, Eds., in *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 8181, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013, pp. 1–15. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-41154-0\_1.
- [67] P. G. Ipeirotis, F. Provost, and J. Wang, ‘Quality management on Amazon Mechanical Turk’, in *Proceedings of the ACM SIGKDD Workshop on Human Computation*, Washington DC: ACM, Jul. 2010, pp. 64–67. doi: 10.1145/1837885.1837906.
- [68] J. Whitehill, T. Wu, J. Bergsma, J. R. Movellan, and P. L. Ruvolo, ‘Whose Vote Should Count More: Optimal Integration of Labels from Labelers of Unknown Expertise’.
- [69] V. C. Raykar *et al.*, ‘Supervised Learning from Multiple Experts: Whom to trust when everyone lies a bit’.
- [70] ‘Bi et al. - Iterative Learning for Reliable Crowdsourcing Syst.pdf’.
- [71] L. I. Kuncheva, C. J. Whitaker, C. A. Shipp, and R. P. W. Duin, ‘Limits on the majority vote accuracy in classifier fusion’, *Pattern Anal. Appl.*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 22–31, Apr. 2003, doi: 10.1007/s10044-002-0173-7.

- [72] K. Lee, J. Caverlee, and S. Webb, ‘The social honeypot project: protecting online communities from spammers’, in *Proceedings of the 19th international conference on World wide web*, Raleigh North Carolina USA: ACM, Apr. 2010, pp. 1139–1140. doi: 10.1145/1772690.1772843.
- [73] J. Ross, L. Irani, M. S. Silberman, A. Zaldivar, and B. Tomlinson, ‘Who are the crowdworkers?: shifting demographics in mechanical turk’, in *CHI '10 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, Atlanta Georgia USA: ACM, Apr. 2010, pp. 2863–2872. doi: 10.1145/1753846.1753873.
- [74] G. Kazai, J. Kamps, and N. Milic-Frayling, ‘Worker types and personality traits in crowdsourcing relevance labels’, in *Proceedings of the 20th ACM international conference on Information and knowledge management - CIKM '11*, Glasgow, Scotland, UK: ACM Press, 2011, p. 1941. doi: 10.1145/2063576.2063860.
- [75] B. Carterette and I. Soboroff, ‘The effect of assessor error on IR system evaluation’, in *Proceeding of the 33rd international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in information retrieval - SIGIR '10*, Geneva, Switzerland: ACM Press, 2010, p. 539. doi: 10.1145/1835449.1835540.
- [76] J. Vuurens, A. P. de Vries, and C. Eickhoff, ‘How Much Spam Can You Take? An Analysis of Crowdsourcing Results to Increase Accuracy’, p. 8.
- [77] U. Gadiraju, R. Kawase, S. Dietze, and G. Demartini, ‘Understanding Malicious Behavior in Crowdsourcing Platforms: The Case of Online Surveys’, in *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, Seoul Republic of Korea: ACM, Apr. 2015, pp. 1631–1640. doi: 10.1145/2702123.2702443.
- [78] D. R. Smith and W. E. Snell, ‘Goldberg’s bipolar measure of the Big-Five personality dimensions: reliability and validity’, *Eur. J. Personal.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 283–299, Nov. 1996, doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1099-0984(199611)10:4<283::AID-PER264>3.0.CO;2-W.
- [79] A. Cocos, T. Qian, C. Callison-Burch, and A. J. Masino, ‘Crowd control: Effectively utilizing unscreened crowd workers for biomedical data annotation’, *J. Biomed. Inform.*, vol. 69, pp. 86–92, May 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.jbi.2017.04.003.
- [80] Y. Nehme, P. L. Callet, F. Dupont, J.-P. Farrugia, and G. Lavoue, ‘Exploring Crowdsourcing for Subjective Quality Assessment of 3D Graphics’, in *2021 IEEE 23rd International Workshop on Multimedia Signal Processing (MMSP)*, Tampere, Finland: IEEE, Oct. 2021, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/MMSP53017.2021.9733634.
