# OPEN SOURCE SOFTWARE PROJECT MANAGEMENT FROM QUALITY ASPECTS

By Y M Ranaweera

Supervised By
Mr. Shantha Fernando

This dissertation was submitted to the Department of Civil Engineering of the University of Moratuwa in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Business Administration.

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF MORATUWA
SRI LANKA.

2010

94517

#### **Abstract**

The breakthrough of Open Source Software Development (OSSD) is not only evident when looking at the gradually rising interest in OSS related research but also when observing the software business. Clearly OSS provides many opportunities for companies to speed up their software development and lower its costs. However, there are risks involved in using products developed under Open Source Software model such as multitude of licenses, lack of liability in the quality of the products.

The main characteristic of OSSD model is Internet based development in distributed environment. In this environment, the existence of project management practices is questionable or could differ from the commonly used styles. However, it is a known fact that project management plays the role of driving and sponsoring the quality considerations. Therefore, what level of weight could be put on quality related activities in OSSD environment through project management? This paper explores the quality management activities of open source software project management.

This research uses 'The Guide to Project Management Body of Knowledge', Edition 2004 as the standard guide in seeking the quality, management aspects of open source software development model. The main quality processes of quality planning, quality assurance and quality control are studied and compared with OSSD approach to identify the activities of similar concept.

Outcome of the research recovered that Open Source Software project management considerations on quality management is un-negligible. The level of attention on Quality Management aspects is very high and surprisingly light weighted to match the OSSD model. However, it was also observed that there is a slight imbalance in the activities within quality management.

### DECLARATION

Thereby certify that this dissertation does not incorporate, without acknowledgement, any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any University and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it does not contain any material previously published of written by another person or myself except where due reference is made in the text. I also hereby give consent for my dissertation, if accepted, to be made available for photocopying and for interlibrary loans, and for the title and summary to be made available to outside organizations.





The above particulars are correct to the best of my knowledge.

UOM Verified Signature	2010 60 61	
	z	
Signature of Supervisor,	Date	
Mr. S. Fernando.		
Department Computer Science & Engineering,		
University of Moratuwa.		

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to the University of Moratuwa, Department of Civil Engineering for giving me this opportunity to do an empirical study which was more interesting and valuable experience.

I deeply appreciate Mr.Shantha Fernando my supervisor. Department of Computer Science & Engineering. University of Moratuwa, for his continuous support and guidance rendered during the period of this dissertation. Also I would like to remember with respect Dr. Ashoka Perera, Senior Lecturer of Department of Civil Engineering. University of Moratuwa and Dr. Chintha Jayasinghe of the Department of Civil Engineering. University of Moratuwa for their valuable suggestions, comments and encouragement during the progress presentations. Also I would like to memorize all the members of the Department of Civil Engineering for their support throughout the period.

# University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.

I also wish to convey my highest appreciation and gratitude towards the IT professionals who contributed to the survey by sacrificing their precious time and energy. Further I would like to convey my special gratitude to all who helped me to gather information for the literature review and to find the contacts for the IT professionals for this research.

In addition, I would like to thank my family members, my dear husband and my dear parents for baring most of my responsibilities while I was attending to this most important task of my MBA course. Finally, my gratitude and deepest apologies are for my two daughters, for the time I have stolen from them. Thanks Ashadi and Sanutni for bearing with me during past six months.

Thank You.

YM Ranaweera

# Table of Content

	Intro	oduction	-
	1.1.	Research Background	-
	1.2.	Research Scope	Ĵ
	1.3.	Problem Statement	4
	1.4.	Research Objectives	6
	1.5.	Research Significance	6
	1.6.	Existing Research work	6
	1.7.	Guide to the Report	8
)	Liter	ature Review	9
	2.1.	Open Source Software Development	9
	2.1.1	A brief introduction.	9
	2.1.2	J - 1	
	2.1.3	B. Licensing	1
	2.2.	PMBOK Guide Literature	1
	2.3.	Quality Management as described in PMBOK Guide	2
	2.3.1		2
	2.3.2	Perform Quality Assurance 1	4
	2.3.3	S. Perform Quality Control1	6
	2.4.	How OSSD-QM can be mapped to PMBOK guide	8
	2.4.1	Quality Planning	C)
	2.4.3	Quality Assurance	()
	2.4.3	3. Quality control	1
· ·	Meil	nedology2	3
	3.1.	Literature Review.	3
	3.2.	Questionnaire	3
	3.3.	Interview 2	4
	3.4.	Population, Sample Selection and Sample Size	:5
	3.5.	Data Preparation.	6.
	3.6.	Theoretical Framework	:6
	3.7	Hypothesis	8

	3.8.	Conceptualization	29
	3,9,	Preliminary Data Collection and Preparation of Questionnaire	30
	3.10.	Modes of data Collection	
	Dat.	a Analysis & Discussion	32
	i i	QA practices in Open Source Software Development	32
-	1.2.	Impact of OSSD QM Activities over Customer Satisfaction	
	4.2.	1. Hypothesis Testing 1	
	4.2.	2. Hypothesis Testing 2.	
	4.2.	3. Hypothesis Testing 3	
	4.2.	4. Hypothesis Testing 4	
	l.3.	Alignment with PMBOK	
Ś.	Con	clusion and Recommendations	
6.		erences	
ΔP		)[X	
		NDIX A - Questionnaire	
		NDIX B - Background of Participants	63

# List of Figures

Figure 1: Quality Planning: Input, Tools & Techniques, Outputs.	13
Figure 2 : Quality Assurance: Input. Tools & Techniques, Outputs.	15
Figure 3: Quality Control: Input. Tools & Techniques, Outputs.	17
Figure 4 : Theoretical framework	28
Figure 5: Correlations: Low Defect Density. Quality Planning	24
Figure 6 : Correlations: Low Defect Density, Quality Assurance	35
Figure 7: Correlations: Low Defect Density, Quality Control	36
Figure 8: Correlations: Customer Satisfaction, Low Defect Density	38
Figure 9: Histogram –Question 10	39
Figure 10: Histogram Question 13	40
Figure 11: Histogram –Question 14	4.1
Figure 12: Histogram –Question 17	41
Figure 13: Histogram –Question 30	42
Figure 14: Histogram –Question 26	43
Figure 15: Histogram – Question 34 To Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	44
Figure 16: Frequency distribution of experience of participants	63
figure 17 : Frequency distribution of different roles	64
figure 18: Frequency distribution of maximum time spent on a project	(r4
Figure 19 :Frequency distribution of testing approaches	65

## Abbreviations

ANSI - American National Standards Institute

CoQ - Cost of Quality

F-OSSD - Free & Open Source Software Development

FOSS - Free and Open Source Software

GPL - General Public License

OSS - Open Source Software

OSSD - Open Source Software Development

PMBOK - Project Management Body of Knowledge

QC - Quality Control

QP - Quality Planning

QA - Quality Assurance

QM - Quality Management

LDD - Low Defect Density

CS - Customer Satisfaction

OSSD-QM - Open Source Software Development- Quality Management

MIT - Massachusetts Institute of Technology

ACM - Association for Computing Machinery

IEEE - Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers