RISK IDENTIFICATION AND RISK HANDLING IN CONSTRUCTION: A CONSIDERATION OF THE PROJECT LIFE CYCLE IN SRI LANKAN ROAD PROJECTS

Balasuriya Arachchige Kanchana Shiromi Perera

(07/9901)



Degree of Master of Philosophy

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

March 2012

RISK IDENTIFICATION AND RISK HANDLING IN CONSTRUCTION: A CONSIDERATION OF THE PROJECT LIFE CYCLE IN SRI LANKAN ROAD PROJECTS

Balasuriya Arachchige Kanchana Shiromi Perera

(07/9901)



Thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Philosophy

Department of Building Economics

University of Moratuwa Sri Lanka

March 2012

DECLARATION

I declare that this is my own work and this thesis does not incorporate without acknowledgement any material previously submitted for a Degree or Diploma in any other University or institute of higher learning and to the best of my knowledge and belief it does not contain any material previously published or written by another person except where the acknowledgement is made in the text.

Also, I hereby grant to University of Moratuwa the non-exclusive right to reproduce and distribute my thesis/dissertation, in whole or in part in print, electronic or other medium. I retain the right to use this content in whole or part in future works (such as articles or books).

Signature:		D	ate:
The above candida	University of Mor Electronic Theses www.lib.mrt.ac.lk te has carried out research	& Dissertation	ns
supervision.			

Date

Signature of the supervisor:

ABSTRACT

Risk is an inescapable dimension of construction projects, particularly in road construction,

which makes effective risk management crucial in the achievement of project goals with risk

identification, analysis and handling as important steps in this process. The present study

aims to identify the severe risk factors and the strategies for handling them at each stage of

the project life cycle in road construction projects via a three-round comprehensive Delphi

survey. It also introduces alternatives to the present risk response measures adopted in road

construction projects in Sri Lanka, while attempting to develop a risk management model for

road construction.

The findings of the study reveal that although risks are spread throughout the whole project

life cycle, the construction phase is the most risky phase followed by the design phase.

Delays in payment by the client were found to be the most critical risk factor in the

construction stage while errors in estimated cost and construction period were the most

critical risk factor in the design stage. The study revealed that the most commonly used risk

response measures by the owner/consultant and contractor were the allocation of

contingency plans and claims for damages. It was also found that the lack of joint risk

management mechanisms by parties and shortage of knowledge on risk management were

the most common barriers to risk management. A consideration of the life cycle of a project

makes it clear that critical risks at the conceptual and design stages are mostly apportioned to

the owner or consultant while at the construction stage a high percentage of critical risk is

allocated to contractors. Shared responsibility is more the norm in the operational stage

although, at all stages, some portion of risk is shared by the other party. Based on data from

three rounds of the Delphi survey, the study finally proposes a risk management model

which has the potential to enhance the identification, allocation and handling of severe risks

throughout the project life cycle. The study concludes that risk management should be a

shared responsibility among parties to the contract, and education on risk management is

needed to ensure quality construction activities at all phases of the project life cycle.

Keywords: Risk management; Severe risk factors; Risk handling; Road projects.

ii

DEDICATION



To my mother and late father......

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It is my utmost duty to acknowledge the individuals and organisations who rendered unstinting cooperation to make this dissertation a success.

First and foremost, I pay gratitude to my supervisors, Prof. R. Rameezdeen, and Prof. M. Lalith De Silva, for all the guidance, assistance and encouragement, provided to me. The insights and constructing criticisms they provided were invaluable for the success of this research. Also I owe a special thanks to Dr. Sepani Senarathne (Director, Postgraduate Studies, Faculty of Architecture), and Dr. Yasangika Sandanayake (Research Coordinator, Department of Building Economics) for their guidance and support towards the success of this research.

My very special thanks go to all leading professionals of the construction industry, for their kind corporation and valuable interviews towards the achievement of a victorious Delphi and Expert opinion survey. Also I would like to express my sincere gratitude to External Examiner Mr. H.D. Chandrasenat for his valuable comments and support