- [81] J. Wang, T. Kraska, M. J. Franklin, and J. Feng, ‘CrowdER: crowdsourcing entity resolution’, *Proc. VLDB Endow.*, vol. 5, no. 11, pp. 1483–1494, Jul. 2012, doi: 10.14778/2350229.2350263.
- [82] J. Fan, M. Lu, B. C. Ooi, W.-C. Tan, and M. Zhang, ‘A hybrid machine-crowdsourcing system for matching web tables’, in *2014 IEEE 30th International Conference on Data Engineering*, Chicago, IL, USA: IEEE, Mar. 2014, pp. 976–987. doi: 10.1109/ICDE.2014.6816716.
- [83] ‘Aggregation Methods’, Aggregation Methods. Accessed: May 30, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://toloka.ai/knowledgebase/aggregation/>

- [84] G. Hermosillovaladez, C. Florin, L. Bogoni, L. Moy, and N. Org, ‘VIKAS.RAYKAR@SIEMENS.COM SHIPENG.YU@SIEMENS.COM’.
- [85] T. Tian, J. Zhu, and Y. Qiaoben, ‘Max-Margin Majority Voting for Learning from Crowds’, *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 41, no. 10, pp. 2480–2494, Oct. 2019, doi: 10.1109/TPAMI.2018.2860987.
- [86] K. Sakaguchi, R. L. Bras, C. Bhagavatula, and Y. Choi, ‘WinoGrande: an adversarial winograd schema challenge at scale’, *Commun. ACM*, vol. 64, no. 9, pp. 99–106, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.1145/3474381.
- [87] F. K. Khattak and A. Salleb-Aouissi, ‘Quality Control of Crowd Labeling through Expert Evaluation’.
- [88] E. Estellés-Arolas and F. González-Ladrón-de-Guevara, ‘Towards an integrated crowdsourcing definition’, *J. Inf. Sci.*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 189–200, Apr. 2012, doi: 10.1177/0165551512437638.
- [89] J. Zhang, V. S. Sheng, Q. Li, J. Wu, and X. Wu, ‘Consensus algorithms for biased labeling in crowdsourcing’, *Inf. Sci.*, vol. 382–383, pp. 254–273, Mar. 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.ins.2016.12.026.
- [90] G. Demartini, D. E. Difallah, and P. Cudré-Mauroux, ‘ZenCrowd: leveraging probabilistic reasoning and crowdsourcing techniques for large-scale entity linking’, in *Proceedings of the 21st international conference on World Wide Web*, Lyon France: ACM, Apr. 2012, pp. 469–478. doi: 10.1145/2187836.2187900.
- [91] A. P. Dawid and A. M. Skene, ‘Maximum Likelihood Estimation of Observer Error-Rates Using the EM Algorithm’, *Appl. Stat.*, vol. 28, no. 1, p. 20, 1979, doi: 10.2307/2346806.
- [92] P. Smyth, U. M. Fayyad, M. C. Burl, P. Perona, and P. Baldi, ‘Inferring Ground Truth from Subjective Labelling of Venus Images’, p. 8.
- [93] P. Welinder, S. Branson, P. Perona, and S. J. Belongie, ‘The Multidimensional Wisdom of Crowds’, p. 9.
- [94] A. Ghosh, S. Kale, and P. McAfee, ‘Who moderates the moderators?: crowdsourcing abuse detection in user-generated content’, in *Proceedings of the 12th ACM conference on Electronic commerce*, San Jose California USA: ACM, Jun. 2011, pp. 167–176. doi: 10.1145/1993574.1993599.
- [95] N. Dalvi, A. Dasgupta, R. Kumar, and V. Rastogi, ‘Aggregating crowdsourced binary ratings’, in *Proceedings of the 22nd international conference on World Wide Web*, Rio de Janeiro Brazil: ACM, May 2013, pp. 285–294. doi: 10.1145/2488388.2488414.
