PERSISTENT DATA LIBRARY

MSC IN COMPUTER SCIENCE



AMILA JAYASEKARA

UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA SRI LANKA

JANUARY/2008

Persistent Data Library

Amila Jayasekara

This dissertation was submitted to the

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Electronic In Of the Dissertations

WWW University of Moratuwa

In partial fulfillment of the requirements of the

Degree of MSc in Computer Science

Department of Computer Science and Engineering University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

January/2008

Persistent Data Library

Amila Jayasekara

This dissertation was submitted to the

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Electronic The Of the Dissertations

WWW University of Moratuwa

In partial fulfillment of the requirements of the

Degree of MSc in Computer Science

Department of Computer Science and Engineering University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

January/2008

Abstract

Persistent Data Library (PDL) manages object persistence. PDL provides a set of data structures which transparently handles persistence. Data structures in PDL are quite similar to data structures in Standard Template Library (STL) in C++. Such a framework is useful for a fault tolerant application. State based applications need to check point data periodically. In case of a failure, such applications need fast recovery of data. In developing such applications, each time a new state is introduced to the system, the programmer needs to write code to serialize and de-serialize data. PDL framework helps the programmer to write less code on serialization and deserialization. Due to the direct memory dumping technology PDL uses, the time taken to write data to the disk and recover data from the storage are minimized. The data structures are stored in files in a format that is structurally equivalent to the format of the objects on a volatile medium, such as the memory of a computer. Objects are stored according to data relationship with other objects. Object references are converted to offset references before they are stored. The objects are stored as a stream of objects and offset references. Offset references are converted to actual memory addresses when objects are retrieved into the volatile medium.

A performance evaluation was carried out between PDL and STL in order to compare performance. Research results shows that there is a significant performance improvement in persisting data inside PDL data structures and loading data into PDL data structures.

Acknowledgements

I would like to take this opportunity to show appreciation to every person who gave valuable support in completing this research project.

First I am very thankful to my supervisor Dr. Sanath Jayasena for his excellent supervision and guidance. Dr. Jayasena provided valuable advice and guided me on to the correct direction when required and aided me with lot of guidance and had patients to be with me through out till the successful completion. Also as the MSC project coordinator Dr Jayasena always made sure that I had sufficient resources to carry out my research.

I am grateful to Mr. Tharindu Dissanyake for providing guidelines for finding a good research project. Mr. Dissanayake provided valuable advice and information when ever essential.

My sincere thanks go to Ms. Eroma Abeysinghe for her support in correcting language used in my thesis.

Table of Contents

1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 The Problem	2
1.3 Research Objectives	4
1.4 Expected Outcomes	5
2 Literature Review	6
2.1 Hibernate [2]	6
2.2 General Persistence [4]	9
2.3A Method for Providing Persistence to Objects in C++ [1]	10
2.4 PTL [15]	13
2.5 POST++ [5]	15
3 Materials and Methods	18
3.1 Big Picture	18
3.2 Object Orientation	18
3.3 Managing Memory	20
3.4 Memory Layout Inside One Block 3.4.1 Memory Management with Bitmaps 3.4.2 Memory Management with Linked Lists	23 23 23 24
3.5 Memory Management across Memory Blocks	27 26
3.6 Memory Fragmentation Issue	
3.7 Memory Arrangement Inside one Block	
3.8 Metadata	
3.9 Composing and Decomposing Memory	
3.10 Making Data Persistent	
3.11 Loading Persistent Data	
3.12 The API 3.12.1 The API for Creating Objects Using PDL 3.12.2 API for Using PDL Data Containers	38
3.12.3 Reference Primitive Data Type Support 3.12.4 STL (Standard Template Library) vs. PDL	42
4 Evaluation and Results	45
4.1 Unit Testing	45
4.2 Performance Testing	45 46
4.3 Other Results	
5 Conclusion and Future Improvements	59

6. References ______61



List of Figures

Figure 1-1 Operations in a typical fault tolerant environment	2
Figure 1-2 Serialized data stream for an object of class 'X'	4
Figure 2-1 Hibernate Architecture	7
Figure 2-2 Process of creating a persistent object	. 12
Figure 3-1 High level PDL architecture	. 18
Figure 3-2 PDL managed memory layout	. 22
Figure 3-3: Memory division of 32MB Heap (Division are in 32 bytes)	. 23
Figure 3-4: 1MB Free bitmap structure	. 23
Figure 3-5 Memory with holes and processes	. 24
Figure 3-6 Memory management with Linked lists	. 24
Figure 3-7 Fragmented memory layout	. 27
Figure 3-8 Fragmented memory with unused blocks	. 29
Figure 3-9 List view before and after allocating "X" amount of memory	. 30
Figure 3-10 After de-allocating Y amount of memory	. 30
Figure 3-11 Merging free memory nodes and the Still and th	. 31
Figure 3-12 Node re arranging and merging used memory nodes	. 31
Figure 3-13 Amalgamating all nodes	. 31
Figure 3-11 How memory is stored in the disk	. 34
Figure 3-12 Converting to relative addresses, with multiple blocks	. 35
Figure 3-13 Converting to actual addresses with multiple blocks	. 37
Figure 4-1 Performance testing boundary, for setup 1	. 46
Figure 4-2 Performance comparison of data insertion to list	. 47
Figure 4-3 Performance comparison of data deletion from the list	. 48
Figure 4-4 Performance Comparison of Data insertion to Map	. 49
Figure 4-5 Performance comparison of data deletion from the map	. 50
Figure 4-6 Performance testing boundary, for setup 2	. 51
Figure 4-7 How data is stored during performance test (for STL list)	. 51
Figure 4-8 How data is stored during performance test (for STL Map)	. 52
Figure 4-9 Performance comparison of data persistence of a list	. 52
Figure 4-10 Performance comparison of data loading from a list	. 53
Figure 4-11 Performance comparison of data persistence of a map	. 54
Figure 4-12 Performance comparison of data loading in a map	55

Figure 4-13 Persistence time change against block size for PDL	. 56
Figure 4-14 Loading time change against block size	. 56



List of Acronyms

API Application Programming Interface

CPU Central Processing Unit

J2EE Java 2 Enterprise Edition

ODMG Object Data Management Group

PDL Persistent Data Library
POJO Plain Old Java Object

PTL Persistent Template Library

RAM Random Access Memory
RPM Revolutions Per Minute

STL Standard Template Library

TCP Transmission Control Protocol

UDP User Datagram Protocol

