

E-MAIL OVER LOW BANDWIDTH NETWORKS



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
WASALA W.A.L.I
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

**This dissertation was submitted to the department of Computer
Science and Engineering of University of Moratuwa in partial
fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in
Computer Science.**

**Department of Computer Science and Engineering
University of Moratuwa
December 2008**

The work presented in this dissertation in part or whole has not
been submitted for the fulfillment of any other academic
qualification at any institution.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

.....

W.A.L.I Wasala
Candidate

.....

Prof. Gihan Dias
Supervisor

Abstract

Many Sri Lankans still use low bandwidth connections (e.g. dial-up, GPRS) to internet due to the high cost and limited geographical coverage of broadband internet (e.g. ADSL, 3G) services. Email access over this type of network is painful due to the large amount of time taken to download messages, especially when emails with large attachments and images are received. Bandwidth is also wasted for downloading spam and less important emails.

The objective of this research is to minimize the delays of reading email over a low bandwidth link. It also aims to reduce the load on the low bandwidth connection, thereby improving the performance for other users and applications.

This thesis presents a proxy based solution for accessing email using the IMAP protocol, thereby minimizing changes to the email server and client. Two IMAP proxy servers are installed between the mail server and the client, upstream and downstream of the low-bandwidth link.



University of Moratuwa
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

A user defines priorities for email, and specifies whether all, part or none of each incoming message should be pre-fetched to the local email client.

The upstream IMAP Proxy processes the IMAP responses according to the set priorities, compresses them using zlib library and sends them to the downstream IMAP proxy which is in the client computer. The downstream IMAP proxy in turn decompresses the emails and sends them to the email client. Finally, the mailbox of the email client is populated according to the priorities set by the user. In this process instead of downloading all full emails to the client, a mix comprising of full emails, the 1st KB of emails and headers only are downloaded saving bandwidth.

Bandwidth is further saved by compressing image files.

A GUI application is provided for the user to configure the filter rules.

The performance of the solution was measured and shows that although the system imposes an average delay of 0.18s for full text emails and 0.33s delay for emails with JPEG attachments on a high-bandwidth network, it significantly improves both response time and bandwidth utilization on a low bandwidth network. (Average 25s reduction of response times for full text emails and 45s for emails with JPEG attachments. An average of 73% of the bandwidth is saved for full text emails and for emails with JPEG images, average of 83% of the bandwidth is saved.) Moreover email filtering and prioritizing also helps improving the response times of downloading emails. (It only takes about 0.40s and 0.39s to download 1st KB and headers of a non important email respectively.)



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Prof. Gihan Dias, the project supervisor for the invaluable supervision and guidance given throughout the research project. Especially for guiding towards project objectives and suggesting alternative methods which helped me a lot in implementing the solution. I would also thank Prof. Gihan Dias for nominating me for the international workshop on *Optimization Technologies for Low Bandwidth Networks* held in ICTP, Trieste, Italy. It enabled me to gain valuable knowledge about open source technologies that can be used to manage bandwidth which contributed a lot to the research. My gratitude also goes to Dr. Enrique Canessa - ICTP and INASP for giving financial assistance and selecting me for the workshop.

I am greatly indebted to Ms. Vishaka Nanayakkara for her guidance on how to do research projects and the comments during progress reviews. I am also grateful to all the other staff members and my colleagues for their comments and help for the project.



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

Electronic Theses & Dissertations

www.lib.mrt.ac.lk

I should also mention the invaluable support given to me by Mr. Dinesh Saparamadu – CEO of hSenid Software International in doing my research.

I would also like to thank Mr. Sanath Jayalath from School of Computing, University of Colombo for the great help in proof reading my Thesis.

Finally gratitude goes to my parents, spouse and brother for the tremendous support given throughout the project.