- [96] I. Arora, J. Guo, S. I. Levitan, S. McGregor, and J. Hirschberg, ‘A Novel Methodology for Developing Automatic Harassment Classifiers for Twitter’, in *Proceedings of the Fourth Workshop on Online Abuse and Harms*, Online: Association for Computational Linguistics, 2020, pp. 7–15. doi: 10.18653/v1/2020.alw-1.2.
- [97] D. R. Karger, S. Oh, and D. Shah, ‘Iterative Learning for Reliable Crowdsourcing Systems’.

- [98] Y. Du, Y.-E. Sun, H. Huang, L. Huang, H. Xu, and X. Wu, ‘Quality-aware online task assignment mechanisms using latent topic model’, *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 803, pp. 130–143, Jan. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.tcs.2019.07.033.
- [99] D. Tao, J. Cheng, Z. Yu, K. Yue, and L. Wang, ‘Domain-Weighted Majority Voting for Crowdsourcing’, *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst.*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 163–174, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1109/TNNLS.2018.2836969.
- [100] J. Zhang and X. Wu, ‘Multi-Label Truth Inference for Crowdsourcing Using Mixture Models’, *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.*, pp. 1–1, 2019, doi: 10.1109/TKDE.2019.2951668.
- [101] X. S. Lu, M. Zhou, H. Liu, and L. Qi, ‘A Comparative Study on Two Ground Truth Inference Algorithms based on Manually Labeled Social Media Data’, in *2019 IEEE 16th International Conference on Networking, Sensing and Control (ICNSC)*, Banff, AB, Canada: IEEE, May 2019, pp. 436–441. doi: 10.1109/ICNSC.2019.8743287.
- [102] G. Dawson and R. Polikar, ‘OpinionRank: Extracting Ground Truth Labels from Unreliable Expert Opinions with Graph-Based Spectral Ranking’, arXiv, arXiv:2102.05884, May 2021. Accessed: May 30, 2022. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2102.05884>
- [103] J. Zhang, V. S. Sheng, J. Wu, and X. Wu, ‘Multi-Class Ground Truth Inference in Crowdsourcing with Clustering’, *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 1080–1085, Apr. 2016, doi: 10.1109/TKDE.2015.2504974.
- [104] J. Carletta, ‘Squibs and Discussions: Assessing Agreement on Classification Tasks: The Kappa Statistic’, *Comput. Linguist.*, vol. 22, no. 2, p. 6.
- [105] B. Carpenter, ‘Multilevel Bayesian Models of Categorical Data Annotation’, p. 52.
- [106] A. Sheshadri and M. Lease, ‘SQUARE: A Benchmark for Research on Computing Crowd Consensus’, p. 9.
- [107] A. Uma, D. Almanea, and M. Poesio, ‘Scaling and Disagreements: Bias, Noise, and Ambiguity’, *Front. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 5, p. 818451, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.3389/frai.2022.818451.
- [108] J. Nassar, V. Pavon-Harr, M. Bosch, and I. McCulloh, ‘Assessing Data Quality of Annotations with Krippendorff Alpha For Applications in Computer Vision’. arXiv, Dec. 20, 2019. Accessed: Aug. 03, 2022. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1912.10107>
- [109] T. Spinde, D. Krieger, M. Plank, and B. Gipp, ‘Towards A Reliable Ground-Truth For Biased Language Detection’, arXiv, arXiv:2112.07421, Dec. 2021. Accessed: May 30, 2022. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2112.07421>
- [110] M. Schaekermann, J. Goh, K. Larson, and E. Law, ‘Resolvable vs. Irresolvable Disagreement: A Study on Worker Deliberation in Crowd Work’, *Proc. ACM Hum.-Comput. Interact.*, vol. 2, no. CSCW, pp. 1–19, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.1145/3274423.
- [111] R. Drapeau, L. B. Chilton, J. Bragg, and D. S. Weld, ‘MicroTalk: Using Argumentation to Improve Crowdsourcing Accuracy’, p. 10.



- [112] D. Gurari and K. Grauman, ‘CrowdVerge: Predicting If People Will Agree on the Answer to a Visual Question’, in *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, Denver Colorado USA: ACM, May 2017, pp. 3511–3522. doi: 10.1145/3025453.3025781.