I would also like to thank all academic and non-academic staff members of the Department of Building Economics for their unfailing assistance rendered towards this research.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Dec.	laratic	n		i	
Abs	tract .			ii	
Ded	icatio	n		iii	
Ack	nowle	dgemer	nt	iv	
Tabl	le of C	Contents	S	V	
List	of Fig	gures		X	
List	of Ta	bles		xi	
List	of Ab	breviat	ions	xiii	
1.0	D.IT	D O DILL		0.1	
1.0		INTRODUCTION TO RESEARCH			
	1.1		round		
	1.2		rch Problem		
	1.3		rch Questions		
	1.4		nd Objectives		
		1.4.1	Aim University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Objectives		
	1.5	2	Electronic Theses & Dissertations	04	
	1.5		and Limitations WWW.110.111t.ac.lk		
	1.6		odology		
	1.7		rch Output/ Dissemination		
	1.8	Struct	ure of the Thesis	05	
2.0	LITI	ERATU	RE REVIEW ON RISK MANAGEMENT	07	
	2.1	Introduction		07	
	2.2	Conce	pt of Risk and Uncertainty	07	
		2.2.1	Risk	09	
		2.2.2	Construction project risks	10	
	2.3	Risk N	Management	13	
	2.4	Risk N	Management Process	15	
		2.4.1	Risk identification	17	
		2.4.2	Risk analysis	23	
		2.4.3	Risk response/ Risk handling	26	

	2.5	Involv	rement of Stakeholders in Risk Management	30	
		2.5.1	Involvement of client in risk management	32	
		2.5.2	Involvement of consultant in risk management	32	
		2.5.3	Involvement of contractor in risk management	33	
	2.6	Projec	t Life Cycle	34	
	2.7	Risk N	Management and Project Life Cycle	35	
	2.8	Trend	on Road Construction Projects in Sri Lanka	36	
		2.8.1	Risk management in road construction projects		
			in Sri Lanka	38	
	2.9	Appro	aches to the Research Problem	39	
	2.10	Summ	ary	43	
3.0	RES	EARCI	H METHODOLOGY	44	
	3.1		uction		
	3.2		rch Design		
		3.2.1	Research philosophy	46	
		3.2.2	Research approach University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	46	
	(3.2.3	Choice of survey approach Dissertations	47	
		3.2,4	Research technique 11k	48	
	3.3	The D	elphi Technique	49	
		3.3.1	An overview	49	
		3.3.2	Delphi method design considerations	51	
		3.3.3	Research sample	52	
	3.4	Resear	rch Process	54	
	3.5	5 Data Collection			
		3.5.1	Delphi round one design	56	
		3.5.2	Delphi round one survey and analysis	56	
		3.5.3	Delphi round two design	57	
		3.5.4	Delphi round two survey and analysis	58	
		3.5.5	Delphi round three design	60	
		3.5.6	Delphi round three survey and analysis	61	
		3.5.7	Case studies	61	
	3.6	Summ	arv	61	

4.0	RES	ULTS A	AND DATA ANALYSIS	62	
	4.1	Introduction			
	4.2	Result and Analysis of Delphi Round One			
		4.2.1	Round one; Part I – Risk factors prevalent in the		
			different phases of the project life cycle	62	
		4.2.2	Round one; Part II – Risk response measures	64	
		4.2.3	Summary; round one	65	
	4.3	Result	ts and Analysis of Delphi Round Two	65	
		4.3.1	Round two; Part I – Calculation of severity of risk		
			at each stage	65	
			4.3.1.1 Severe risk factors at the conceptual stage	68	
			4.3.1.2 Severe risk factors at the design stage	70	
			4.3.1.3 Severe Risk Factors at the Construction Stage	71	
			4.3.1.4 Severe Risk Factors at the Operation Stage	76	
		4.3.2	Round 2; Part II- Risk response measures at		
			each phase by contracting parties	78	
			4.312ile Response measures at the conceptual stage	78	
			431221 Responsemensures at the identity stage	79	
			43123 Response measures at the construction stage	80	
			4.3.2.4 Response measures at the operation stage	83	
		4.3.3	Round 2; Part III - Risk allocation among contracting		
			parties	83	
		4.3.4	Round 2; Part IV- Identification of barriers to		
			implementing risk response measures and solutions	85	
		4.3.5	Summary: Round two	87	
	4.4	Result	ts and Analysis of Delphi Round 3	87	
		4.4.1	Round 3; Part I- Risk allocation to client/ consultants		
			and contractors	87	
		4.4.2	Round 3; Part II-Barriers and upgraded solutions to		
			current risk management practice	91	
			4.4.2.1 Barriers and upgraded solutions at the		
			conceptual stage	91	
			4.4.2.2 Barriers at the design stage	92	