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT.....	II
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	IV
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	V
LIST OF FIGURES.....	VII
LIST OF TABLES.....	IX
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 MOTIVATION.....	1
1.2 OBJECTIVE	3
1.3 TARGET GROUP.....	4
1.4 SOLUTION	4
1.5 PERFORMANCE OF THE SOLUTION	4
1.6 ORGANIZATION OF THESIS	5
2. RELATED WORK.....	6
2.1 GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR BANDWIDTH MANAGEMENT	6
2.2 RFC 4978 - THE IMAP COMPRESS EXTENSION [6]	7
2.3 ON-THE-FLY INTER-PROXY DATA COMPRESSION FOR WEB ACCESS [2]	8
2.4 A MARKET-BASED APPROACH TO CONTROL WEB BANDWIDTH USAGE [4]	10
2.4.1 <i>System Components:</i>	10
2.4.2 <i>System Algorithm</i>	11
2.5 A GENERAL PURPOSE PROXY FILTERING MECHANISM APPLIED TO THE MOBILE ENVIRONMENT [3]	11
2.6 TEXT / GRAPHICS AND IMAGE TRANSMISSION OVER BANDLIMITED LOSSY LINKS [9]	13
2.6.1 <i>Primitive Based Approach</i>	14
2.6.2 <i>Improved Bitmap using virtual frame buffer</i>	14
2.6.3 <i>Hybrid method</i>	14
2.7 A UNIFIED HEADER COMPRESSION FRAMEWORK FOR LOW-BANDWIDTH LINKS [10]	15
2.8 USING PREDICTIVE PREFETCHING TO IMPROVE WORLD WIDE WEB LATENCY [11]	17
2.9 INVESTIGATION OF A PREFETCH MODEL FOR LOW BANDWIDTH NETWORKS[12].....	18
3. TECHNOLOGIES USED.....	21
3.1 INTERNET MESSAGE ACCESS PROTOCOL (IMAP)	21
3.1.1 <i>IMAP Vs POP</i>	22
3.1.2 <i>IMAP Protocol Architecture</i>	22
3.1.3 <i>Bandwidth Optimizations of IMAP</i>	26
3.2 DATA COMPRESSION	29
3.2.1 <i>Lossless Compression</i>	29
3.2.1.1 Statistical Modeling Algorithms (Dictionary based) for TEXT	30
3.2.1.2 Entropy Encoding Algorithms.....	32
3.2.1.3 DEFLATE Algorithm	35
3.2.1.3 Zlib[8].....	37
3.2.2 <i>Lossy Compression</i>	38
3.2.2.1 JPEG.....	38
3.3 TWISTED FRAMEWORK	44
3.4 PYTHON MIME HANDLING PACKAGE -EMAIL	46
4. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE.....	48
4.1 APPROACH	48
4.1.1 <i>SMTP Server</i>	50
4.1.2 <i>Mail Filter (Tagged Message Delivery Agent - TMDA)</i>	51
4.1.3 <i>IMAP Server</i>	51
4.1.4 <i>IMAP Proxy server/client</i>	52
4.1.5 <i>Email client</i>	52
4.1.6 <i>User Interface (Rule Generator)</i>	53
4.2 SYSTEM OVERVIEW	53
4.2.1 <i>Priorities and Prefetching</i>	54

4.3 SYSTEM ALGORITHM	55
4.3.1 Email Filtering with Tagged Message Delivery Agent (TMDA)	55
4.3.1.1 Filter Rule File	58
4.3.1.2 FilterParser.py	60
4.3.1.3 Filter Rule Generator - UI	62
4.3.2 Email Fetching.....	64
4.3.2.1 Client side prefetching operation when email client is started and connected to network.....	64
4.3.2.2 IMAP Proxy Server prefetching operation when email client is started and connected to network	65
4.3.2.3 Client Side Operation when user needs to read a particular email	68
4.3.2.4 IMAP Proxy Server Operation when user needs to read a particular email	70
4.3.2.5 Modified BINC IMAP Server	71
4.3.3 IMAP Proxy Server	71
4.3.4 IMAP Proxy Client.....	74
4.3.5 Email client - Thunderbird	75
5. SYSTEM INSTALLATION AND CONFIGURATION.....	76
5.1 PREREQUISITES	76
5.1.1 Server Requirements	76
5.1.2 Email Client Requirements.....	77
5.2 TMDA INSTALLATION AND CONFIGURATION	77
5.3 BINC IMAP IMPLEMENTATION AND CONFIGURATION.....	77
5.4 IMAP PROXY SERVER INSTALLATION	78
5.4 IMAP PROXY CLIENT INSTALLATION.....	78
5.5 THUNDERBIRD INSTALLATION AND CONFIGURATION.....	78
6. RESULTS	80
6.1 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP	80
6.2 RESULTS	83
6.2.1 Bandwidth Utilization	83
6.2.2 Response Time	88
6.2.3 Load on server and client	93
7. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK.....	95
7.1 OTHER MERITS	96
7.2 FUTURE WORK.....	96
APPENDIX 1: A TYPICAL IMAP SESSION	97
APPENDIX 2: TMDA FILTERPARSER.PATCH.....	99
APPENDIX 3: THUNDERBIRD NSMSGDBVIEW.PATCH	102
APPENDIX 4: BINC IMAP OPERATOR-FETCH.PATCH.....	102
REFERENCES	103
ADDITIONAL READING	105

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Proxy based solution for web browsing over low bandwidth link	2
Figure 2.1: IMAP session for COMPRESS extension with TLS encryption	8
Figure 2.2: System Overview [2]	9
Figure 2.3: B. Zenel - A general purpose proxy filtering mechanism [3]	12
Figure 2.4: Overall Usage Model of the Solution	15
Figure 2.5: Example code for field description.....	16
Figure 2.6: Time Durations in [12].....	19
Figure 3.1: IMAP State and Flow diagram.....	24
Figure 3.2: Typical IMAP session between an IMAP server and a client. Here S: is server initiated communication and C: is client initiated communication.....	25
Figure 3.3: BODY[HEADER] fetch of a message.....	27
Figure 3.4: BODY[HEADER.FIELDS] fetch of a message.....	27
Figure 3.5: BODY[TEXT]<partial> fetch of a message	27
Figure 3.6: BODYSTRUCTURE fetch of a message	27
Figure 3.7: BODY[MIME] fetch of a message.....	28
Figure 3.8: BODY[MIME Part] fetch of a message, above is a Jpeg image	28
Figure 3.9: RFC822.SIZE fetch of a message.....	28
Figure 3.10: LZ77 Sliding Window concept	30
Figure 3.11: LZW compression algorithm.....	32
Figure 3.12: LZW Decompression Algorithm	32
<i>Figure 3.13: Huffman Coding an example</i>	33
Figure 3.14: 8x8 block of a particular channel after Step 3.....	40
Figure 3.15: After shifting the gray values	41
Figure 3.16: After applying DCT on 8x8 subimage	42
Figure 3.17: Typical Quantization Matrix	43
Figure 3.18: Matrix after quantization.....	43
Figure 3.19: Zig-Zag Ordering	44
Figure 3.20: Typical Network Program which uses Twisted Framework.....	45
Figure 3.21: High level overview of Twisted Framework.....	46
Figure 4.1: Solution Components.....	50
Figure 4.2: System Overview	53
Figure 4.3: Mail Filtering Process with TMDA	57
Figure 4.4: Filter Rule file (Ignore Line numbers).....	59
Figure 4.5: Filter Rule Generator	63
Figure 4.6: Successful Message	63
Figure 4.7: System Algorithm – IMAP Proxy Server Side (Part1).....	65
Figure 4.8: System Algorithm – IMAP Proxy Server Side (Part 2).....	66
Figure 4.9: Original Image (Left) and Compressed Image (Right) with JPEG quality =10 by IMAP Proxy Server	67
Figure 4.10: Client side operation when a user needs to read an email	69
Figure 6.1: 100Mbps link - Amount of data transferred (Sizes of full priority 0 emails) – Baseline system vs. Modified System	83
Figure 6.2:100Mbps link - Amount of data transferred – Baseline system vs. Modified System (Sizes of full priority 0 emails with JPEG attachments - Quality set to 50%)	84
Figure 6.3: Transferred Image Sizes – Baseline system vs. Modified System (Sizes of JPEG attachments with Quality set to 50%)	85

Figure 6.4: Low bandwidth network (56kbps) - Effective Compression of priority 1 emails with 1st KB	86
Figure 6.5: Low bandwidth network (56kbps)- Effective Compression of priority 2 emails with header only	87
Figure 6.6: 100Mbps link – Response Times – Baseline system vs. Modified System (Response times for downloading full priority 0 text emails)	88
Figure 6.7: Low bandwidth network (56kbps) – Response Times – Baseline system vs. Modified System (Response times for downloading full priority 0 text emails)	88
Figure 6.8: 100Mbps link - Response Times – Baseline system vs. Modified System (Response times for downloading full priority 0 emails with JPEG attachments - Quality set to 50%).....	90
Figure 6.9: Low bandwidth network (56kbps) - Response Times – Baseline system vs. Modified System (Response times for downloading full priority 0 emails with JPEG attachments - Quality set to 50%)	90
Figure 6.10: Low bandwidth network (56kbps)- Response Time to download 1st KB of priority 1 emails.	91
Figure 6.11: Low bandwidth network (56kbps) - Response Time to download header of priority 2 emails.	92
Figure 6.12: CPU usage of IMAP Proxy client – Peeks show cpu usage when an email is downloaded.....	93
Figure 6.13: Memory usage of IMAP Proxy client – Two metrics Working Set (Bottom graph) and Private Bytes (Top Graph) are graphed.....	94



List of Tables

Table 3.1: Input Symbols with known probabilities	33
Table 3.2: Arithmetic Coding – First Iteration.....	34
Table 3.3: Arithmetic Coding – Second Iteration	35
Table 3.4: Arithmetic Coding – Third Iteration	35



University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.
Electronic Theses & Dissertations
www.lib.mrt.ac.lk