- [113] J. L. Mumpower and T. R. Stewart, ‘Expert Judgement and Expert Disagreement’, *Think. Reason.*, vol. 2, no. 2–3, pp. 191–212, Jul. 1996, doi: 10.1080/135467896394500.
- [114] J. C. Chang, S. Amershi, and E. Kamar, ‘Revolt: Collaborative Crowdsourcing for Labeling Machine Learning Datasets’, in *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, Denver Colorado USA: ACM, May 2017, pp. 2334–2346. doi: 10.1145/3025453.3026044.
- [115] Z. Yan, G. Li, and J. Liu, ‘Private rank aggregation under local differential privacy’, *Int. J. Intell. Syst.*, vol. 35, no. 10, pp. 1492–1519, Oct. 2020, doi: 10.1002/int.22261.
- [116] J. Lu, W. Li, Q. Wang, and Y. Zhang, ‘Research on Data Quality Control of Crowdsourcing Annotation: A Survey’, p. 8.
- [117] Y. Li, H. Sun, and W. H. Wang, ‘Towards Fair Truth Discovery from Biased Crowdsourced Answers’, in *Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, Virtual Event CA USA: ACM, Aug. 2020, pp. 599–607. doi: 10.1145/3394486.3403102.
- [118] D. Kahneman, ‘A perspective on judgment and choice: Mapping bounded rationality.’, *Am. Psychol.*, vol. 58, no. 9, pp. 697–720, 2003, doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.58.9.697.
- [119] I. Cho, R. Wesslen, A. Karduni, S. Santhanam, S. Shaikh, and W. Dou, ‘The Anchoring Effect in Decision-Making with Visual Analytics’, in *2017 IEEE Conference on Visual Analytics Science and Technology (VAST)*, Phoenix, AZ: IEEE, Oct. 2017, pp. 116–126. doi: 10.1109/VAST.2017.8585665.
- [120] T. Draws, A. Rieger, O. Inel, U. Gadiraju, and N. Tintarev, ‘A Checklist to Combat Cognitive Biases in Crowdsourcing’, p. 12.
- [121] C. Hube, B. Fetahu, and U. Gadiraju, ‘Understanding and Mitigating Worker Biases in the Crowdsourced Collection of Subjective Judgments’, in *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, Glasgow Scotland UK: ACM, May 2019, pp. 1–12. doi: 10.1145/3290605.3300637.
- [122] A. Balayn, C. Lofi, and G.-J. Houben, ‘Managing bias and unfairness in data for decision support: a survey of machine learning and data engineering approaches to identify and mitigate bias and unfairness within data management and analytics systems’, *VLDB J.*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 739–768, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s00778-021-00671-8.
- [123] X. Duan, C.-J. Ho, and M. Yin, ‘The Influences of Task Design on Crowdsourced Judgement: A Case Study of Recidivism Risk Evaluation’, in *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2022*, Virtual Event, Lyon France: ACM, Apr. 2022, pp. 1685–1696. doi: 10.1145/3485447.3512239.
- [124] A. Vercammen, A. Marcoci, and M. Burgman, ‘Pre-screening workers to overcome bias amplification in online labour markets’, *PLOS ONE*, vol. 16, no. 3, p. e0249051, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0249051.

- [125] E. I. Hoppe and D. J. Kusterer, ‘Behavioral biases and cognitive reflection’, *Econ. Lett.*, vol. 110, no. 2, pp. 97–100, Feb. 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.econlet.2010.11.015.
- [126] W. B. Bilker, J. A. Hansen, C. M. Brensinger, J. Richard, R. E. Gur, and R. C. Gur, ‘Development of Abbreviated Nine-Item Forms of the Raven’s Standard Progressive Matrices Test’, *Assessment*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 354–369, Sep. 2012, doi: 10.1177/1073191112446655.
- [127] M. E. Toplak, R. F. West, and K. E. Stanovich, ‘The Cognitive Reflection Test as a predictor of performance on heuristics-and-biases tasks’, *Mem. Cognit.*, vol. 39, no. 7, pp. 1275–1289, Oct. 2011, doi: 10.3758/s13421-011-0104-1.