			4.4.2.3 Barriers and upgraded solutions at the construction stage	93
			4.4.2.4 Barriers and upgraded solutions at the	75
			operation stage	97
		4.4.	3 Summary; Round three	97
	4.5	Risk	Management Model for Road Construction Projects	
		4.5.		
		4.5.	2 Development of the model	98
		4.5	3 Use of the model	106
		4.5.	4 Model Evaluation	106
	4.6	Sum	mary	108
5.0	CON	NCLU	SIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS, LIMITATIONS AND	
	PAT	HWA	AYS TO FURTHER DEVELOPMENT	109
	5.1	Con	clusions	109
	5.2	Rec	ommendations	112
	5.3	S ANTON	itationiversity of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka	113
		5.3.		
			survey.lib.mrt.ac.lk	113
		5.3.	8	
		5.3.	1	
	5.4	Furt	her Research	115
Refe	erence			116
App	endix	1 :	Research Paper	136
App	endix	2 :	Delphi Round One Questionnaire	150
App	endix	3 :	Delphi Round Two Questionnaire	153
App	endix	4 :	Calculation of Cronbach's Alpha	161
App	endix	5 :	Delphi Round Three Questionnaire	162
App	endix	6 :	Risk Factors Prevailing at Phases of the Project Life	
			Cycle	169

Appendix 7	:	Circulation of Severity Index and Weighted Mean	
		Rating (Wmr)	170
Appendix 8	:	Risk Allocation among Contracting Parties 1	171
Appendix 9	:	Percentage of Risk Allocation among Contracting	
		Parties at each Phase of Project Life Cycle 1	173
Appendix 10	:	Details of Projects Used as Case Studies	174
Appendix 11	:	Review of Case Studies	176
Appendix 12	:	Publications of Researcher	177



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1:	Decision making spectrum	08
Figure 2.2 :	Risk management process	17
Figure 2.3 :	Risk identification process framework	19
Figure 2.4:	Risk analysis	24
Figure 2.5 :	Spectrum of risks in construction	31
Figure 3.1 :	Research methodology illustration	45
Figure 3.2 :	Nested research methodology	45
Figure 3.3 :	Research process	55
Figure 4.1 :	Risk factors vs occurrence and impact at the conceptual	
	stage	68
Figure 4.2 :	Severity of risk at the conceptual stage	69
Figure 4.3 :	Risk factors vs occurrence and impact at the design stage	70
Figure 4.4 :	Severity of risk at design stage	70
Figure 4.5:	Risk factors vs occurrence and impact at the construction University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	72.
Figure 4.6	University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka. Electronic Theses & Dissertations Severity of risk at the construction stage www.lib.mrt.ac.lk	72
Figure 4.7:	Risk factors vs occurrence and impact at the operation	
	stage	76
Figure 4.8 :	Severity of the risk at the operation stage	77
Figure 4.9 :	Risk Management Model for Road Construction- Part I	103
Figure 4.10 :	Risk Management Model for Road Construction- Part II	104
Figure 4.11:	Risk Management Model for Road Construction- Part III 1	105

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 :	The risk-uncertainty continuum	09
Table 2.2 :	Government investment on infrastructure	37
Table 2.3 :	Ten-year development framework of the government:	
	2006-2016	37
Table 3.1 :	Variety and mix of experts	54
Table 4.1 :	Risk factors prevalent in each phase of the project	
	lifecycle	63
Table 4.2 :	Risk response measures	64
Table 4.3 :	Severe risk factors at each phase of the project life cycle	66
Table 4.4 :	Response measures at the conceptual stage by contracting	
	parties	78
Table 4.5 :	Response measures at the design stage by contracting	
	parties	79
Table 4.6	Response measures at the construction stage by University of Moratuwa, Sri Lanka.	
(E	contracting parties Theses & Dissertations	81
	Response measures at the operation stage by contracting	
	parties	83
Table 4.8 :	Risk allocation at each phase of the project life cycle	
	among contracting parties	84
Table 4.9 :	Barriers to risk response measures	86
Table 4.10:	Solutions to overcome barriers to risk response measures	86
Table 4.11:	Percentage of risk allocation among contracting parties at	
	each phase of the project lifecycle	88
Table 4.12:	Barriers and solutions at the conceptual stage	91
Table 4.13:	Barriers and solutions at the design stage	92
Table 4.14:	Barriers and solutions at the construction stage	94
Table 4.15:	Barriers and solutions at the operation stage	97
Table 4.16:	Model on risk factors	100
Table 4.17:	Model on response measures	101

Table 4.18:	Model on barriers to risk management	101
Table 4.19:	Model on solutions to risk management	102
Table 4.20:	Review of case-studies: Matching the results of	
	case-studies with model	107



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BOQ - Bills of Quantities

CEB - Ceylon Electricity Board

km - kilo meter

MWR - Mean Weighted Rating

NWSDB - National Water Supply and Drainage Board

RDA - Road Development Authority

SLT - Sri Lanka Telecom

PMI . Project Management Institute

ICE - Institute of Civil Engineers

CIDA - Construction Industry Development Agency