- [128] H. Markovits and G. Nantel, ‘The belief-bias effect in the production and evaluation of logical conclusions’, *Mem. Cognit.*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 11–17, Jan. 1989, doi: 10.3758/BF03199552.
- [129] S. S. Tekiroğlu, Y.-L. Chung, and M. Guerini, ‘Generating Counter Narratives against Online Hate Speech: Data and Strategies’, in *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, Online: Association for Computational Linguistics, 2020, pp. 1177–1190. doi: 10.18653/v1/2020.acl-main.110.
- [130] R. Mukherjee, H. C. Peruri, U. Vishnu, P. Goyal, S. Bhattacharya, and N. Ganguly, ‘Read what you need: Controllable Aspect-based Opinion Summarization of Tourist Reviews’, in *Proceedings of the 43rd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, Virtual Event China: ACM, Jul. 2020, pp. 1825–1828. doi: 10.1145/3397271.3401269.
- [131] V. Keswani, M. Lease, and K. Kenthapadi, ‘Towards Unbiased and Accurate Deferral to Multiple Experts’, p. 12, 2021.
- [132] H. Zhuang and J. Young, ‘Leveraging In-Batch Annotation Bias for Crowdsourced Active Learning’, in *Proceedings of the Eighth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, Shanghai China: ACM, Feb. 2015, pp. 243–252. doi: 10.1145/2684822.2685301.
- [133] P. Pettit, ‘The Cunning of Trust’, *Philos. Htmlemt Glyphamp Asciiamp Public Aff.*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 202–225, Jul. 1995, doi: 10.1111/j.1088-4963.1995.tb00029.x.
- [134] C. Huang, H. Yu, J. Huang, and R. A. Berry, ‘Strategic Information Revelation in Crowdsourcing Systems Without Verification’, arXiv, arXiv:2104.03487, Apr. 2021. Accessed: May 30, 2022. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2104.03487>
- [135] M. Venanzi, A. Rogers, and N. R. Jennings, ‘Trust-Based Fusion of Untrustworthy Information in Crowdsourcing Applications’, p. 8.
- [136] F. Leal, B. Malheiro, H. González-Vélez, and J. C. Burguillo, ‘Trust-based Modelling of Multi-criteria Crowdsourced Data’, *Data Sci. Eng.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 199–209, Sep. 2017, doi: 10.1007/s41019-017-0045-1.
- [137] J. Dong, K. Yang, and Y. Shi, ‘Ranking from Crowdsourced Pairwise Comparisons via Smoothed Riemannian Optimization’, *ACM Trans. Knowl. Discov. Data*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 1–26, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.1145/3372407.

- [138] R. Heckel, M. Simchowicz, K. Ramchandran, and M. J. Wainwright, ‘Approximate Ranking from Pairwise Comparisons’. arXiv, Jan. 04, 2018. Accessed: Jun. 27, 2022. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1801.01253>
- [139] J. C. S. J. Junior, A. Lapedriza, C. Palmero, X. Baro, and S. Escalera, ‘Person Perception Biases Exposed: Revisiting the First Impressions Dataset’, in *2021 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision Workshops (WACVW)*, Waikola, HI, USA: IEEE, Jan. 2021, pp. 13–21. doi: 10.1109/WACVW52041.2021.00006.
- [140] N. B. Shah, S. Balakrishnan, A. Guntuboyina, and M. J. Wainwright, ‘Stochastically Transitive Models for Pairwise Comparisons: Statistical and Computational Issues’. arXiv, Sep. 27, 2016. Accessed: Jun. 27, 2022. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.05610>
- [141] Xiaoxin Yin, Jiawei Han, and P. S. Yu, ‘Truth Discovery with Multiple Conflicting Information Providers on the Web’, *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 796–808, Jun. 2008, doi: 10.1109/TKDE.2007.190745.
- [142] S. Lyu, W. Ouyang, Y. Wang, H. Shen, and X. Cheng, ‘Truth Discovery by Claim and Source Embedding’, *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 1264–1275, Mar. 2021, doi: 10.1109/TKDE.2019.2936189.
- [143] N. Sabetpour, A. Kulkarni, S. Xie, and Q. Li, ‘Truth Discovery in Sequence Labels from Crowds’, in *2021 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM)*, Auckland, New Zealand: IEEE, Dec. 2021, pp. 539–548. doi: 10.1109/ICDM51629.2021.00065.
- [144] B. Zhao, B. I. P. Rubinstein, J. Gemmell, and J. Han, ‘A Bayesian approach to discovering truth from conflicting sources for data integration’, *Proc. VLDB Endow.*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 550–561, Feb. 2012, doi: 10.14778/2168651.2168656.
- [145] X. Fang *et al.*, ‘Selecting Workers Wisely for Crowdsourcing When Copiers and Domain Experts Co-exist’, *Future Internet*, vol. 14, no. 2, p. 37, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.3390/fi14020037.
- [146] D. Wang, J. Ren, Z. Wang, X. Pang, Y. Zhang, and X. S. Shen, ‘Privacy-preserving Streaming Truth Discovery in Crowdsourcing with Differential Privacy’, *IEEE Trans. Mob. Comput.*, pp. 1–1, 2021, doi: 10.1109/TMC.2021.3062775.
- [147] A. Alamsyah, N. Dudija, and S. Widiyanesti, ‘New Approach of Measuring Human Personality Traits Using Ontology-Based Model from Social Media Data’, *Information*, vol. 12, no. 10, p. 413, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.3390/info12100413.
- [148] ‘Crowdsource by Google’. Accessed: Nov. 21, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://crowdsource.google.com/>
- [149] N. Meedin, M. Caldera, S. Perera, and I. Perera, ‘A Novel Annotation Scheme to Generate Hate Speech Corpus through Crowdsourcing and Active Learning’, *Int. J. Adv. Comput. Sci. Appl.*, vol. 13, no. 11, 2022, doi: 10.14569/IJACSA.2022.0131146.
- [150] S. Perera, N. Meedin, M. Caldera, I. Perera, and S. Ahangama, ‘A comparative study of the characteristics of hate speech propagators and their behaviours over

Twitter social media platform’, *Heliyon*, vol. 9, no. 8, p. e19097, Aug. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e19097.

- [151] H. M. M. Caldera, G. S. N. Meedin, and I. Perera, ‘A Peer Recommendation Model to Avoid Hate Speech Engagements in Multiplex Social Networks’, in *2020 From Innovation to Impact (FITI)*, Colombo, Sri Lanka: IEEE, Dec. 2020, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/FITI52050.2020.9424905.
- [152] G. S. N. Meedin, H. M. M. Caldera, and I. Perera, ‘A User Experience Measuring Technique to Moderate Social Media Contents through Crowdsourcing’.
- [153] H. M. M. Caldera, G. S. N. Meedin, and I. Perera, ‘Time Series Based Trend Analysis for Hate Speech in Twitter During COVID 19 Pandemic’, in *2020 20th International Conference on Advances in ICT for Emerging Regions (ICTer)*, Colombo, Sri Lanka: IEEE, Nov. 2020, pp. 1–2. doi: 10.1109/ICTer51097.2020.9325491.
- [154] H. M. M. Caldera, S. Perera, G. S. N. Meedin, and I. Perera, ‘Classification of Trending Videos in YouTube’, in *2021 From Innovation To Impact (FITI)*, Colombo, Sri Lanka: IEEE, Dec. 2021, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1109/FITI54902.2021.9833039.
- [155] H. M. M. Caldera, N. Meedin, S. Perera, and I. Perera, ‘Long-Term Trend Analysis for Social Media Content Published During COVID-19 Pandemic’, in *2022 2nd International Conference on Advanced Research in Computing (ICARC)*, Belihuloya, Sri Lanka: IEEE, Feb. 2022, pp. 108–113. doi: 10.1109/ICARC54489.2022.9753776.